


VCIIIOII Economic -Demographic Profile Series

Working Together for Vermont



Vermont Department of Labor ECONOMIC – DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

The Vermont Economic-Demographic Profile is published by the Economic & Labor Market Information Section of the Vermont Department of Labor. This publication can be found under the Publications heading on our Labor Market website at www.vtLmi.info.

The Economic – Demographic Profile Series was prepared by:

Vicki Ewen Amy Hoskins Andy Condon

For questions or to receive email notification of upcoming publications and data releases, contact us at:

Vermont Department of Labor Economic & Labor Market Information P.O. Box 488 Montpelier, VT 05601-0488

Or telephone: (802) 828-4202 TDD: (802) 828-4203 email: Labor-LMI@state.vt.us

VERMONT COUNTY SERIES

An Economic - Demographic Profile of Vermont 2009

Vermont Department of Labor 5 Green Mountain Drive P.O. Box 488 Montpelier, VT 05601-0488

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary of C	County Characteristics	1-3
Introduction		4
Population		4
Economic Tre	nds	5
Housing		6
Education		6
Table 1:	Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont & Counties	8
	Chart 1	9
Tables 2-15:	Demographic - Economic Comparison: Counties & Towns	10-23
Table 16:	Population Changes by Age Cohort: 2000-2007	24-27
Table 17:	Population Projections: 2000-2020	28
Tables 18-32:	Employment by Residence and Industry: Vermont & Counties	29-43
Table 33:	Agricultural Comparison of Vermont Counties: 2002-2007	44
Table 34:	Forest Industry Comparison of Vermont Counties	45
Table 35:	Tax Revenues for Vermont Counties	46
Table 36:	Home Sales for Vermont Counties	47
Table 37:	Education Comparison	48-49
Table 38:	Education Assessment	50-54
Tables 39-53:	Local Employment Dynamics, by County with Maps	55-120
Glossary		121-122

SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

CHARACTERISTICS	COUNTY	% OF STATE
ADDISON		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	36,760 14,686 34,771 34,878 818	6% 5% 93% 94% 9%
BENNINGTON		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	36,452 18,299 40,758 34,204 673	6% 6% 109% 93% 7%
CALEDONIA		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	30,655 11,772 30,777 31,952 619	5% 4% 82% 86% 6%
CHITTENDEN		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	151,826 95,112 42,594 43,349 614	24% 31% 114% 117% 6%
ESSEX		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	6,495 1,397 21,764 29,263 671	1% 0% 58% 79% 7%

SUMMARY OF COUNT	Y CHARAC	TERISTICS
CHARACTERISTICS	COUNTY	% OF STATE
FRANKLIN		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	47,934 16,052 32,261 34,411 704	8% 5% 86% 93% 7%
GRAND ISLE		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	7,601 1,185 35,021 27,440 189	1% 0% 93% 74% 2%
LAMOILLE		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	24,676 11,108 38,352 29,795 476	4% 4% 102% 81% 5%
ORANGE		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	29,002 7,933 31,354 31,111 692	5% 3% 84% 84% 7%
ORLEANS		
Population (2008) Employment (2007)	27,302 10,015	4% 3%

29,780

29,400

737

Per Capita Income (\$) (2007)

Annual Wage (\$) (2007)

Area (Square Miles)

79%

80%

8%

SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

CHARACTERISTICS	COUNTY	% OF STATE
RUTLAND		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	63,270 29,580 35,664 34,196 939	10% 10% 95% 93% 10%
WASHINGTON		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	58,926 32,579 39,146 37,345 714	9% 11% 104% 101% 7%
WINDHAM		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	43,480 22,543 37,105 35,219 796	7% 7% 99% 95% 8%
WINDSOR		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	56,875 24,157 40,645 35,162 967	9% 8% 108% 95% 10%
VERMONT		
Population (2008) Employment (2007) Per Capita Income (\$) (2007) Annual Wage (\$) (2007) Area (Square Miles)	621,254 303,438 37,483 36,949 9,609	NA NA NA NA

INTRODUCTION

Vermont is a rural state located between the large metropolitan areas of Boston, New York and Montreal. The Vermont economy depends on a diversified mix of manufacturing, health care, tourism and public sector employers. Agriculture remains an important component of the state's economy and cultural image, but its relative impact is declining.

Vermont's jobs and labor force grew slowly but steadily from 2002 through 2005. The labor force peaked in 2006, declined during 2007, and then grew slightly in 2008. Jobs continued to grow slowly through 2007. Vermont's unemployment rate remained relatively low until 2008, when the state followed the nation into recession by the end of the year (Table 1).

In northwestern Vermont, Chittenden County provides nearly one third of the state's jobs and serves as the foundation for Vermont's economy. Burlington and South Burlington make up the core of the state's only Metropolitan Statistical Area. The area employs thousands of workers in retail, construction, manufacturing and tourism industries. The MSA extends into parts of Franklin, Grand Isle and Addison counties.

Southern Vermont, like elsewhere in the state, has struggled with the trade-off between economic development and preservation of the rural landscape. Easy access from northeastern urban centers has put pressure on the region for second home development. In addition, ski resorts in the area have strained to expand and provide self-contained winter recreation facilities. The area along the Connecticut River has close ties to neighboring New Hampshire communities. The northern part of Windsor County benefits from its proximity to Hanover, New Hampshire, which employs many workers in education and health care.

Bennington County, in the southwestern corner of the state, provides a wide range of recreation and tourist activities and is popular with visitors from adjoining New York State. The county also is home to many manufacturing, retail and health care employers.

While central Vermont is well known because of the capital city, Montpelier, the region also contains a diverse economy with an interesting industrial history. Granite quarries provide stone for monuments and construction all over the world. Granite workers pass on a tradition of craftsmanship that turns huge granite slabs into monuments and works of art. In addition to its large government sector, the Central Vermont area has strong insurance and financial services sectors, and many health care and social assistance employers.

Lamoille County in north central Vermont has a high concentration of service industry jobs related to winter recreation and tourism. Recent resort expansion and development has provided construction and retail jobs for the area.

Northeastern Vermont, known as the Northeast Kingdom, contains some of the last remnants of a rural, undeveloped New England. The forests provide income for loggers and serve as a major resource for furniture and other wood product manufacturing—a critical part of the economy. Residents of its three counties report income levels among the lowest in the state and poverty rates among the highest.

POPULATION

Vermont's population grew modestly through 2005, and then leveled off in 2006. In 2007, Vermont

saw its population decline for the first time in decades. The statewide growth rate from 2000 to 2007 was 2.0%, less than half of the national growth rate for that time period (Table 1). There are indications that Vermont's population may continue to decrease, especially among working age cohorts.

The 2007 decrease in population can be seen in more detail at the county level. From 2000 to 2007, Bennington, Rutland, Windham and Windsor counties experienced net population declines. The populations in these counties and others in the state have been affected by net out-migration.

Grand Isle and Lamoille counties showed the greatest increases in population during the period (10.1% and 6.2%, respectively). Grand Isle's population growth is due in large part to the suburbanization of the county as more people who work in Burlington choose to reside on the islands. Lamoille's population growth can be attributed to the continued development in the towns of Stowe and Morristown where 40% of the county's population live. The remaining counties ranged from marginal growth in Essex County (0.6%) to modest growth in Orleans County (3.9%) (Table 1).

Chittenden County is home to some of the largest cities and towns in Vermont. Burlington is the state's largest city with a population of 38,531 in 2007. Other large cities and towns in the county are Essex town, Colchester and South Burlington. Rutland (Rutland County) and Bennington (Bennington County) are two other cities that have large populations comparable to some of those in Chittenden County (Tables 2-15).

Orleans, Essex and Caledonia counties, which comprise the Northeast Kingdom, remain sparsely populated with the largest towns being St. Johnsbury, Lyndon, and Newport City. Essex County is the least populated with 6,459 residents in 2007.

The most striking population statistics involve the aging of Vermont. The state has one of the oldest populations in the nation. Between 2006 and 2007, the four age cohorts between ages 5 and 54 showed net population declines. The only cohorts that increased in 2007 were 0 to 4 year olds and those 55 years and older. The aging of the state, combined with an out-migration of young people, will continue to challenge Vermont's workforce and health care system (Table 16).

Chittenden County has the lowest proportion of residents over the age of 55 (21.4%), while both Bennington and Windsor counties have in excess of 30% of their populations in the over 55 group. Franklin County has the highest concentration of children under five (7.1%). Addison County has the largest percentage of school age children aged 5 to 19 (23.8%) (Table 16).

ECONOMIC TRENDS

Jobs in Vermont grew by only 0.2% in 2007. The growth rate added just 469 jobs to the state's economy resulting in a total of 303,438 jobs (Table 18).

Since 2000, Vermont has added about 6,500 jobs, growing by 2.4% over the seven-year period. The same four counties that experienced net job losses through 2006 also experienced losses from 2000 through 2007: Essex (-22.2%), Windham (-6.3%), Bennington (-4.3%) and Chittenden (-0.3%) counties. Grand Isle added jobs at the fastest rate among the counties at 19.0% over the period (Table 1).

The goods producing sectors experienced job losses between 2006

and 2007. The construction sector, a strong sector in 2006, lost the most jobs of all the sectors among the goods producers (-2.9%). The manufacturing sector also lost jobs (-0.9%), agriculture added a marginal number of jobs (1.5%), and mining remained virtually flat (Table 18).

In the service providing sectors, 948 jobs were added during 2007. The health care and social assistance sector created over 1,500 jobs, while retail (-207) and accommodation and food services (-325) shed jobs. Professional, scientific and technical services--typically a strong industry in the state--grew very little during the year (0.4%) (Table 18).

Although Franklin and Grand Isle counties experienced the largest annual job growth among the counties, the job gains were modest (2.1% and 0.7%, respectively). Only four other counties added jobs and did so at rates less than 0.5%. Essex County showed the greatest decline in jobs on a percentage basis (-9.7%). Seven other counties lost jobs, though all at rates less than 1.0% (Tables 18-32).

Chittenden County's goods producing sectors lost jobs at a rate of -0.6% over the year with most of the job loss coming from construction. Chittenden County also lost jobs in administrative and waste services (-10.7%) as well as in education (-2.7%) and wholesale trade (-2.5%). Chittenden County gained jobs in health care and social assistance, real estate and rental services, and professional, scientific and technical services (Table 22).

While Vermont had a net loss in manufacturing jobs, Bennington and Caledonia counties added jobs in their manufacturing sectors (3.3% and 3.8%, respectively). Lamoille, Orange, Rutland, Washington and Windham counties lost jobs in manufacturing. Chittenden

County's manufacturing sector remained virtually flat (-0.1%) (Tables 18-32).

The majority of the state's counties lost jobs in construction. Windham County was an exception, adding 63 jobs (5.2%) to its construction sector. Among the counties with losses in construction, Chittenden County lost the greatest number of jobs (-97 jobs, -1.9%), but most other counties lost a greater percentage in this industry (Tables 18-32).

The state's accommodation and food service employment dropped by -1.1%. Lamoille, Orleans, Rutland and Windham were among the counties to lose jobs in this sector, while Windsor was one of the few counties to gain jobs (Tables 18-32).

Vermont's agricultural base has declined over the last several decades and has continued to weaken in recent years. The latest agriculture statistics come from the 2007 Census of Agriculture. The number of hired agricultural workers dropped by -9.3% between 2002 and 2007, while Vermont's farm acreage declined close to 1%. Rutland and Washington counties experienced the greatest loss of workers, each losing two thirds of their agricultural employment during the five-year period. All fourteen counties experienced declines in agricultural products sold, including declines in dairy products. Dairy farming still dominates the state's agricultural sector and contributes to its non-durable goods manufacturing sector (Table 33).

The state's forest industry increased production between 2006 and 2007. The hardwood harvest increased by 3.9% in board feet produced, while the softwood harvest increased by 2.0%. Windsor and Windham counties produced the most hardwood in Vermont in 2007. Orleans, Windsor and Essex

counties produced the most softwood in 2007 (Table 34).

Unemployment

Vermont's low unemployment rates from earlier this decade crept higher during the past few years as the state and the nation moved into recession. The state's unemployment rate averaged 4.0% in 2007 and 4.8% in 2008. Across the counties, unemployment in 2008 ranged from 3.8% in Windsor County to 7.0% in Orleans County. The average unemployment rates in Addison, Chittenden, Orange, Washington and Windham counties fell below the statewide unemployment rate (Tables 18-32).

Wages

The average annual wage in Vermont increased a healthy 3.8% to \$36,949 in 2007. Wages continue to be higher in Chittenden County where the annual wage was 17% higher than the statewide average. Washington County is the only other county to have an average wage above the state-wide average.

Wages ranged from a high of \$43,349 in Chittenden County to a low of \$27,440 in Grand Isle County. Average wages are influenced not only by the mix of industries and occupations in an area, but also the proportion of part-time and seasonal jobs. Such jobs will lower the annual average, although they may pay a competitive hourly wage (Table 1).

In 2007, Vermont ranked 23rd in the nation for per capita income (PCI). Vermont's per capita income of \$37,483 is just below the national average of \$38,611. Per capita income is equal to total personal income divided by total resident population. It is a broader measure of financial well-being than wages, because it includes various non-wage items such as

dividends, rents and government transfer payments. Income is based on the resident population, while the average wage is reported by the employer paying the wages (Table 1).

Vermont's median adjusted income was \$32,020 in 2007. This measure comes from income tax return data, and it is influenced by the mix of single and multiple income households. The number of towns with median adjusted incomes of over \$40,000 held steady in 2007 at thirty-one towns. The leveling off of this measure contrasts with the jump to thirty-one towns in 2006 up from twenty-three towns in 2005 (Tables 2-15).

Taxes

As in 2006, thirty-five percent of Vermont's personal income taxes came from the residents of Chittenden County in 2007. The combination of Chittenden's large population and relatively high income levels results in large revenue generation for the county. Washington County had the second highest personal income tax revenue with 9.1% the state's income tax revenues. Chittenden County also contributed the most to the state's sales and use taxes (28.3%), rooms and meals taxes (27.2%), and property transfer taxes (27.1%). Rutland County was the second highest contributor to those revenues with 10% to 11% of the state's revenues in each category (Table 35).

Local property taxes fund municipal expenses, road maintenance and local education. A statewide property tax is the foundation of education financing in the state. For the purposes of education funding, all real property is classified as either homestead or non-residential. A statewide education tax is imposed on these two classes of property at different rates. The homestead education

tax rate in each municipality depends upon the local per pupil spending. Both the homestead and nonresidential education tax rates are adjusted by the local common level of appraisal of the property. Tables 2-15 show the latest homestead tax rates for towns in Vermont. An explanation of how the school tax rates are set is available at http://www.state.vt.us/tax/pvrfaqs.html.

HOUSING

The number of year-round homes sold in Vermont in 2008 was just over half of the number sold in 2005. The median price of yearround homes increased by about 8.1% during the same period. The statewide median price of a yearround home was \$200,000 in 2008--unchanged from 2007-- and \$185,000 in 2005. This figure includes all types of single-family dwellings including mobile homes. Chittenden County had the highest median price at \$240,000, down from \$243,000 in 2007. The fastest growing prices were found in Washington County and Orleans County where home prices grew at 89% and 93%, respectively, over the period 2000 -2007 (Table 36).

Annual vacation home sales decreased by 24% between 2005 and 2008, while the median price of those homes increased by 6% during the period. The median price of vacation homes grew the most in Orange County (46%), but most counties experienced more modest price increases. Median vacation home prices decreased in Chittenden, Rutland, and Washington counties (Table 36).

EDUCATION

Vermont school enrollment has been declining since 1997. In Fiscal Year 2008, Vermont's high schools had a combined enrollment of 30,942 students, a drop of 2.2% from 2007. High school enrollment figures by county reflect the school age population data by county. Dropout rates, an average over the latest three fiscal years, vary widely across schools, from a 0% rate for St. Johnsbury Academy to a 8.0% rate for Springfield High School. The statewide dropout rate for high school students averaged 3.1% for the three-years ending in FY 2008, up from the 2.8% average rate for the three-years ending in FY 2007 (Table 37).

The average student-teacher ratio in Vermont was 11.1 in FY 2008, unchanged from FY 2007. Student-teacher ratios ranged from 7.5 at Chelsea High School in Orange County up to 16.0 at Mt. Anthony High School in Bennington County (Table 37).

High school student achievement levels in math, reading and writing also varied across counties and within counties. Student performance on standardized tests given in grade 10 in FY 2008 are presented in Table 38. At forty-four of sixty-three high schools, one third or more of the students performed substantially below proficiency levels in math. At fifty-one of sixtythree high schools, 40% or more of the students were only partially proficient in writing (Table 38).

Table 1 **Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties**

County	Pop. 2007 ¹	% of State	Labor Force 2008 ²	Covered Emplmnt 2007 ³	Unemp Rate 2008 ²	Avg Yrly Wage 2007 ³	Per Cap Income 2007 ⁴	% Below Poverty Level 2007 ⁵
Vermont	621,254	100.0%	355,400	303,438	4.8%	\$36,949	\$37,483	10.1%
Addison Bennington	36,760 36,452	5.9% 5.9%	21,500 20,550	14,686 18,299	4.5% 4.9%	\$34,878 \$34,204	\$34,771 \$40,758	9.9% 10.7%
Caledonia	30,655	4.9%	16,950	11,772	5.3%	\$31,952	\$30,777	13.8%
Chittenden	151,826	24.4%	88,900	95,112	4.0%	\$43,349	\$42,594	7.8%
Essex	6,495	1.0%	3,250	1,397	6.3%	\$29,263	\$21,764	13.5%
Franklin	47,934	7.7%	26,150	16,052	5.0%	\$34,411	\$32,261	9.8%
Grand Isle	7,601	1.2%	4,250	1,185	6.2%	\$27,440	\$35,021	8.9%
Lamoille	24,676	4.0%	15,300	11,108	5.5%	\$29,795	\$38,352	10.5%
Orange	29,002	4.7%	16,400	7,933	4.5%	\$31,111	\$31,354	10.2%
Orleans	27,302	4.4%	14,500	10,015	7.0%	\$29,400	\$29,780	15.7%
Rutland Washington Windham Windsor	63,270 58,926 43,480 56,875	10.2% 9.5% 7.0% 9.2%	35,500 34,450 24,550 33,150	29,580 32,579 22,543 24,157	5.6% 4.8% 4.7% 3.8%	\$34,196 \$37,345 \$35,219 \$35,162	\$35,664 \$39,146 \$37,105 \$40,645	11.0% 10.1% 10.7% 9.4%

Change in Key Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties

	Po	Population		Covered	Covered Employment			Per Capita Income		
	2000 ⁵	Chng 9	% Chng 00-07	2000 ³	Chng 00-07	%Chng 00-07	2000 4	Chng 00-07	%Chng 00-07	
Vermont	608,827	12,427	2.0%	296,468	6,970	2.4%	\$27,681	\$9,802	35.4%	
Addison	35,974	786	2.2%	13,730	956	7.0%	\$25,561	\$9,210	36.0%	
Bennington	36,994	-542	-1.5%	19,112	-813	-4.3%	\$29,200	\$11,558	39.6%	
Caledonia	29,702	953	3.2%	11,578	194	1.7%	\$23,321	\$7,456	32.0%	
Chittenden	146,571	5,255	3.6%	95,354	-242	-0.3%	\$32,223	\$10,371	32.2%	
Essex	6,459	36	0.6%	1,795	-398	-22.2%	\$18,792	\$2,972	15.8%	
Franklin	45,417	2,517	5.5%	14,852	1,200	8.1%	\$23,940	\$8,321	34.8%	
Grand Isle	6,901	700	10.1%	996	189	19.0%	\$28,279	\$6,742	23.8%	
Lamoille	23,233	1,443	6.2%	10,602	506	4.8%	\$25,852	\$12,500	48.4%	
Orange	28,226	776	2.7%	7,847	86	1.1%	\$23,443	\$7,911	33.7%	
Orleans	26,277	1,025	3.9%	9,422	593	6.3%	\$21,989	\$7,791	35.4%	
Rutland	63,400	-130	-0.2%	28,930	650	2.2%	\$25,984	\$9,680	37.3%	
Washington	58,039	887	1.5%	32,079	500	1.6%	\$28,398	\$10,748	37.8%	
Windham	44,216	-736	-1.7%	24,067	-1,524	-6.3%	\$27,944	\$9,161	32.8%	
Windsor	57,418	-543	-0.9%	23,515	642	2.7%	\$28,949	\$11,696	40.4%	

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Health.

² Vermont Department of Labor, Populations Claims Method, Labor force estimates,


Vermont Department of Labor; Quarterly Census of Employment & Wages.


4 U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis


⁵ U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates Program

Chart 1

Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties










Table 2

Addison County Towns

Demographic - Economic Comparison

						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Addison County	36,760	100.0%	14,686	\$34,878	NA	NA
Addison	1,431	3.9%	233	\$25,768	\$32,891	\$1.30
Bridport	1,248	3.4%	204	\$28,281	\$30,608	\$1.40
Bristol	3,770	10.3%	1,478	\$27,933	\$30,683	\$1.15
Cornwall	1,226	3.3%	126	\$37,725	\$49,514	\$1.37
Ferrisburgh	2,692	7.3%	483	\$29,063	\$37,933	\$1.21
Goshen	218	0.6%	10	\$23,010	\$36,101	\$1.05
Granville	287	0.8%	60	\$23,196	\$23,985	\$1.43
Hancock	363	1.0%	96	\$20,478	\$26,251	\$0.99
Leicester	1,010	2.7%	76	\$32,027	\$28,554	\$1.17
Lincoln	1,270	3.5%	127	\$23,689	\$35,296	\$1.20
Middlebury	8,205	22.3%	7,622	\$36,517	\$31,351	\$1.35
Monkton	1,981	5.4%	123	\$28,466	\$49,461	\$1.23
New Haven	1,820	5.0%	515	\$30,666	\$36,395	\$1.27
Orwell	1,222	3.3%	192	\$29,588	\$30,263	\$1.15
Panton	687	1.9%	43	\$24,066	\$38,714	\$1.33
Ripton	588	1.6%	50	\$26,581	\$35,123	\$1.38
Salisbury	1,105	3.0%	274	\$23,147	\$35,552	\$1.28
Shoreham	1,295	3.5%	218	\$28,001	\$33,870	\$1.35
Starksboro	1,924	5.2%	166	\$28,938	\$31,050	\$1.20
Vergennes City	2,696	7.3%	2,414	\$42,740	\$28,945	\$1.17
Waltham	485	1.3%	19	\$26,612	\$39,537	\$1.22
Weybridge	829	2.3%	110	\$30,128	\$47,550	\$1.28
Whiting	408	1.1%	48	\$30,088	\$26,359	\$1.11

		_
\frown		FS
-	_	_

Table 3 Bennington County Towns Demographic - Economic Comparison

						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Bennington County	36,452	100.0%	18,299	\$34,204	NA	NA
Arlington	2,426	6.7%	916	\$36,071	\$30,150	\$1.28
Bennington	15,155	41.6%	10,507	\$33,494	\$25,519	\$1.04
Dorset	2,103	5.8%	701	\$35,953	\$33,343	\$1.24
Glastenbury	15	0.0%			NA	\$0.55
Landgrove	138	0.4%	44	\$26,808	\$44,429	\$1.36
Manchester	4,282	11.7%	4,378	\$36,530	\$31,354	\$1.27
Peru	426	1.2%	192	\$24,443	\$34,800	\$1.52
Pownal	3,454	9.5%	222	\$27,003	\$30,005	\$1.16
Readsboro	769	2.1%	104	\$23,573	\$25,857	\$0.81
Rupert	705	1.9%	71	\$23,261	\$32,782	\$1.02
Sandgate	351	1.0%	D	D	\$30,671	\$1.46
Searsburg	90	0.2%	D	D	\$21,990	\$0.79
Shaftsbury	3,693	10.1%	568	\$34,570	\$35,723	\$1.20
Stamford	794	2.2%	97	\$24,353	\$39,411	\$0.81
Sunderland	886	2.4%	148	\$38,792	\$38,510	\$1.41
Winhall	777	2.1%	323	\$34,975	\$41,772	\$1.45
Woodford	388	1.1%	21	\$29,383	\$30,304	\$0.88



Table 4

Caledonia County Towns
Demographic - Economic Comparison

						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Caledonia County	30,655	100.0%	11,772	\$31,952	NA	NA
Barnet	1,779	5.8%	203	\$27,840	\$31,246	\$1.29
Burke	1,716	5.6%	352	\$23,085	\$29,727	\$1.15
Danville	2,336	7.6%	441	\$28,919	\$32,096	\$1.16
Groton	966	3.2%	92	\$29,734	\$30,796	\$1.18
Hardwick	3,226	10.5%	890	\$26,809	\$24,195	\$1.27
Kirby	522	1.7%	D	D	\$43,216	\$1.38
Lyndon	5,713	18.6%	2,899	\$30,706	\$26,007	\$1.09
Newark	461	1.5%	51	\$22,721	\$30,203	\$1.10
Peacham	678	2.2%	60	\$24,057	\$34,520	\$1.25
Ryegate	1,217	4.0%	112	\$32,498	\$30,777	\$1.17
St. Johnsbury	7,468	24.4%	6,243	\$34,430	\$26,297	\$1.13
Sheffield	715	2.3%	53	\$23,679	\$26,096	\$1.05
Stannard	197	0.6%	D	D	\$24,766	\$1.13
Sutton	1,062	3.5%	124	\$33,191	\$28,808	\$1.13
Walden	765	2.5%	45	\$30,322	\$26,743	\$1.05
Waterford	1,225	4.0%	176	\$29,585	\$38,014	\$1.11
Wheelock	609	2.0%	24	\$19,256	\$29,376	\$1.05

e,	a i	U	D,	\frown	-c
J	U.	U	\mathbf{r}		

Table 5
Chittenden County Towns

3 1		•				
						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Chittenden County	151,826	100.0%	95,112	\$43,349	NA	NA
ormaonaon county	101,020	1001070	33,112	ψ 10,0 10	1.7.	
Bolton	1,006	0.7%	75	\$32,055	\$41,738	\$1.17
Buels Gore	12	0.0%			\$0	\$0.52
Burlington City	38,531	25.4%	33,195	\$45,702	\$27,322	\$0.98
Charlotte	3,754	2.5%	465	\$45,056	\$55,334	\$1.26
Colchester	17,207	11.3%	8,626	\$41,158	\$38,806	\$1.09
Essex	19,465	12.8%	11,900	\$56,367	\$55,345	\$1.33
Hinesburg	4,619	3.0%	1,226	\$36,561	\$41,973	\$1.33
Huntington	1,956	1.3%	143	\$38,740	\$41,002	\$1.16
Jericho	5,170	3.4%	704	\$32,077	\$49,794	\$1.14
Milton	10,539	6.9%	2,405	\$38,356	\$37,575	\$1.12
Richmond	4,171	2.7%	1,157	\$35,344	\$43,698	\$1.15
St. George	690	0.5%	41	\$27,482	\$36,911	\$1.16
Shelburne	7,143	4.7%	3,365	\$31,989	\$54,016	\$1.15
So. Burlington City	17,445	11.5%	17,764	\$39,111	\$40,018	\$1.31
Underhill	3,080	2.0%	315	\$36,546	\$54,993	\$1.14
Westford	2,205	1.5%	188	\$28,090	\$46,144	\$1.16
Williston	8,371	5.5%	11,042	\$39,359	\$48,823	\$1.25
Winooski City	6,462	4.3%	2,499	\$38,297	\$24,966	\$1.10

SOURCES

Table 6

Essex County Towns
Demographic - Economic Comparison

3 3						
	Population 2007 ¹	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2007 ²	Annual Average Wage 2007 ²	Median Adjusted Income 2007 ⁴	Effective Homestead Education Tax Rate 2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Essex County	6,495	100.0%	1,397	\$29,263	NA	NA
Averill	8	0.1%	D	D	NA	\$0.75
Avery's Gore	0	0.0%			NA	\$0.00
Bloomfield	256	3.9%	D	D	\$28,640	\$0.79
Brighton	1,316	20.3%	267	\$22,053	\$20,989	\$0.92
Brunswick	104	1.6%			\$17,008	\$0.81
Canaan	1,086	16.7%	595	\$31,932	\$28,502	\$0.99
Concord	1,203	18.5%	186	\$26,811	\$28,367	\$1.11
East Haven	298	4.6%	21	\$16,334	\$24,769	\$1.09
Ferdinand	33	0.5%	D	D	NA	\$0.84
Granby	84	1.3%	20	\$55,446	\$21,646	\$0.80
Guildhall	268	4.1%	45	\$19,727	\$26,467	\$1.02
Lemington	111	1.7%			\$25,703	\$0.98
Lewis	0	0.0%			NA	\$0.00
Lunenburg	1,288	19.8%	158	\$29,859	\$25,745	\$0.94
Maidstone	106	1.6%	D	D	\$37,371	\$1.39
Norton	228	3.5%	52	\$41,757	\$22,804	\$0.84
Victory	96	1.5%	D	D	\$17,964	\$0.85
Warners Grant	0	0.0%			NA	\$0.00
Warren Gore	10	0.2%			NA	\$0.75

Table 7
Franklin County Towns
Demographic - Economic Comparison

						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Franklin County	47,934	100.0%	16,052	\$34,411	\$0	NA
Bakersfield	1,393	2.9%	70	\$22,584	\$37,772	\$1.06
Berkshire	1,601	3.3%	128	\$26,787	\$32,732	\$0.95
Enosburg	2,716	5.7%	1,216	\$26,340	\$27,674	\$1.11
Fairfax	4,142	8.6%	745	\$30,995	\$43,268	\$1.00
Fairfield	1,894	4.0%	176	\$29,070	\$34,435	\$1.06
Fletcher	1,292	2.7%	57	\$23,401	\$46,418	\$1.14
Franklin	1,310	2.7%	134	\$21,846	\$33,025	\$0.93
Georgia	4,506	9.4%	833	\$38,205	\$45,090	\$1.05
Highgate	3,674	7.7%	652	\$35,775	\$32,977	\$1.01
Montgomery	1,056	2.2%	159	\$23,109	\$25,118	\$0.94
Richford	2,312	4.8%	653	\$32,727	\$24,398	\$1.03
St. Albans City	7,305	15.2%	7,606	\$37,355	\$28,366	\$1.10
St. Albans Town	6,009	12.5%	1,584	\$30,087	\$34,146	\$1.10
Sheldon	2,293	4.8%	370	\$41,634	\$34,376	\$1.01
Swanton	6,431	13.4%	1,671	\$33,229	\$31,674	\$1.02

Table 8

Grand Isle County Towns
Demographic - Economic Comparison

• •		-				
						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population 2007 ¹	% Share County	Employment 2007 ²	Wage 2007 ²	Income 2007 ⁴	Tax Rate 2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Grand Isle County	7,601	100.0%	1,185	\$27,440	NA	NA
Gland Isle County	7,001	100.070	1,100	Ψ21, ττο	INA	13/1
Alburg	2,023	26.6%	265	\$27,616	\$28,805	\$1.09
Grand Isle	2,306	30.3%	326	\$33,060	\$39,271	\$1.07
Isle La Motte	505	6.6%	69	\$21,400	\$37,936	\$1.09
North Hero	905	11.9%	231	\$25,243	\$48,102	\$1.19
South Hero	1,862	24.5%	294	\$24,196	\$39,549	\$1.07

Table 9
Lamoille County Towns

						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Lamoille County	24,676	100.0%	11,108	\$29,795	NA	NA
Belvidere	287	1.2%	11	\$31,410	\$26,479	\$1.52
Cambridge	3,088	12.5%	1,238	\$26,532	\$34,997	\$1.30
Eden	1,120	4.5%	99	\$20,778	\$25,950	\$1.24
Elmore	961	3.9%	34	\$19,842	\$42,540	\$0.90
Hyde Park	3,232	13.1%	790	\$31,661	\$30,467	\$1.25
Johnson	3,200	13.0%	968	\$31,377	\$23,512	\$1.20
Morristown	5,524	22.4%	3,811	\$31,143	\$28,458	\$1.00
Stowe	4,886	19.8%	3,925	\$29,178	\$33,680	\$1.22
Waterville	680	2.8%	47	\$29,175	\$31,765	\$1.11
Wolcott	1,698	6.9%	185	\$27,417	\$28,955	\$1.09

SOURCES

Table 10
Orange County Towns

	Population 2007 ¹	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2007 ²	Annual Average Wage 2007 ²	Median Adjusted Income 2007 ⁴	Effective Homestead Education Tax Rate 2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Orange County	29,002	100.0%	7,933	\$31,111	NA	NA
Bradford	2,667	9.2%	1,454	\$31,931	\$29,374	\$1.12
Braintree	1,235	4.3%	99	\$25,711	\$29,952	\$1.19
Brookfield	1,245	4.3%	122	\$30,612	\$36,992	\$1.26
Chelsea	1,234	4.3%	389	\$27,708	\$28,761	\$1.20
Corinth	1,458	5.0%	154	\$30,571	\$30,087	\$1.21
Fairlee	1,008	3.5%	577	\$26,348	\$31,809	\$1.13
Newbury	2,158	7.4%	508	\$29,636	\$33,300	\$1.15
Orange	965	3.3%	48	\$23,333	\$32,623	\$1.13
Randolph	5,045	17.4%	2,751	\$32,479	\$30,540	\$1.22
Strafford	1,084	3.7%	130	\$30,495	\$38,594	\$1.15
Thetford	2,779	9.6%	697	\$33,907	\$42,866	\$1.41
Topsham	1,139	3.9%	76	\$31,281	\$28,481	\$1.27
Tunbridge	1,305	4.5%	181	\$28,807	\$32,515	\$1.05
Vershire	628	2.2%	101	\$28,755	\$31,398	\$1.33
Washington	1,099	3.8%	113	\$25,745	\$29,871	\$1.01
West Fairlee	726	2.5%	D	D	\$36,363	\$1.31
Williamstown	3,227	11.1%	525	\$31,875	\$32,299	\$1.07

SOURCES

Table 11

Orleans County Towns

Demographic - Economic Comparison

	Population 2007 ¹	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2007 ²	Annual Average Wage 2007 ²	Median Adjusted Income 2007 ⁴	Effective Homestead Education Tax Rate 2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Orleans County	27,302	100.0%	10,015	\$29,400	NA	NA
Albany	817	3.0%	60	\$22,326	\$27,006	\$0.93
Barton	2,820	10.3%	1,393	\$26,641	\$25,485	\$1.06
Brownington	864	3.2%	48	\$19,061	\$22,913	\$0.95
Charleston	871	3.2%	93	\$26,028	\$21,077	\$1.02
Coventry	992	3.6%	128	\$32,152	\$30,082	\$0.87
Craftsbury	1,107	4.1%	264	\$25,539	\$28,361	\$1.37
Derby	4,823	17.7%	1,946	\$33,548	\$27,990	\$0.97
Glover	939	3.4%	159	\$21,622	\$31,226	\$1.12
Greensboro	777	2.8%	289	\$24,291	\$24,171	\$1.22
Holland	574	2.1%	44	\$25,209	\$27,407	\$0.99
Irasburg	1,049	3.8%	184	\$26,329	\$27,087	\$1.01
Jay	531	1.9%	361	\$20,941	\$27,348	\$1.06
Lowell	719	2.6%	60	\$19,614	\$23,395	\$0.89
Morgan	739	2.7%	49	\$27,037	\$28,594	\$1.12
Newport City	5,185	19.0%	4,176	\$31,168	\$22,947	\$1.04
Newport Town	1,955	7.2%	391	\$26,027	\$25,792	\$1.12
Troy	1,705	6.2%	276	\$28,920	\$22,840	\$0.90
Westfield	522	1.9%	62	\$20,908	\$27,395	\$0.95
Westmore	313	1.1%	31	\$12,830	\$32,496	\$1.27



Table 12
Rutland County Towns

						Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Rutland County	63,270	100.0%	29,580	\$34,196	NA	NA
Benson	1,024	1.6%	146	\$26,653	\$26,055	\$1.15
Brandon	3,886	6.1%	1,461	\$30,805	\$28,204	\$1.16
Castleton	4,372	6.9%	1,643	\$30,593	\$31,180	\$1.15
Chittenden	1,264	2.0%	175	\$25,888	\$30,276	\$1.15
Clarenden	2,895	4.6%	1,325	\$30,214	\$31,477	\$1.19
Danby	1,277	2.0%	279	\$39,405	\$29,347	\$1.14
Fair Haven	2,929	4.6%	943	\$24,627	\$26,155	\$1.12
Hubbardton	775	1.2%	18	\$16,769	\$38,336	\$1.05
Ira	451	0.7%	21	\$32,458	\$43,102	\$1.05
Killington	1,138	1.8%	1,725	\$25,106	\$26,094	\$1.37
Mendon	1,083	1.7%	381	\$26,406	\$38,027	\$0.91
Middletown Springs	810	1.3%	149	\$33,668	\$33,356	\$1.14
Mount Holly	1,221	1.9%	167	\$32,072	\$32,344	\$1.27
Mount Tabor	199	0.3%	28	\$20,751	\$30,343	\$0.99
Pawlet	1,425	2.3%	315	\$29,971	\$29,644	\$1.04
Pittsfield	419	0.7%	117	\$57,857	\$34,723	\$1.16
Pittsford	3,206	5.1%	799	\$37,484	\$32,790	\$1.23
Poultney	3,544	5.6%	1,055	\$28,463	\$27,391	\$1.32
Proctor	1,820	2.9%	378	\$52,552	\$33,614	\$1.36
Rutland City	16,826	26.6%	13,496	\$36,320	\$25,608	\$1.08
Rutland Town	4,092	6.5%	3,606	\$40,160	\$38,157	\$1.26
Shrewsbury	1,128	1.8%	224	\$27,054	\$33,994	\$1.08
Sudbury	606	1.0%	15	\$28,231	\$37,300	\$1.23
Tinmouth	627	1.0%	33	\$16,966	\$29,479	\$1.23
Wallingford	2,324	3.7%	404	\$29,667	\$33,832	\$1.31
Wells	1,097	1.7%	144	\$21,313	\$29,054	\$1.05
West Haven	310	0.5%	511	\$28,873	\$28,969	\$0.99
West Rutland	2,522	4.0%	19	\$16,506	\$27,277	\$1.12



Table 13
Washington County Towns

		•				Effective
			Annual	Annual	Median	Homestead
			Average	Average	Adjusted	Education
	Population	% Share	Employment	Wage	Income	Tax Rate
	2007 ¹	County	2007 ²	2007 ²	2007 4	2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Washington County	58,926	100.0%	32,579	\$37,345	NA	NA
Barre City	8,905	15.1%	4,696	\$33,900	\$26,253	\$0.95
Barre Town	7,989	13.6%	1,764	\$34,615	\$37,537	\$0.95
Berlin	2,822	4.8%	5,101	\$35,960	\$33,776	\$1.20
Cabot	1,322	2.2%	467	\$32,970	\$27,455	\$1.25
Calais	1,538	2.6%	93	\$30,300	\$37,672	\$1.23
Duxbury	1,315	2.2%	232	\$34,930	\$42,789	\$1.11
East Montpelier	2,701	4.6%	625	\$35,194	\$39,173	\$1.25
Fayston	1,245	2.1%	189	\$30,879	\$42,566	\$1.25
Marshfield	1,607	2.7%	204	\$28,703	\$31,574	\$1.07
Middlesex	1,867	3.2%	309	\$42,085	\$42,622	\$1.23
Montpelier City	7,806	13.2%	8,835	\$42,212	\$33,689	\$1.16
Moretown	1,724	2.9%	377	\$44,410	\$37,635	\$1.16
Northfield	5,748	9.8%	1,866	\$33,538	\$30,975	\$1.24
Plainfield	1,349	2.3%	549	\$32,565	\$29,410	\$1.09
Roxbury	555	0.9%	84	\$28,706	\$35,040	\$1.18
Waitsfield	1,678	2.8%	1,349	\$32,283	\$34,836	\$1.17
Warren	1,731	2.9%	932	\$22,088	\$33,552	\$1.28
Waterbury	5,348	9.1%	4,773	\$41,894	\$37,450	\$1.11
Woodbury	810	1.4%	63	\$22,152	\$36,143	\$1.26
Worcester	866	1.5%	68	\$26,535	\$31,701	\$1.27

SOURCES

Table 14
Windham County Towns

	Population 2007 ¹	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2007 ²	Annual Average Wage 2007 ²	Median Adjusted Income 2007 ⁴	Effective Homestead Education Tax Rate 2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Windham County	43,480	100.0%	22,543	\$35,219	NA	NA
Athens	326	0.7%	D	D	\$26,708	\$1.07
Brattleboro	11,590	26.7%	11,332	\$35,313	\$26,904	\$1.36
Brookline	447	1.0%	65	\$18,170	\$32,913	\$1.19
Dover	1,437	3.3%	1,073	\$23,616	\$28,059	\$1.35
Dummerston	1,942	4.5%	257	\$33,989	\$40,998	\$1.56
Grafton	619	1.4%	165	\$31,759	\$34,253	\$1.15
Guilford	1,960	4.5%	165	\$32,859	\$34,397	\$1.39
Halifax	817	1.9%	58	\$23,087	\$31,944	\$1.03
Jamaica	907	2.1%	181	\$29,288	\$29,217	\$1.24
Londonderry	1,779	4.1%	552	\$28,768	\$28,550	\$1.42
Marlboro	978	2.2%	282	\$30,021	\$36,114	\$1.23
Newfane	1,720	4.0%	334	\$27,942	\$32,250	\$1.22
Putney	2,606	6.0%	1,193	\$32,350	\$29,965	\$1.38
Rockingham	5,003	11.5%	2,446	\$34,471	\$25,733	\$1.26
Somerset	5	0.0%			NA	\$0.00
Stratton	167	0.4%	718	\$30,167	\$24,609	\$1.36
Townshend	1,096	2.5%	528	\$35,636	\$29,607	\$1.23
Vernon	2,055	4.7%	1,073	\$75,280	\$37,962	\$0.99
Wardsboro	902	2.1%	95	\$35,760	\$29,177	\$1.27
Westminster	3,211	7.4%	723	\$32,467	\$31,876	\$1.25
 Whitingham	1,214	2.8%	202	\$27,490	\$31,568	\$1.31
Wilmington	2,355	5.4%	1,066	\$28,120	\$26,335	\$1.37
Windham	344	0.8%	30	\$27,993	\$29,903	\$1.30



Table 15 Windsor County Towns

Demographic - Economic Comparison

	Population 2007 ¹	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2007 ²	Annual Average Wage 2007 ²	Median Adjusted Income 2007 ⁴	Effective Homestead Education Tax Rate 2008 ³
Vermont	621,254	NA	303,438	\$36,949	\$32,020	NA
Windsor County	56,875	100.0%	24,157	\$35,162	NA	NA
Andover	548	1.0%	36	\$25,773	\$33,859	\$1.11
Baltimore	260	0.5%	D	D	\$33,667	\$1.11
Barnard	961	1.7%	184	\$33,299	\$38,546	\$1.36
Bethel	1,940	3.4%	1,029	\$36,249	\$32,642	\$1.41
Bridgewater	926	1.6%	278	\$29,868	\$29,274	\$1.24
Cavendish	1,391	2.4%	381	\$26,308	\$30,693	\$1.43
Chester	3,031	5.3%	888	\$31,444	\$27,648	\$1.09
Hartford	10,700	18.8%	6,309	\$42,199	\$34,792	\$1.21
Hartland	3,059	5.4%	440	\$33,718	\$37,270	\$1.33
Ludlow	2,654	4.7%	2,098	\$27,429	\$25,939	\$1.25
Norwich	3,508	6.2%	783	\$33,449	\$53,454	\$1.41
Plymouth	572	1.0%	229	\$24,132	\$34,838	\$1.22
Pomfret	965	1.7%	155	\$28,412	\$52,799	\$1.52
Reading	712	1.3%	84	\$24,114	\$31,850	\$1.38
Rochester	1,135	2.0%	352	\$31,058	\$28,209	\$1.22
Royalton	2,465	4.3%	959	\$38,629	\$28,400	\$1.15
Sharon	1,346	2.4%	263	\$30,286	\$34,808	\$1.24
Springfield	8,666	15.2%	4,761	\$34,728	\$28,454	\$1.22
Stockbridge	685	1.2%	118	\$29,461	\$38,137	\$1.12
Weathersfield	2,842	5.0%	386	\$31,301	\$32,812	\$1.33
Weston	634	1.1%	180	\$23,253	\$35,826	\$1.23
West Windsor	1,099	1.9%	221	\$23,743	\$47,747	\$1.27
Windsor	3,633	6.4%	1,657	\$36,053	\$27,518	\$1.20
Woodstock	3,143	5.5%	2,361	\$32,149	\$37,910	\$1.37

SOURCES for Tables 2—15

¹ Vermont Department of Health

² Vermont Department of Labor; Quarterly Census of Employment & Wages.

³ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Vermont Department of Taxes, Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.
 * See Glossary for further details

D denotes data which cannot be disclosed. NA denotes data is not applicable.

Table 16 Population Changes by Age Cohort: 2000 - 2007

	Po	opulation		Change	Change	Percent Change	Percent Change	Age Distri	bution
Age:	2000	2006 ¹	2007 ¹	00-06	06-07	00-06	06-07	2000	2007
Addison County									
0-4 Years	2,057	1,786	1,840	-271	54	-13.2%	3.0%	5.7%	5.0%
5-14 Years	5,186	4,428	4,288	-758	-140	-14.6%	-3.2%	14.4%	11.7%
15-19 Years	3,381	3,468	3,459	87	-9	2.6%	-0.3%	9.4%	9.4%
20-34 Years	6,808	7,349	7,042	541	-307	7.9%	-4.2%	18.9%	19.2%
35-54 Years	11,304	11,063	10,911	-241	-152	-2.1%	-1.4%	31.4%	29.7%
55-64 Years	3,173	4,655	4,846	1,482	191	46.7%	4.1%	8.8%	13.2%
65+ Years	4,065	4,308	4,374	243	66	6.0%	1.5%	11.3%	11.9%
	.,,000	.,000	.,0.			0.070	11070	111070	11.070
Total	35,974	37,057	36,760	1,083	-297	3.0%	-0.8%	100.0%	100.0%
Bennington Cou	nty								
0-4 Years	1,922	1,852	1,822	-70	-30	-3.6%	-1.6%	5.2%	5.0%
5-14 Years	5,191	4,221	4,076	-970	-145	-18.7%	-3.4%	14.0%	11.2%
15-19 Years	2,598	2,589	2,553	-9	-36	-0.3%	-1.4%	7.0%	7.0%
20-34 Years	5,797	5,886	5,757	89	-129	1.5%	-2.2%	15.7%	15.8%
35-54 Years	11,413	10,979	10,662	-434	-317	-3.8%	-2.9%	30.9%	29.2%
55-64 Years	3,906	4,893	5,000	987	107	25.3%	2.2%	10.6%	13.7%
65+ Years	6,167	6,509	6,582	342	73	5.5%	1.1%	16.7%	18.1%
Total	36,994	36,929	36,452	-65	-477	-0.2%	-1.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Caledonia Coun	ty								
0-4 Years	1,628	1,693	1,762	65	69	4.0%	4.1%	5.5%	5.5%
5-14 Years	4,422	3,608	3,507	-814	-101	-18.4%	-2.8%	14.9%	11.7%
15-19 Years	2,386	2,240	2,209	-146	-31	-6.1%	-1.4%	8.0%	7.3%
20-34 Years	4,947	5,988	5,821	1,041	-167	21.0%	-2.8%	16.7%	19.4%
35-54 Years	9,303	8,911	8,720	-392	-191	-4.2%	-2.1%	31.3%	28.9%
55-64 Years	2,744	3,998	4,143	1,254	145	45.7%	3.6%	9.2%	13.0%
65+ Years	4,272	4,404	4,493	132	89	3.1%	2.0%	14.4%	14.3%
Total	29,702	30,842	30,655	1,140	-187	3.8%	-0.6%	100.0%	100.0%
Chittenden Cour	nty								
0-4 Years	8,487	8,210	8,135	-277	-75	-3.3%	-0.9%	5.8%	5.5%
5-14 Years	20,205	18,188	18,195	-2,017	7	-10.0%	0.0%	13.8%	12.1%
15-19 Years	11,861	12,500	12,669	639	169	5.4%	1.4%	8.1%	8.3%
20-34 Years	34,396	30,591	31,265	-3,805	674	-11.1%	2.2%	23.5%	20.4%
35-54 Years	46,499	48,404	48,214	1,905	-190	4.1%	-0.4%	31.7%	32.3%
55-64 Years	11,343	16,652	17,429	5,309	777	46.8%	4.7%	7.7%	11.1%
65+ Years	13,780	15,524	15,919	1,744	395	12.7%	2.5%	9.4%	10.3%
Total	146,571	150,069	151,826	3,498	1,757	2.4%	1.2%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 16 (continued)
Population Changes by Age Cohort: 2000 - 2007

		<u> </u>	,	5					
	Po	pulation		Change	Change	Percent Change	Percent Change	Age Distri	bution
Age:	2000	2006 ¹	2007 ¹	00-06	06-07	00-06	06-07	2000	2007
Essex County									
0-4 Years	349	280	249	-69	-31	-19.8%	-11.1%	5.4%	4.3%
5-14 Years	1,000	745	740	-255	-5	-25.5%	-0.7%	15.5%	11.3%
15-19 Years	455	469	442	-255 14	-27	3.1%	-5.8%	7.0%	7.1%
20-34 Years	997	1,128	1,096	131	-32	13.1%	-3.8%	15.4%	17.2%
35-54 Years		,		34	-32 -13	13.1%			
	1,967	2,001	1,988				-0.6%	30.5%	30.5%
55-64 Years	710	876	871	166	-5	23.4%	-0.6%	11.0%	13.3%
65+ Years	981	1,068	1,109	87	41	8.9%	3.8%	15.2%	16.3%
Total	6,459	6,567	6,495	108	-72	1.7%	-1.1%	100.0%	100.0%
Franklin County									
0-4 Years	3,215	3,012	3,064	-203	52	-6.3%	1.7%	7.1%	6.3%
5-14 Years	7,375	6,770	6,677	-605	-93	-8.2%	-1.4%	16.2%	14.0%
15-19 Years	3,222	3,299	3,325	77	26	2.4%	0.8%	7.1%	6.8%
20-34 Years	8,328	9,135	8,554	807	-581	9.7%	-6.4%	18.3%	19.0%
35-54 Years	14,442	15,322	15,281	880	-41	6.1%	-0.3%	31.8%	31.8%
55-64 Years	3,831	5,365	5,672	1,534	307	40.0%	5.7%	8.4%	11.1%
65+ Years	5,004	5,284	5,361	280	77	5.6%	1.5%	11.0%	11.0%
Total	45,417	48,187	47,934	2,770	-253	6.1%	-0.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Grand Isle Cour	nty								
0-4 Years	383	377	365	-6	-12	-1.6%	-3.2%	5.5%	4.9%
5-14 Years	1,012	889	877	-123	-12	-12.2%	-1.3%	14.7%	11.5%
15-19 Years	440	513	483	73	-30	16.6%	-5.8%	6.4%	6.6%
20-34 Years	1,014	1,418	1,301	404	-117	39.8%	-8.3%	14.7%	18.3%
35-54 Years	2,408	2,484	2,429	76	-55	3.2%	-2.2%	34.9%	32.0%
55-64 Years	794	1,070	1,108	276	38	34.8%	3.6%	11.5%	13.8%
65+ Years	850	1,000	1,038	150	38	17.6%	3.8%	12.3%	12.9%
Total	6,901	7,751	7,601	850	-150	12.3%	-1.9%	100.0%	100.0%
Lamoille County	,								
0-4 Years	1,284	1,371	1,440	87	69	6.8%	5.0%	5.5%	5.6%
5-14 Years	3,371	2,902	2,885	-469	-17	-13.9%	-0.6%	14.5%	11.8%
15-19 Years	1,696	1,805	1,794	109	-11	6.4%	-0.6%	7.3%	7.3%
20-34 Years	4,676	4,973	4,797	297	-176	6.4%	-3.5%	20.1%	20.2%
35-54 Years	7,372	7,451	7,465	79	14	1.1%	0.2%	31.7%	30.3%
55-64 Years	2,196	3,005	3,118	809	113	36.8%	3.8%	9.5%	12.2%
65+ Years	2,638	3,085	3,177	447	92	16.9%	3.0%	11.4%	12.5%
Total	23,233	24,592	24,676	1,359	84	5.8%	0.3%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 16 (continued)
Population Changes by Age Cohort: 2000 - 2007

	Ро	pulation		Change	Change	Percent Change	Percent Change	Age Distri	bution
Age:	2000	2006 ¹	2007 ¹	00-06	06-07	00-06	06-07	2000	2007
Orange County									
0-4 Years	1,588	1,439	1,437	-149	-2	-9.4%	-0.1%	5.6%	4.9%
5-14 Years	4,244	3,431	3,356	-813	-75	-19.2%	-2.2%	15.0%	11.7%
15-19 Years	2,215	2,207	2,133	-8	-74	-0.4%	-3.4%	7.8%	7.5%
20-34 Years	4,458	5,352	5,107	894	-245	20.1%	-4.6%	15.8%	18.2%
35-54 Years	9,358	9,187	8,977	-171	-210	-1.8%	-2.3%	33.2%	31.2%
55-64 Years	2,751	3,899	4,001	1,148	102	41.7%	2.6%	9.7%	13.2%
65+ Years	3,612	3,925	3,991	313	66	8.7%	1.7%	12.8%	13.3%
Total	28,226	29,440	29,002	1,214	-438	4.3%	-1.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Orleans County									
0-4 Years	1,488	1,442	1,419	-46	-23	-3.1%	-1.6%	5.7%	5.2%
5-14 Years	3,812	3,212	3,195	-600	-17	-15.7%	-0.5%	14.5%	11.6%
15-19 Years	1,885	1,785	1,734	-100	-51	-5.3%	-2.9%	7.2%	6.4%
20-34 Years	4,312	5,427	5,102	1,115	-325	25.9%	-6.0%	16.4%	19.6%
35-54 Years	8,042	8,069	7,898	27	-171	0.3%	-2.1%	30.6%	29.1%
55-64 Years	2,786	3,534	3,638	748	104	26.8%	2.9%	10.6%	12.7%
65+ Years	3,952	4,249	4,316	297	67	7.5%	1.6%	15.0%	15.3%
Total	26,277	27,718	27,302	1,441	-416	5.5%	-1.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Rutland County									
0-4 Years	3,273	3,009	2,977	-264	-32	-8.1%	-1.1%	5.2%	4.7%
5-14 Years	8,711	7,125	6,927	-1,586	-198	-18.2%	-2.8%	13.7%	11.2%
15-19 Years	4,540	4,489	4,408	-51	-81	-1.1%	-1.8%	7.2%	7.1%
20-34 Years	10,708	10,854	10,682	146	-172	1.4%	-1.6%	16.9%	17.1%
35-54 Years	20,263	19,648	19,238	-615	-410	-3.0%	-2.1%	32.0%	30.9%
55-64 Years	6,425	8,532	8,858	2,107	326	32.8%	3.8%	10.1%	13.4%
65+ Years	9,480	9,984	10,180	504	196	5.3%	2.0%	15.0%	15.7%
Total	63,400	63,641	63,270	241	-371	0.4%	-0.6%	100.0%	100.0%
Washington Cou	•								
0-4 Years	3,121	3,107	3,002	-14	-105	-0.4%	-3.4%	5.4%	5.2%
5-14 Years	7,961	6,640	6,568	-1,321	-72	-16.6%	-1.1%	13.7%	11.1%
15-19 Years	4,224	4,190	4,075	-34	-115	-0.8%	-2.7%	7.3%	7.0%
20-34 Years	10,509	10,874	10,444	365	-430	3.5%	-4.0%	18.1%	18.3%
35-54 Years	19,278	18,837	18,420	-441	-417	-2.3%	-2.2%	33.2%	31.6%
55-64 Years	5,483	7,904	8,210	2,421	306	44.2%	3.9%	9.4%	13.3%
65+ Years	7,463	8,012	8,207	549	195	7.4%	2.4%	12.9%	13.5%
Total	58,039	59,564	58,926	1,525	-638	2.6%	-1.1%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 16 (continued) Population Changes by Age Cohort: 2000 - 2007

	ion	Change	Change	Percent Change	Percent Change	Age Distri	bution
Age: 2000 20	006 ¹ 2007 ¹	00-06	06-07	00-06	06-07	2000	2007
Windham County							
•	,155 2,162	-185	7		0.3%	5.3%	4.9%
	,068 4,844	-1,010	-224	-16.6%	-4.4%	13.7%	11.5%
	,903 2,848	-201	-55	-6.5%	-1.9%	7.0%	6.6%
	,891 6,948	-135	57	-1.9%	0.8%	15.9%	15.7%
	,244 13,861	-722	-383	-4.8%	-2.7%	33.8%	32.4%
55-64 Years 4,529 6	,372 6,527	1,843	155	40.7%	2.4%	10.2%	14.5%
65+ Years 6,173 6	,265 6,290	92	25	1.5%	0.4%	14.0%	14.3%
Total 44,216 43	,898 43,480	-318	-418	-0.7%	-1.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W							
Windsor County	700 0 704	04	0	2.00/	0.40/	F 00/	4.00/
	,763 2,761	-91	-2	-3.2%	-0.1%	5.0%	4.8%
	,387 6,104	-1,543	-283		-4.4%	13.8%	11.1%
	,706 3,601	-57	-105	-1.5%	-2.8%	6.6%	6.4%
	,786 8,639	343	-147	4.1%	-1.7%	14.7%	15.2%
	,235 17,743	-871	-492		-2.7%	33.3%	31.6%
	,427 8,639	2,178	212		2.5%	10.9%	14.6%
65+ Years 9,073 9	,349 9,388	276	39	3.0%	0.4%	15.8%	16.2%
Total 57,418 57	,653 56,875	235	-778	0.4%	-1.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Vermont							
	,496 32,435	-1,493	-61	-4.4%	-0.2%	5.6%	5.2%
•	,614 72,239	-12,884	-1,375	-14.9%	-1.9%	14.2%	11.8%
•	,163 45,733	393	-430	0.9%	-0.9%	7.5%	7.4%
•	,652 112,555	2,233	-2,097		-1.8%	18.5%	18.4%
,	,835 191,807	-886	-3,028	-0.5%	-1.6%	32.1%	31.2%
	,182 82,060	22,262	2,878	39.1%	3.6%	9.3%	12.7%
•	,966 84,425	5,456	1,459	7.0%	1.8%	12.7%	13.3%
031 10413 17,010 02	,500 04,425	3,430	1,400	7.070	1.070	12.7 /0	10.070
Total 608,827 623	,908 621,254	15,081	-2,654	2.5%	-0.4%	100.0%	100.0%
		Chango	Change	% Chango	% Change		
Condon	06.47 2007.47	Change	Change	% Change			
Gender: 2000 200	06 1/ 2007 1/	00-06	06-07	00-06	06-07		
Male 298,337 307	,023 305,785	8,686	-1,238	2.9%	-0.4%		
,	,885 315,469	6,395	-1,416	2.1%	-0.4%		
. 5.1.6.5	,,,	0,000	.,	2.170	0.170		
Total 608,827 623	,908 621,254	15,081	-2,654	2.5%	-0.4%		

SOURCES

Census of Population & Housing, U.S. Bureau of the Census

¹ Vermont Department of Health Estimates

Table 17
Population Projections: 2000 - 2020

County	Census 2000	Projection 2005	Projection 2010	Projection 2015	Projection 2020	Percent Change 2000- 2005	Percent Change 2005- 2010	Percent Change 2010- 2015	Percent Change 2015- 2020
Vermont	608,827	625,935	639,241	652,199	666,041	2.8%	2.1%	2.0%	2.1%
Addison	35,974	37,052	37,907	38,805	39,813	3.0%	2.3%	2.4%	2.6%
Bennington	36,994	37,295	37,420	37,530	37,694	0.8%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Caledonia	29,702	30,455	31,121	31,816	32,550	2.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%
Chittenden	146,571	152,846	157,471	161,491	165,813	4.3%	3.0%	2.6%	2.7%
Essex	6,459	6,603	6,711	6,848	6,981	2.2%	1.6%	2.0%	1.9%
Franklin	45,417	47,617	49,583	51,701	54,065	4.8%	4.1%	4.3%	4.6%
Grand Isle	6,901	7,423	7,923	8,433	8,958	7.6%	6.7%	6.4%	6.2%
Lamoille	23,233	24,442	25,601	26,756	27,898	5.2%	4.7%	4.5%	4.3%
Orange	28,226	28,976	29,544	30,122	30,737	2.7%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Orleans	26,277	26,899	27,453	28,009	28,562	2.4%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Rutland	63,400	63,936	64,255	64,637	65,030	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
Washington	58,039	59,141	59,931	60,636	61,322	1.9%	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%
Windham	44,216	45,093	45,769	46,455	47,171	2.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Windsor	57,418	58,154	58,553	58,960	59,446	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%

Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic and Research

Further details available at: http://www.dad.state.vt.us/reports/vtpopulationprojections.pdf

Published August 2003

Table 18

Vermont Employment

Employment by Residence							
Resident							
Labor Force	2007	2008					
Labor Force	354,000	355,400					
Unemployment	14,000	16,900					
Rate (%)	4.0%	4.8%					
Employment	339,900	338,500					
Mater Dealdenay beards		Suralization alata					

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent		
		% of		% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	302,969	100.0%	303,438	100.0%	469	0.2%		
Private Ownership	251,380	83.0%	251,534	82.9%	154	0.1%		
Goods Producing	56,608	18.7%	55,815	18.4%	-793	-1.4%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	2,326	0.8%	2,361	0.8%	35	1.5%		
Mining	724	0.2%	723	0.2%	-1	-0.1%		
Construction	17,334	5.7%	16,831	5.5%	-503	-2.9%		
Manufacturing	36,224	12.0%	35,900	11.8%	-324	-0.9%		
Durable Goods	26,189	8.6%	26,109	8.6%	-80	-0.3%		
Non-Durable Goods	10,035	3.3%	9,791	3.2%	-244	-2.4%		
Service Providing	194,771	64.3%	195,719	64.5%	948	0.5%		
Wholesale trade	10,304	3.4%	10,300	3.4%	-4	0.0%		
Retail trade	40,454	13.4%	40,247	13.3%	-207	-0.5%		
Transportation & warehousing	6,836	2.3%	6,790	2.2%	-46	-0.7%		
Utilities	1,725	0.6%	1,737	0.6%	12	0.7%		
Information	6,024	2.0%	5,933	2.0%	-91	-1.5%		
Finance and Insurance	9,672	3.2%	9,596	3.2%	-76	-0.8%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	3,275	1.1%	3,309	1.1%	34	1.0%		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	13,256	4.4%	13,306	4.4%	50	0.4%		
Management of companies & enterprises	304	0.1%	317	0.1%	13	4.3%		
Administrative & waste services	8,676	2.9%	8,732	2.9%	56	0.6%		
Educational services	9,343	3.1%	9,341	3.1%	-2	0.0%		
Health care & social assistance	42,679	14.1%	44,250	14.6%	1,571	3.7%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	3,977	1.3%	3,948	1.3%	-29	-0.7%		
Accommodation & food services	29,265	9.7%	28,940	9.5%	-325	-1.1%		
Other services, except public administration	8,983	3.0%	8,974	3.0%	-9	-0.1%		
Government Ownership	51,589	17.0%	51,905	17.1%	316	0.6%		
-	- ,	,	- ,			2.270		

SOURCES

Table 19

Addison County Employment

Employment by Residence								
Resident		% of		% of				
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State				
Labor Force	21,550	6.1%	21,500	6.0%				
Unemployment	800	0.2%	950	5.6%				
Rate (%)	3.8%	NA	4.5%	NA				
Employment	20,700	6.1%	20,500	6.1%				

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry							
	Covered Employment						
		% of		% of	Change	Change	
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07	
Total Covered	14,707	100.0%	14,686	100.0%	-21	-0.1%	
Private Ownership	12,597	85.7%	12,572	85.6%	-25	-0.2%	
Goods Producing	3,642	24.8%	3,579	24.4%	-63	-1.7%	
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	563	3.8%	557	3.8%	-6	-1.1%	
Mining	19	0.1%	19	0.1%	0	0.0%	
Construction	810	5.5%	798	5.4%	-12	-1.5%	
Manufacturing	2,250	15.3%	2,205	15.0%	-45	-2.0%	
Durable Goods	1,352	9.2%	1,434	9.8%	82	6.1%	
Non-Durable Goods	898	6.1%	771	5.2%	-127	-14.1%	
Service Providing	8,955	60.9%	8,993	61.2%	38	0.4%	
Wholesale trade	319	2.2%	278	1.9%	-41	-12.9%	
Retail trade	2,087	14.2%	2,151	14.6%	64	3.1%	
Transportation & warehousing	302	2.1%	294	2.0%	-8	-2.6%	
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D	
Information	119	0.8%	102	0.7%	-17	-14.3%	
Finance and Insurance	327	2.2%	324	2.2%	-3	-0.9%	
Real estate & rental & leasing	90	0.6%	83	0.6%	-7	-7.8%	
Professional, scientific, & technical services	513	3.5%	510	3.5%	-3	-0.6%	
Management of companies & enterprises							
Administrative & waste services	230	1.6%	253	1.7%	23	10.0%	
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D	
Health care & social assistance	1,813	12.3%	1,859	12.7%	46	2.5%	
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	105	0.7%	102	0.7%	-3	-2.9%	
Accommodation & food services	1,163	7.9%	1,103	7.5%	-60	-5.2%	
Other services, except public administration	332	2.3%	355	2.4%	23	6.9%	
Government Ownership	2,109	14.3%	2,114	14.4%	5	0.2%	

SOURCES

Table 20 Bennington County Employment

Employment by Residence								
Resident		% of		% of				
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State				
Labor Force	20,400	5.8%	20,550	5.8%				
Unemployment	800	5.7%	1,000	5.9%				
Rate (%)	4.0%	NA	4.9%	NA				
Employment	19,600	5.8%	19,550	5.8%				

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry							
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent	
		% of		% of	Change	Change	
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07	
Total Covered	18,392	100.0%	18,299	100.0%	-93	-0.5%	
Private Ownership	16,264	88.4%	16,152	88.3%	-112	-0.7%	
Goods Producing	3,741	20.3%	3,779	20.7%	38	1.0%	
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	88	0.5%	D	D	D	D	
Mining			D	D	D	D	
Construction	847	4.6%	806	4.4%	-41	-4.8%	
Manufacturing	2,805	15.3%	2,898	15.8%	93	3.3%	
Durable Goods	2,114	11.5%	2,092	11.4%	-22	-1.0%	
Non-Durable Goods	692	3.8%	806	4.4%	114	16.5%	
Service Providing	12,524	68.1%	12,373	67.6%	-151	-1.2%	
Wholesale trade	315	1.7%	315	1.7%	0	0.0%	
Retail trade	3,337	18.1%	3,232	17.7%	-105	-3.1%	
Transportation & warehousing	191	1.0%	212	1.2%	21	11.0%	
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D	
Information	388	2.1%	422	2.3%	34	8.8%	
Finance and Insurance	414	2.3%	401	2.2%	-13	-3.1%	
Real estate & rental & leasing	201	1.1%	224	1.2%	23	11.4%	
Professional, scientific, & technical services	447	2.4%	441	2.4%	-6	-1.3%	
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D	
Administrative & waste services	517	2.8%	503	2.7%	-14	-2.7%	
Educational services	843	4.6%	872	4.8%	29	3.4%	
Health care & social assistance	2,919	15.9%	2,884	15.8%	-35	-1.2%	
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	414	2.3%	421	2.3%	7	1.7%	
Accommodation & food services	2,013	10.9%	1,946	10.6%	-67	-3.3%	
Other services, except public administration	481	2.6%	457	2.5%	-24	-5.0%	
Government Ownership	2,128	11.6%	2,148	11.7%	20	0.9%	

SOURCES

Table 21
Caledonia County Employment

Employment by Residence								
Resident		% of		% of				
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State				
Labor Force	16,750	4.7%	16,950	4.8%				
Unemployment	750	5.4%	900	5.3%				
Rate (%)	4.4%	NA	5.3%	NA				
Employment	16,000	4.7%	16,050	4.7%				

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	Covered Employment							
		% of		% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	11,756	100.0%	11,772	100.0%	16	0.1%		
Private Ownership	9,725	82.7%	9,746	82.8%	21	0.2%		
Goods Producing	2,636	22.4%	2,699	22.9%	63	2.4%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	111	0.9%	104	0.9%	-7	-6.3%		
Mining	16	0.1%	16	0.1%	0	0.0%		
Construction	716	6.1%	718	6.1%	2	0.3%		
Manufacturing	1,793	15.3%	1,861	15.8%	68	3.8%		
Durable Goods	1,338	11.4%	1,352	11.5%	14	1.0%		
Non-Durable Goods	454	3.9%	509	4.3%	55	12.1%		
Service Providing	7,089	60.3%	7,048	59.9%	-41	-0.6%		
Wholesale trade	296	2.5%	253	2.1%	-43	-14.5%		
Retail trade	1,636	13.9%	1,673	14.2%	37	2.3%		
Transportation & warehousing	349	3.0%	334	2.8%	-15	-4.3%		
Utilities	51	0.4%	D	D	D	D		
Information	296	2.5%	282	2.4%	-14	-4.7%		
Finance and Insurance	342	2.9%	337	2.9%	-5	-1.5%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	81	0.7%	93	0.8%	12	14.8%		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	386	3.3%	397	3.4%	11	2.8%		
Management of companies & enterprises			D	D	D	D		
Administrative & waste services	135	1.1%	133	1.1%	-2	-1.5%		
Educational services	504	4.3%	544	4.6%	40	7.9%		
Health care & social assistance	1,624	13.8%	1,639	13.9%	15	0.9%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	221	1.9%	173	1.5%	-48	-21.7%		
Accommodation & food services	819	7.0%	806	6.8%	-13	-1.6%		
Other services, except public administration	349	3.0%	329	2.8%	-20	-5.7%		
Government Ownership	2,031	17.3%	2,025	17.2%	-6	-0.3%		

SOURCES

Table 22
Chittenden County Employment

	Employme	ent by Reside	ence	
Resident		% of		% of
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State
Labor Force	88,550	25.0%	88,900	25.0%
Unemployment	2,950	21.1%	3,600	21.3%
Rate (%)	3.3%	NA	4.0%	NA
Employment	85,600	25.2%	85,300	25.2%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Empl	Employment by Industry					
	C	overed Em	ployment			Percent
		% of		% of	Change	Change
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07
Total Covered	94,853	100.0%	95,112	100.0%	259	0.3%
Private Ownership	80,100	84.4%	80,106	84.2%	6	0.0%
Goods Producing	17,575	18.5%	17,468	18.4%	-107	-0.6%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	147	0.2%	149	0.2%	2	1.4%
Mining	40	0.0%	41	0.0%	1	2.5%
Construction	5,217	5.5%	5,120	5.4%	-97	-1.9%
Manufacturing	12,171	12.8%	12,158	12.8%	-13	-0.1%
Durable Goods	10,370	10.9%	10,335	10.9%	-35	-0.3%
Non-Durable Goods	1,801	1.9%	1,823	1.9%	22	1.2%
Service Providing	62,525	65.9%	62,638	65.9%	113	0.2%
Wholesale trade	3,387	3.6%	3,304	3.5%	-83	-2.5%
Retail trade	12,721	13.4%	12,700	13.4%	-21	-0.2%
Transportation & warehousing	2,330	2.5%	2,326	2.4%	-4	-0.2%
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	2,316	2.4%	2,349	2.5%	33	1.4%
Finance and Insurance	3,475	3.7%	3,441	3.6%	-34	-1.0%
Real estate & rental & leasing	1,233	1.3%	1,294	1.4%	61	4.9%
Professional, scientific, & technical services	6,103	6.4%	6,215	6.5%	112	1.8%
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	3,533	3.7%	3,156	3.3%	-377	-10.7%
Educational services	2,151	2.3%	2,093	2.2%	-58	-2.7%
Health care & social assistance	13,092	13.8%	13,565	14.3%	473	3.6%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	1,621	1.7%	1,631	1.7%	10	0.6%
Accommodation & food services	7,368	7.8%	7,378	7.8%	10	0.1%
Other services, except public administration	2,789	2.9%	2,769	2.9%	-20	-0.7%
Government Ownership	14,753	15.6%	15,006	15.8%	253	1.7%

SOURCES

Table 23
Essex County Employment

	Employme	ent by Reside	ence	
Resident		% of		% of
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State
Labor Force	3,250	0.9%	3,250	0.9%
Unemployment	200	1.4%	200	1.2%
Rate (%)	5.4%	NA	6.3%	NA
Employment	3,100	0.9%	3,050	0.9%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry						
	С	overed Emp	oloyment			Percent
		% of		% of	Change	Change
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07
Total Covered	1,547	100.0%	1,397	100.0%	-150	-9.7%
Private Ownership	1,143	73.9%	991	70.9%	-152	-13.3%
Goods Producing	724	46.8%	622	44.5%	-102	-14.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D
Construction	55	3.6%	55	3.9%	0	0.0%
Manufacturing	619	40.0%	520	37.2%	-99	-16.0%
Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Non-Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Service Providing	418	27.0%	369	26.4%	-49	-11.7%
Wholesale trade	D	D	D	D	D	D
Retail trade	101	6.5%	93	6.7%	-8	-7.9%
Transportation & warehousing	45	2.9%	38	2.7%	-7	-15.6%
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	D	D	D	D	D	D
Finance and Insurance	10	0.6%	11	0.8%	1	10.0%
Real estate & rental & leasing						
Professional, scientific, & technical services	25	1.6%	24	1.7%	-1	-4.0%
Management of companies & enterprises						
Administrative & waste services	13	0.8%	12	0.9%	-1	-7.7%
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health care & social assistance	65	4.2%	62	4.4%	-3	-4.6%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	D	D	D	D	D	D
Accommodation & food services	114	7.4%	84	6.0%	-30	-26.3%
Other services, except public administration	26	1.7%	29	2.1%	3	11.5%
Government Ownership	404	26.1%	406	29.1%	2	0.5%

	R	

Table 24
Franklin County Employment

Employment by Residence							
Resident		% of		% of			
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State			
Labor Force	26,050	7.4%	26,150	7.4%			
Unemployment	1,100	7.9%	1,300	7.7%			
Rate (%)	4.3%	NA	5.0%	NA			
Employment	24,900	7.3%	24,850	7.3%			

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Emp	loyment	by Indu	stry			
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent
		% of		% of	Change	Change
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07
Total Covered	15,722	100.0%	16,052	100.0%	330	2.1%
Private Ownership	11,915	75.8%	12,260	76.4%	345	2.9%
Goods Producing	3,592	22.8%	3,584	22.3%	-8	-0.2%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	312	2.0%	332	2.1%	20	6.4%
Mining	25	0.2%	24	0.1%	-1	-4.0%
Construction	571	3.6%	562	3.5%	-9	-1.6%
Manufacturing	2,685	17.1%	2,666	16.6%	-19	-0.7%
Durable Goods	785	5.0%	805	5.0%	20	2.5%
Non-Durable Goods	1,900	12.1%	1,861	11.6%	-39	-2.1%
Service Providing	8,323	52.9%	8,676	54.0%	353	4.2%
Wholesale trade	657	4.2%	703	4.4%	46	7.0%
Retail trade	2,127	13.5%	2,136	13.3%	9	0.4%
Transportation & warehousing	439	2.8%	435	2.7%	-4	-0.9%
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	592	3.8%	568	3.5%	-24	-4.1%
Finance and Insurance	307	2.0%	309	1.9%	2	0.7%
Real estate & rental & leasing	95	0.6%	90	0.6%	-5	-5.3%
Professional, scientific, & technical services	276	1.8%	307	1.9%	31	11.2%
Management of companies & enterprises						
Administrative & waste services	232	1.5%	454	2.8%	222	95.7%
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health care & social assistance	2,166	13.8%	2,191	13.6%	25	1.2%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	90	0.6%	76	0.5%	-14	-15.6%
Accommodation & food services	961	6.1%	1,024	6.4%	63	6.6%
Other services, except public administration	324	2.1%	315	2.0%	-9	-2.8%
Government Ownership	3,807	24.2%	3,792	23.6%	-15	-0.4%

SOURCES

Table 25

Grand Isle County Employment

	Employr	nent by Res	idence							
Resident % of % of										
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State						
Labor Force	4,200	1.2%	4,250	1.2%						
Unemployment	250	1.8%	250	1.5%						
Rate (%)	5.4%	NA	6.2%	NA						
Employment	4,000	1.2%	3,950	1.2%						

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Empl	oyment	by Indus	stry			
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent
		% of		% of	Change	Change
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07
Total Covered	1,177	100.0%	1,185	100.0%	8	0.7%
Private Ownership	843	71.6%	851	71.8%	8	0.9%
Goods Producing	281	23.9%	261	22.0%	-20	-7.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D
Construction	215	18.3%	192	16.2%	-23	-10.7%
Manufacturing	41	3.5%	42	3.5%	1	2.4%
Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Non-Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Service Providing	562	47.7%	590	49.8%	28	5.0%
Wholesale trade	18	1.5%	17	1.4%	-1	-5.6%
Retail trade	176	15.0%	181	15.3%	5	2.8%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	57	4.8%	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	D	D	D	D	D	D
Finance and Insurance	12	1.0%	12	1.0%	0	0.0%
Real estate & rental & leasing	14	1.2%	14	1.2%	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, & technical services	31	2.6%	35	3.0%	4	12.9%
Management of companies & enterprises						
Administrative & waste services	34	2.9%	33	2.8%	-1	-2.9%
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health care & social assistance	48	4.1%	52	4.4%	4	8.3%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	35	3.0%	34	2.9%	-1	-2.9%
Accommodation & food services	99	8.4%	112	9.5%	13	13.1%
Other services, except public administration	30	2.5%	28	2.4%	-2	-6.7%
Government Ownership	334	28.4%	334	28.2%	0	0.0%

SOURCES

Table 26
Lamoille County Employment

	Emplo	yment by Resi	idence		
Resident		% of		% of	
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State	
Labor Force	15,150	4.3%	15,300	4.3%	
Unemployment	700	5.0%	850	5.0%	
Rate (%)	4.7%	NA	5.5%	NA	
Employment	14,400	4.2%	14,450	4.3%	

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Emp	loyment	by Indu	stry			
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent
		% of		% of	Change	Change
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07
Total Covered	11,144	100.0%	11,108	100.0%	-36	-0.3%
Private Ownership	9,475	85.0%	9,428	84.9%	-47	-0.5%
Goods Producing	1,633	14.7%	1,534	13.8%	-99	-6.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	57	0.5%	D	D	D	D
Mining	10	0.1%	D	D	D	D
Construction	914	8.2%	860	7.7%	-54	-5.9%
Manufacturing	653	5.9%	596	5.4%	-57	-8.7%
Durable Goods	447	4.0%	435	3.9%	-12	-2.7%
Non-Durable Goods	206	1.8%	162	1.5%	-44	-21.4%
Service Providing	7,842	70.4%	7,894	71.1%	52	0.7%
Wholesale trade	D	D	156	1.4%	D	D
Retail trade	1,550	13.9%	1,528	13.8%	-22	-1.4%
Transportation & warehousing	102	0.9%	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	107	1.0%	122	1.1%	15	14.0%
Finance and Insurance	219	2.0%	220	2.0%	1	0.5%
Real estate & rental & leasing	149	1.3%	141	1.3%	-8	-5.4%
Professional, scientific, & technical services	321	2.9%	329	3.0%	8	2.5%
Management of companies & enterprises						
Administrative & waste services	304	2.7%	359	3.2%	55	18.1%
Educational services	151	1.4%	156	1.4%	5	3.3%
Health care & social assistance	1,386	12.4%	1,367	12.3%	-19	-1.4%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	133	1.2%	136	1.2%	3	2.3%
Accommodation & food services	2,921	26.2%	2,849	25.6%	-72	-2.5%
Other services, except public administration	341	3.1%	357	3.2%	16	4.7%
Government Ownership	1,669	15.0%	1,679	15.1%	10	0.6%

SOURCES

Table 27
Orange County Employment

Employment by Residence							
Resident		% of		% of			
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State			
Labor Force	16,400	4.6%	16,400	4.6%			
Unemployment	600	4.3%	750	4.4%			
Rate (%)	3.7%	NA	4.5%	NA			
Employment	15,800	4.6%	15,700	4.6%			

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	C	overed Emp	oloyment			Percent		
		% of		% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	7,996	100.0%	7,933	100.0%	-63	-0.8%		
Private Ownership	6,005	75.1%	5,929	74.7%	-76	-1.3%		
Goods Producing	1,654	20.7%	1,561	19.7%	-93	-5.6%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	172	2.2%	164	2.1%	-8	-4.7%		
Mining	11	0.1%	10	0.1%	-1	-9.1%		
Construction	673	8.4%	634	8.0%	-39	-5.8%		
Manufacturing	797	10.0%	753	9.5%	-44	-5.5%		
Durable Goods	591	7.4%	552	7.0%	-39	-6.6%		
Non-Durable Goods	207	2.6%	201	2.5%	-6	-2.9%		
Service Providing	4,351	54.4%	4,368	55.1%	17	0.4%		
Wholesale trade	282	3.5%	358	4.5%	76	27.0%		
Retail trade	1,043	13.0%	954	12.0%	-89	-8.5%		
Transportation & warehousing	139	1.7%	132	1.7%	-7	-5.0%		
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Information	77	1.0%	72	0.9%	-5	-6.5%		
Finance and Insurance	181	2.3%	187	2.4%	6	3.3%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	292	3.7%	271	3.4%	-21	-7.2%		
Management of companies & enterprises								
Administrative & waste services	168	2.1%	175	2.2%	7	4.2%		
Educational services	155	1.9%	154	1.9%	-1	-0.6%		
Health care & social assistance	1,085	13.6%	1,152	14.5%	67	6.2%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	71	0.9%	71	0.9%	0	0.0%		
Accommodation & food services	588	7.4%	585	7.4%	-3	-0.5%		
Other services, except public administration	193	2.4%	193	2.4%	0	0.0%		
Government Ownership	1,991	24.9%	2,004	25.3%	13	0.7%		

SOURCES

Table 28
Orleans County Employment

Employment by Residence									
Resident % of % of									
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State					
Labor Force	14,350	4.1%	14,500	4.1%					
Unemployment	850	6.1%	1,000	5.9%					
Rate (%)	6.0%	NA	7.0%	NA					
Employment	13,500	4.0%	13,500	4.0%					

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry									
	(Covered Em	ployment			Percent			
		% of		% of	Change	Change			
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07			
Total Covered	10,027	100.0%	10,015	100.0%	-12	-0.1%			
Private Ownership	7,889	78.7%	7,863	78.5%	-26	-0.3%			
Goods Producing	2,275	22.7%	2,213	22.1%	-62	-2.7%			
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	163	1.6%	168	1.7%	5	3.1%			
Mining									
Construction	676	6.7%	641	6.4%	-35	-5.2%			
Manufacturing	1,435	14.3%	1,403	14.0%	-32	-2.2%			
Durable Goods	1,166	11.6%	1,198	12.0%	32	2.7%			
Non-Durable Goods	269	2.7%	205	2.0%	-64	-23.8%			
Service Providing	5,615	56.0%	5,651	56.4%	36	0.6%			
Wholesale trade	288	2.9%	289	2.9%	1	0.3%			
Retail trade	1,400	14.0%	1,401	14.0%	1	0.1%			
Transportation & warehousing	223	2.2%	230	2.3%	7	3.1%			
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D			
Information	97	1.0%	95	0.9%	-2	-2.1%			
Finance and Insurance	248	2.5%	244	2.4%	-4	-1.6%			
Real estate & rental & leasing	86	0.9%	D	D	D	D			
Professional, scientific, & technical services	160	1.6%	165	1.6%	5	3.1%			
Management of companies & enterprises									
Administrative & waste services	185	1.8%	184	1.8%	-1	-0.5%			
Educational services	170	1.7%	139	1.4%	-31	-18.2%			
Health care & social assistance	1,591	15.9%	1,652	16.5%	61	3.8%			
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	D	D	128	1.3%	D	D			
Accommodation & food services	791	7.9%	740	7.4%	-51	-6.4%			
Other services, except public administration	291	2.9%	287	2.9%	-4	-1.4%			
Government Ownership	2,137	21.3%	2,151	21.5%	14	0.7%			

SOURCES

Table 29
Rutland County Employment

Employment by Residence									
Resident % of % of									
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State					
Labor Force	35,800	10.1%	35,500	10.0%					
Unemployment	1,550	11.1%	2,000	11.8%					
Rate (%)	4.3%	NA	5.6%	NA					
Employment	34,250	10.1%	33,500	9.9%					

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent		
		% of		% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	29,690	100.0%	29,580	100.0%	-110	-0.4%		
Private Ownership	25,253	85.1%	25,172	85.1%	-81	-0.3%		
Goods Producing	6,020	20.3%	5,909	20.0%	-111	-1.8%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	58	0.2%	61	0.2%	3	5.2%		
Mining	394	1.3%	396	1.3%	2	0.5%		
Construction	1,825	6.1%	1,756	5.9%	-69	-3.8%		
Manufacturing	3,743	12.6%	3,695	12.5%	-48	-1.3%		
Durable Goods	3,351	11.3%	3,300	11.2%	-51	-1.5%		
Non-Durable Goods	392	1.3%	395	1.3%	3	0.8%		
Service Providing	19,233	64.8%	19,263	65.1%	30	0.2%		
Wholesale trade	815	2.7%	856	2.9%	41	5.0%		
Retail trade	4,377	14.7%	4,439	15.0%	62	1.4%		
Transportation & warehousing	757	2.5%	724	2.4%	-33	-4.4%		
Utilities	512	1.7%	545	1.8%	33	6.4%		
Information	418	1.4%	374	1.3%	-44	-10.5%		
Finance and Insurance	625	2.1%	622	2.1%	-3	-0.5%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	349	1.2%	329	1.1%	-20	-5.7%		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	1,025	3.5%	971	3.3%	-54	-5.3%		
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Administrative & waste services	957	3.2%	1,021	3.5%	64	6.7%		
Educational services	513	1.7%	507	1.7%	-6	-1.2%		
Health care & social assistance	3,977	13.4%	4,137	14.0%	160	4.0%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Accommodation & food services	3,618	12.2%	3,511	11.9%	-107	-3.0%		
Other services, except public administration	876	3.0%	841	2.8%	-35	-4.0%		
Government Ownership	4,437	14.9%	4,408	14.9%	-29	-0.7%		

SOURCES

Table 30 Washington County Employment

Employment by Residence									
Resident % of % of									
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State					
Labor Force	34,150	9.6%	34,450	9.7%					
Unemployment	1,400	10.0%	1,650	9.8%					
Rate (%)	4.0%	NA	4.8%	NA					
Employment	32,800	9.6%	32,800	9.7%					

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent		
		% of		% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	32,549	100.0%	32,579	100.0%	30	0.1%		
Private Ownership	24,689	75.9%	24,630	75.6%	-59	-0.2%		
Goods Producing	4,258	13.1%	4,160	12.8%	-98	-2.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	106	0.3%	124	0.4%	18	17.0%		
Mining	143	0.4%	144	0.4%	1	0.7%		
Construction	1,409	4.3%	1,345	4.1%	-64	-4.5%		
Manufacturing	2,600	8.0%	2,546	7.8%	-54	-2.1%		
Durable Goods	1,234	3.8%	1,160	3.6%	-74	-6.0%		
Non-Durable Goods	1,366	4.2%	1,385	4.3%	19	1.4%		
Service Providing	20,431	62.8%	20,471	62.8%	40	0.2%		
Wholesale trade	936	2.9%	1,005	3.1%	69	7.4%		
Retail trade	4,167	12.8%	4,204	12.9%	37	0.9%		
Transportation & warehousing	643	2.0%	632	1.9%	-11	-1.7%		
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Information	571	1.8%	553	1.7%	-18	-3.2%		
Finance and Insurance	2,268	7.0%	2,249	6.9%	-19	-0.8%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	303	0.9%	300	0.9%	-3	-1.0%		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	1,320	4.1%	1,283	3.9%	-37	-2.8%		
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Administrative & waste services	632	1.9%	664	2.0%	32	5.1%		
Educational services	1,384	4.3%	1,343	4.1%	-41	-3.0%		
Health care & social assistance	3,902	12.0%	3,980	12.2%	78	2.0%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	310	1.0%	314	1.0%	4	1.3%		
Accommodation & food services	2,611	8.0%	2,593	8.0%	-18	-0.7%		
Other services, except public administration	1,265	3.9%	1,234	3.8%	-31	-2.5%		
Government Ownership	7,860	24.1%	7,948	24.4%	88	1.1%		

SOURCES

Table 31
Windham County Employment

Employment by Residence										
Resident % of % of										
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State						
Labor Force	24,650	7.0%	24,550	6.9%						
Unemployment	1,000	7.1%	1,150	6.8%						
Rate (%)	4.0%	NA	4.7%	NA						
Employment	23,650	7.0%	23,400	6.9%						

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	С	overed Em	ployment			Percent		
		% of		% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	22,636	100.0%	22,543	100.0%	-93	-0.4%		
Private Ownership	19,688	87.0%	19,607	87.0%	-81	-0.4%		
Goods Producing	3,897	17.2%	3,881	17.2%	-16	-0.4%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Construction	1,217	5.4%	1,280	5.7%	63	5.2%		
Manufacturing	2,412	10.7%	2,325	10.3%	-87	-3.6%		
Durable Goods	1,656	7.3%	1,619	7.2%	-37	-2.2%		
Non-Durable Goods	755	3.3%	706	3.1%	-49	-6.5%		
Service Providing	15,791	69.8%	15,726	69.8%	-65	-0.4%		
Wholesale trade	1,441	6.4%	1,304	5.8%	-137	-9.5%		
Retail trade	2,800	12.4%	2,787	12.4%	-13	-0.5%		
Transportation & warehousing	508	2.2%	527	2.3%	19	3.7%		
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Information	323	1.4%	331	1.5%	8	2.5%		
Finance and Insurance	630	2.8%	631	2.8%	1	0.2%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	299	1.3%	304	1.3%	5	1.7%		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	537	2.4%	545	2.4%	8	1.5%		
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Administrative & waste services	627	2.8%	650	2.9%	23	3.7%		
Educational services	1,567	6.9%	1,584	7.0%	17	1.1%		
Health care & social assistance	2,552	11.3%	2,623	11.6%	71	2.8%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	174	0.8%	159	0.7%	-15	-8.6%		
Accommodation & food services	3,088	13.6%	3,038	13.5%	-50	-1.6%		
Other services, except public administration	709	3.1%	730	3.2%	21	3.0%		
Government Ownership	2,948	13.0%	2,937	13.0%	-11	-0.4%		

SOURCES

Table 32 Windsor County Employment

Employment by Residence									
Resident		% of		% of					
Labor Force	2007	State	2008	State					
Labor Force	32,750	9.3%	33,150	9.3%					
Unemployment	1,100	7.9%	1,250	7.4%					
Rate (%)	3.3%	NA	3.8%	NA					
Employment	31,700	9.3%	31,900	9.4%					

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Employment by Industry								
	C	overed Em	ployment			Percent		
	% of			% of	Change	Change		
Industry	2006	Total	2007	Total	06-07	06-07		
Total Covered	24,122	100.0%	24,157	100.0%	35	0.1%		
Private Ownership	19,151	79.4%	19,209	79.5%	58	0.3%		
Goods Producing	4,177	17.3%	4,113	17.0%	-64	-1.5%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	203	0.8%	205	0.8%	2	1.0%		
Mining	60	0.2%	57	0.2%	-3	-5.0%		
Construction	1,727	7.2%	1,655	6.9%	-72	-4.2%		
Manufacturing	2,187	9.1%	2,196	9.1%	9	0.4%		
Durable Goods	1,271	5.3%	1,354	5.6%	83	6.5%		
Non-Durable Goods	916	3.8%	842	3.5%	-74	-8.1%		
Service Providing	14,974	62.1%	15,097	62.5%	123	0.8%		
Wholesale trade	767	3.2%	729	3.0%	-38	-5.0%		
Retail trade	2,765	11.5%	2,630	10.9%	-135	-4.9%		
Transportation & warehousing	723	3.0%	704	2.9%	-19	-2.6%		
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Information	609	2.5%	586	2.4%	-23	-3.8%		
Finance and Insurance	519	2.2%	523	2.2%	4	0.8%		
Real estate & rental & leasing	295	1.2%	290	1.2%	-5	-1.7%		
Professional, scientific, & technical services	1,325	5.5%	1,328	5.5%	3	0.2%		
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D		
Administrative & waste services	581	2.4%	615	2.5%	34	5.9%		
Educational services	330	1.4%	334	1.4%	4	1.2%		
Health care & social assistance	2,653	11.0%	2,818	11.7%	165	6.2%		
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	413	1.7%	420	1.7%	7	1.7%		
Accommodation & food services	3,075	12.7%	3,142	13.0%	67	2.2%		
Other services, except public administration	844	3.5%	906	3.8%	62	7.3%		
Government Ownership	4,971	20.6%	4,948	20.5%	-23	-0.5%		

SOURCES for Tables 18-32

Vermont Department of Labor, Economic & Labor Market Information Section

Local Area Unemployment Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment & Wages

NA Not Applicable -- No Employment D denotes data which cannot be disclosed.

Table 33
Agricultural Comparison
of Vermont Counties: 2002 - 2007

					Agricul	tural	Dair	У	% Dairy
	Farm Acre	eage ²	No. Hired V	Vorkers ¹	Products	Sold ²	Products	Sold ²	of Tota
County		% Chg		% Chg	(000's)	% Chg	(000's)	% Chg	Sales
	2007	02-07	2007	02-07	2007	02-07	2007	02-07	2007
Vermont	1,233,313	-0.9%	8,343	-9.3%	\$673,713	-42.4%	\$493,926	-44.2%	73.3%
Addison	187,482	-3.0%	1,494	-40.2%	\$161,417	-52.4%	\$125,843	-56.2%	78.0%
Bennington	36,580	-11.1%	273	-13.3%	\$10,518	-34.5%	\$6,135	-37.4%	58.3%
Caledonia	81,946	-2.8%	371	-27.5%	\$31,542	-32.6%	\$24,585	-40.6%	77.9%
Chittenden	83,382	8.7%	647	6.6%	\$33,662	-19.8%	\$17,080	-10.6%	50.7%
Essex	26,732	34.8%	107	4.5%	\$12,147	-78.9%	\$10,386	-89.4%	85.5%
Franklin	180,006	-5.3%	1,244	-35.5%	\$160,619	-39.1%	\$129,550	-42.8%	80.7%
Grand Isle	17,138	5.2%	203	18.8%	\$13,391	-45.0%	\$10,851	-62.1%	81.0%
Lamoille	49,749	-7.6%	261	-35.2%	\$21,594	-57.3%	\$11,800	-42.0%	54.6%
Orange	101,645	-7.9%	743	25.6%	\$43,292	-35.3%	\$30,338	-34.8%	70.1%
Orleans	130,308	-1.5%	610	19.7%	\$82,348	-43.6%	\$69,982	-43.6%	85.0%
Rutland	130,580	7.7%	594	-67.8%	\$35,286	-47.1%	\$21,426	-33.3%	60.7%
Washington	61,029	13.1%	561	-67.0%	\$21,511	-45.9%	\$13,981	-51.9%	65.0%
Windham	50,764	-17.6%	523	13.7%	\$21,408	-16.8%	\$10,827	-17.3%	50.6%
Windsor	95,972	6.7%	712	12.4%	\$24,978	-57.7%	\$11,141	-48.4%	44.6%

U. S. Department of Agriculture; National Agricultural Statistics Service


¹ Data is based on a sample of farms. http://www.nass.usda.gov/Census_of_Agriculture


² http://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/New_England/

Table 34

Forest Industry Comparison of Vermont Counties

		2006 Hai	vest 1		2007 Harvest 1					
	Hardwood		Softwo	Softwood		ood .	Softwood			
County	Board ft.	% of	Board ft.	% of	Board ft.	% of	Board ft.	% of	Sawmills 2	
	(000s)	State	(000s)	State	(000s)	State	(000s)	State	2007	
Vermont	90,082	100.0%	104,432	100.0%	93593	100.0%	106538	100.0%	144	
Addison	5,133	5.7%	2,500	2.4%	5329	5.7%	2558	2.4%	12	
Bennington	7,257	8.1%	1,328	1.3%	7560	8.1%	1359	1.3%	4	
Caledonia	5,275	5.9%	7,897	7.6%	5470	5.8%	8027	7.5%	15	
Chittenden	1,826	2.0%	4,198	4.0%	1901	2.0%	4289	4.0%	4	
Essex	2,183	2.4%	14,429	13.8%	2261	2.4%	14695	13.8%	3	
Franklin/G I	5,204	5.8%	7,956	7.6%	5424	5.8%	8118	7.6%	5	
Lamoille	9,624	10.7%	3,530	3.4%	10010	10.7%	3602	3.4%	7	
Orange	6,537	7.3%	9,299	8.9%	6765	7.2%	9498	8.9%	10	
Orleans	7,442	8.3%	14,555	13.9%	7731	8.3%	14791	13.9%	17	
Rutland	10,737	11.9%	9,235	8.8%	11138	11.9%	9438	8.9%	14	
Washington	3,722	4.1%	3,618	3.5%	3857	4.1%	3687	3.5%	9	
Windham	11,726	13.0%	11,429	10.9%	12174	13.0%	11702	11.0%	20	
Windsor	13,416	14.9%	14,457	13.8%	13974	14.9%	14774	13.9%	24	





SOURCES

Vermont Department of Forest, Parks & Recreation

Franklin and Grand Isle Counties are combined in harvest reporting.


¹ Harvest volumes do not include firewood volumes.


² Includes both dormant and active.

Table 35

Tax Revenues for Vermont Counties

Personal Income Tax Amount			Sales & Use Tax Amount		leals unt	Property Transfer Tax Amount		
County		% of		% of		% of		% of
	CY 2007	State	FY 2008	State	FY 2008	State	CY 2008	State
Vermont	\$510,780,159	100.0%	\$334,781,238	100.0%	\$120,114,611	100.0%	\$38,315,509	100.0%
Addison	\$27,312,063	5.3%	\$9,271,056	2.8%	\$4,397,296	3.7%	\$1,735,750	4.5%
Bennington	\$29,300,062	5.7%	\$19,110,391	5.7%	\$8,752,872	7.3%	\$2,612,277	6.8%
Caledonia	\$16,420,823	3.2%	\$8,742,230	2.6%	\$3,143,415	2.6%	\$925,488	2.4%
Chittenden	\$180,043,219	35.2%	\$94,857,900	28.3%	\$32,659,470	27.2%	\$10,402,302	27.1%
Essex/Orleans	\$14,040,067	2.7%	\$8,561,491	2.6%	\$3,415,609	2.8%	\$1,314,683	3.4%
Franklin/G I	\$34,640,798	6.8%	\$13,415,564	4.0%	\$4,208,824	3.5%	\$2,236,991	5.8%
Lamoille	\$24,247,081	4.7%	\$12,472,168	3.7%	\$10,132,352	8.4%	\$2,314,500	6.0%
Orange	\$18,213,403	3.6%	\$5,022,325	1.5%	\$1,801,818	1.5%	\$968,218	2.5%
Rutland	\$41,505,794	8.1%	\$35,463,214	10.6%	\$12,866,644	10.7%	\$4,225,700	11.0%
Washington	\$46,283,209	9.1%	\$23,495,923	7.0%	\$9,695,454	8.1%	\$3,023,748	7.9%
Windham	\$29,310,739	5.7%	\$17,057,881	5.1%	\$10,781,056	9.0%	\$3,604,555	9.4%
Windsor	\$49,178,398	9.6%	\$17,117,987	5.1%	\$12,489,068	10.4%	\$4,951,297	12.9%
Other ¹	\$284,503	0.1%	\$70,193,107	21.0%	\$5,770,733	4.8%	NA	NA






Vermont Department of Taxes


CY = Calendar Year FY = Fiscal Year NA = Not Applicable

¹ Other = suppressed data or not available by county


Table 36
Home Sales for Vermont Counties

	Year Round Home Sales				V	acation Hor	ne Sales	
County	2005	2005		2008			2008	
	# Units Pri	Median ce (000s)	# Units Pr	Median ice (000s)	# Units Pri	Median ce (000s)	# Units Pri	Median ice (000s)
Vermont	9,135	\$185	4,880	\$200	1,897	\$225	1,434	\$239
Addison	434	\$196	225	\$212	72	\$190	38	\$224
Bennington	495	\$170	248	\$190	222	\$325	111	\$338
Caledonia	378	\$126	172	\$143	81	\$140	50	\$164
Chittenden	3,017	\$230	1,678	\$240	58	\$277	67	\$258
Essex	70	\$87	37	\$105	57	\$130	36	\$153
Franklin	720	\$175	375	\$176	66	\$139	40	\$150
Grand Isle	96	\$199	65	\$210	49	\$223	37	\$248
Lamoille	289	\$192	151	\$205	97	\$348	106	\$383
Orange	387	\$155	197	\$165	70	\$130	57	\$190
Orleans	370	\$115	176	\$125	118	\$156	97	\$160
Rutland	768	\$146	401	\$154	307	\$212	171	\$205
Washington	778	\$164	439	\$185	94	\$222	112	\$213
Windham	502	\$180	264	\$190	339	\$215	285	\$245
Windsor	831	\$177	452	\$195	267	\$269	227	\$305









Vermont Housing Finance Agency (VHFA)

Table 37
Education Comparison of Vermont Schools
By County

<u> </u>				
	Enrollment	Drop Out	Student	Cohort
High Schools (FY 2008)	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Graduation
	9-12 ¹	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	Rate
	Ve	ermont		
All High Schools	30,942	3.1%	11.1	86%
	Addis	on County		
Middlebury	668	1.7%	12.4	89%
Mt. Abraham	643	2.6%	11.3	86%
Vergennes	436	1.9%	13.0	93%
	Benning	gton County		
Arlington	133	2.0%	8.0	86%
Burr & Burton	699	0.2%	12.0	NA
Mt. Anthony	1,148	7.0%	16.0	76%
	Caledo	nia County		
Danville School	133	2.3%	8.9	89%
Hazen	240	2.9%	9.8	80%
Lyndon Institute	637	2.2%	12.0	NA
St. Johnsbury Academy	987	0.0%	11.7	NA
	Chitten	den County		
Burlington	1,187	4.1%	13.7	85%
Champlain Valley	1,387	0.7%	14.5	93%
Colchester	813	1.9%	13.9	88%
Essex	1,473	2.2%	12.6	89%
Milton	559	2.8%	12.3	85%
Mt. Mansfield	958	1.2%	15.6	94%
South Burlington	912	1.3%	12.8	93%
Winooski	211	5.2%	9.8	74%
		x County		
Canaan	111	2.7%	11.5	87%
Concord	86	3.3%	11.3	85%
		lin County		
Bellows Free (Fairfax)	387	2.0%	12.3	87%
Bellows Free Academy	1,129	3.1%	11.6	86%
Enosburg	376	4.9%	10.7	91%
Mississquoi Valley	698	4.5%	11.8	81%
Richford	175	3.1%	11.0	95%
		ille County		
Lamoille	624	3.3%	13.9	86%
Peoples Academy	351	2.7%	12.3	90%
Stowe	233	0.9%	13.3	100%

Table 37 - continued

Education Comparison of Vermont Schools By County

by search	Enrollment	Drop Out	Student	Cohort
High Schools (FY 2008)	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Graduation
	9-12 ¹	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	Rate
		nge County		
Blue Mountain	158	4.6%	9.7	76%
Chelsea	79	2.9%	7.5	83%
Oxbow	378	3.9%	12.0	80%
Randolph	327	3.7%	11.5	83%
Thetford Academy	290	1.1%	9.4	NA
Williamstown	180	4.8% ans County	12.3	80%
Craftsbury School	56	5.8%	7.8	75%
Lake Region	380	3.1%	12.6	83%
North Country	992	5.2%	12.5	81%
1401til Country		and County	12.0	0170
Fair Haven	521	4.3%	11.7	84%
Mill River	486	3.1%	12.0	89%
Otter Valley	486	2.3%	12.5	92%
Poultney	185	2.6%	9.0	89%
Proctor	96	1.9%	8.2	87%
Rutland	1,074	3.6%	13.6	89%
West Rutland	122	5.4%	10.5	86%
		ngton County	<u> </u>	
Cabot School	89	4.3%	8.1	72%
Harwood	642	1.7%	11.7	88%
Montpelier	374	2.6%	9.3	89%
Northfield	250 906	2.8% 3.7%	11.3 11.6	80% 84%
Spaulding Twinfield	141	5.7% 6.2%	9.5	75%
U-32	615	2.5%	11.6	84%
0 32	010	2.570	11.0	0470
	Wind	ham County		
Bellows Falls	397	6.3%	8.7	64%
Brattleboro	1,010	4.4%	15.6	76%
Leland & Gray	270	4.5%	10.1	90%
Twin Valley	208	1.6%	8.9	86%
		Isor County		
Black River	143	4.1%	8.7	88%
Green Mountain	262	4.6%	11.2	84%
Hartford	684	2.9%	11.6	88%
Rochester	98	1.6%	11.0	83%
South Royalton	186	1.6%	10.3	84%
Springfield	503	8.0%	12.8	70%
Whitcomb	76 284	6.9%	10.6	73%
Windsor	281	3.3%	11.1	94%
Woodstock	423	2.3%	9.6	89%

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Education

NA Not Available

See EDUCATION in the Glossary for further details.

¹ Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

Table 38
Education Assessment of Vermont Schools
By County (FY 2008)

			Perc	ent at each F	Performance	Level
School Name	Assessment Area		Substantially Below Profi- cient Level 1	Partially Proficient Level 2	Proficient Level 3	Proficient with Distinction Level 4
	Add	ison Cou	nty			
Middlebury Senior UHS #3	MATH	153	29%	28%	39%	4%
	READ	153	9%	10%	43%	37%
	WRITE	153	10%	43%	39%	7%
Mt. Abraham UHS #28	MATH	150	47%	26%	24%	3%
	READ	152	18%	22%	42%	18%
	WRITE	152	22%	51%	24%	2%
Vergennes UHS #5	MATH	106	38%	27%	34%	1%
	READ	106	15%	16%	58%	11%
	WRITE	106	9%	47%	40%	4%
	Benni	ington Co	ounty			
Arlington Memorial School	MATH	27	37%	22%	41%	0%
	READ	27	0%	15%	59%	26%
	WRITE	27	0%	44%	56%	0%
Burr And Burton Academy	MATH	170	26%	29%	41%	3%
	READ	170	6%	8%	54%	32%
	WRITE	171	3%	37%	50%	9%
Mt. Anthony Senior UHS #14	MATH	258	41%	27%	31%	2%
	READ	257	12%	19%	49%	21%
	WRITE	259	14%	45%	40%	2%
	Caled	donia Co	unty			
Danville School	MATH	34	62%	15%	24%	0%
	READ	33	12%	24%	52%	12%
	WRITE	34	12%	56%	29%	3%
Hazen UHS #26	MATH	56	29%	36%	34%	2%
	READ	58	7%	21%	47%	26%
	WRITE	57	9%	54%	37%	0%
Lyndon Institute	MATH	120	51%	28%	22%	0%
	READ	120	20%	28%	46%	6%
	WRITE	120	5%	57%	37%	2%
St. Johnsbury Academy	MATH	172	37%	27%	35%	0%
•	READ	173	10%	23%	50%	17%
	WRITE	173	9%	47%	40%	3%

Table 38 - continued **Education Assessment of Vermont Schools** By County (FY 2008)

			Percen	t at each Per	formance L	
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Substantially Below Profi- cient Level 1	Partially Proficient Level 2	Proficient Level 3	Proficient with Distinction Level 4
	Chitt	enden Co	unty			
Burlington Senior High School	MATH	214	36%	24%	38%	2%
	READ	215	10%	13%	42%	34%
	WRITE	215	7%	47%	41%	5%
Champlain Valley UHS #15	MATH	321	26%	27%	45%	1%
	READ	318	8%	13%	55%	24%
	WRITE	320	8%	30%	54%	8%
Colchester High School	MATH	188	34%	33%	31%	2%
	READ	187	9%	17%	59%	16%
	WRITE	188	9%	61%	29%	2%
Essex Comm. Education Ctr. UHSD #46	MATH	351	19%	21%	55%	5%
	READ	355	4%	12%	44%	41%
	WRITE	355	5%	37%	49%	8%
Milton High School	MATH	124	41%	35%	23%	0%
	READ	124	10%	18%	57%	15%
	WRITE	126	8%	51%	39%	2%
Mt. Mansfield US #17	MATH	218	28%	25%	42%	5%
	READ	218	7%	9%	48%	36%
	WRITE	217	5%	41%	47%	6%
South Burlington High School	MATH	196	22%	30%	44%	4%
0 0	READ	198	5%	8%	41%	46%
	WRITE	198	6%	35%	55%	5%
Vinooski High School	MATH	47	64%	21%	15%	0%
•	READ	51	27%	31%	29%	12%
	WRITE	49	22%	49%	29%	0%
		sex Coun				
Canaan Schools	MATH	18	22%	33%	39%	6%
	READ	18	6%	11%	67%	17%
	WRITE	18	6%	39%	56%	0%
Concord Graded/High School	MATH	27	33%	26%	41%	0%
	READ	27	15%	30%	33%	22%
	WRITE	27	15%	44%	41%	0%
	Fra	nklin Cou	nty			
Bellows Free Academy (Fairfax)	MATH	106	29%	30%	41%	0%
	READ	106	4%	22%	50%	25%
	WRITE	106	8%	44%	43%	5%
Bellows Free Academy (St. Albans)	MATH	247	34%	31%	34%	1%
	READ	248	9%	26%	47%	18%
	WRITE	246	9%	52%	35%	5%
Enosburg Falls Junior/Senior High School	MATH	95	43%	31%	26%	0%
	READ	95	7%	20%	55%	18%
	WRITE	95	13%	58%	27%	2%
Missisquoi Valley UHS #7	MATH	159	51%	30%	18%	1%
	READ	159	14%	19%	45%	21%
	WRITE	159	17%	52%	28%	4%
Richford Junior/Senior High School	MATH	51	47%	35%	16%	2%
	READ	51	12%	22%	57%	10%
	WRITE	51	8%	51%	41%	0%

Table 38 - continued

Education Assessment of Vermont Schools By County (FY 2008)

			Percent at each Performance Level					
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Substantially Below Proficient Level 1	Partially Proficient Level 2	Proficient Level 3	Proficient with Dis- tinction Level 4		
		Lamoille	County					
Lamoille UHS #18	MATH	114	42%	28%	28%	2%		
	READ	114	10%	26%	48%	16%		
	WRITE	114	16%	46%	37%	2%		
Peoples Academy	MATH	74	41%	27%	32%	0%		
	READ	74	12%	14%	51%	23%		
	WRITE	75	13%	37%	39%	11%		
Stowe Middle/High School	MATH	42	14%	19%	60%	7%		
	READ	42	2%	10%	52%	36%		
	WRITE	42	2%	36%	55%	7%		
		Orange						
Blue Mountain US #21	MATH	35	57%	23%	20%	0%		
	READ	35	11%	31%	49%	9%		
	WRITE	35	14%	60%	23%	3%		
Chelsea Elementary High School	MATH	13	62%	15%	23%	0%		
, ,	READ	14	14%	29%	21%	36%		
	WRITE	14	0%	50%	50%	0%		
Oxbow UHS #30	MATH	85	39%	31%	29%	1%		
	READ	85	7%	16%	41%	35%		
	WRITE	85	15%	39%	44%	2%		
Randolph UHS #2	MATH	65	25%	37%	38%	0%		
·	READ	65	14%	11%	42%	34%		
	WRITE	63	6%	44%	43%	6%		
Thetford Academy	MATH	53	28%	34%	34%	4%		
•	READ	53	8%	13%	62%	17%		
	WRITE	53	11%	43%	40%	6%		
Williamstown Middle/High School	MATH	39	49%	36%	15%	0%		
· ·	READ	40	10%	30%	53%	8%		
	WRITE	40	15%	45%	38%	3%		
		Orleans						
Craftsbury Schools	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
•	READ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
	WRITE	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Lake Region UHS #24	MATH	94	29%	30%	40%	1%		
•	READ	94	2%	15%	53%	30%		
	WRITE	94	2%	50%	43%	5%		
North Country UHS #22A	MATH	230	44%	34%	21%	1%		
•	READ	230	8%	21%	52%	19%		
	WRITE	231	14%	52%	32%	1%		

SOURCES

Table 38 - continued

Education Assessment of Vermont Schools By County (FY 2008)

			Percent at each Performance Level					
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Substantially Below Profi- cient Level 1	Partially Proficient Level 2	Proficient Level 3	Proficient with Distinc tion Level 4		
	Ri	utland Co	unty					
air Haven UHS #16	MATH	116	48%	32%	19%	1%		
	READ	116	16%	28%	47%	9%		
	WRITE	116	6%	61%	30%	3%		
Mill River US #40	MATH	97	32%	36%	30%	2%		
	READ	97	10%	23%	59%	8%		
	WRITE	97	14%	52%	30%	4%		
Otter Valley UHS #8	WRITE	97	40%	35%	25%	0%		
	MATH	99	8%	25%	42%	24%		
	READ	98	6%	52%	38%	4%		
Poultney High School	MATH	42	50%	31%	19%	0%		
	READ	42	24%	29%	43%	5%		
	WRITE	42	24%	71%	5%	0%		
Proctor Junior/Senior High School	MATH	25	48%	24%	28%	0%		
·	READ	25	8%	24%	60%	8%		
	WRITE	25	4%	76%	20%	0%		
Rutland High School	MATH	258	45%	26%	28%	2%		
-	READ	260	14%	25%	50%	12%		
	WRITE	259	15%	43%	38%	4%		
Vest Rutland School	MATH	26	42%	35%	23%	0%		
	READ	26	19%	23%	50%	8%		
	WRITE	25	16%	48%	36%	0%		
	Was	hington (County					
Cabot School	MATH	19	47%	16%	37%	0%		
	READ	19	16%	21%	47%	16%		
	WRITE	19	5%	63%	32%	0%		
Harwood UHS #19	MATH	148	41%	26%	32%	1%		
	READ	150	12%	17%	47%	24%		
	WRITE	149	13%	36%	44%	7%		
Montpelier High School	MATH	83	19%	28%	51%	2%		
3	READ	83	6%	12%	55%	27%		
	WRITE	83	8%	40%	46%	6%		
Northfield Middle/High School	MATH	59	46%	29%	25%	0%		
3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	READ	59	15%	8%	42%	34%		
	WRITE	59	12%	44%	34%	10%		
Spaulding HSU #41	MATH	188	32%	32%	34%	2%		
	READ	188	11%	23%	52%	14%		
	WRITE	189	13%	46%	38%	4%		
Twinfield US #33	MATH	31	35%	29%	35%	0%		
	READ	31	16%	10%	42%	32%		
	WRITE	31	16%	35%	39%	10%		
J32 UHS #32	MATH	122	27%	30%	41%	2%		
	() () () []		<u></u>	0070	1170	_ /0		
J32 0113 #32	READ	122	9%	15%	50%	26%		

SOURCES

Table 38 - continued

Education Assessment of Vermont Schools By County (FY 2008)

				ent at each F	Performance	Level
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Substan- tially Below Proficient Level 1	Partially Proficient Level 2	Proficient Level 3	Proficient with Distinc- tion Level 4
	Windh	am Coui	nty			
Bellows Falls UHS #27	MATH	85	29%	31%	36%	4%
	READ	85	8%	15%	62%	14%
	WRITE	84	17%	67%	17%	0%
Brattleboro UHS #6	MATH	236	38%	31%	31%	1%
	READ	238	9%	18%	53%	20%
	WRITE	237	12%	48%	33%	8%
Leland & Gray UHS #34	MATH	64	36%	22%	42%	0%
	READ	63	21%	21%	49%	10%
	WRITE	63	19%	40%	33%	8%
Twin Valley High School	MATH	42	45%	26%	29%	0%
	READ	43	14%	12%	53%	21%
	WRITE	42	12%	50%	36%	2%
		sor Coun				
Black River US #39	MATH	22	36%	36%	27%	0%
	READ	22	5%	9%	68%	18%
	WRITE	23	17%	35%	48%	0%
Green Mountain UHS #35	MATH	65	32%	15%	48%	5%
	READ	69	10%	10%	42%	38%
	WRITE	68	10%	32%	47%	10%
Hartford High School	MATH	151	36%	32%	31%	0%
	READ	151	10%	15%	60%	15%
	WRITE	151	9%	52%	38%	0%
Rochester School	MATH	24	50%	33%	17%	0%
	READ	24	17%	21%	54%	8%
Courth Dougliton Floresenton // limb Cobool	WRITE	24	25%	46%	29%	0%
South Royalton Elementary/High School	MATH READ	43 43	44% 7%	42% 9%	14% 77%	0%
	WRITE	43	7 % 5%	70%	26%	7% 0%
Springfield High School	MATH	110	43%	32%	23%	3%
Springileid High School	READ	114	43% 13%	20%	23% 54%	12%
	WRITE	113	11%	52%	34%	4%
Whitcomb Junior/Senior High School	MATH	18	50%	11%	39%	0%
Willicomb Junion/Jernior Flight Jerioor	READ	18	11%	17%	39%	33%
	WRITE	18	17%	61%	22%	0%
Windsor High School	MATH	57	49%	19%	26%	5%
vviildeel i light conteel	READ	57	9%	19%	58%	14%
	WRITE	57	5%	56%	35%	4%
Woodstock Senior UHS #4	MATH	108	35%	30%	34%	1%
Troubled Control Crio II 1	READ	109	5%	20%	56%	19%
	WRITE	110	6%	50%	37%	6%
		of Vermo		2370	2.70	370
State of Vermont	MATH	7201	22%	50%	18%	10%
Cast of Common	READ	7166	2%	33%	28%	37%
	WRITE	7197	4%	38%	46%	11%

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Education

NA = data not available

NOTE: Assessments are grade 10.

See the DOE web site for other grades and additional information at www.state.vt.us/educ/index.htm

Table 39

Addison County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age										
	20	006	20	005	2004					
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
Total - All Jobs	13,829	100.0%	12,786	100.0%	12,912	100.0%				
Age 30 or younger	3,473	25.1%	3,185	24.9%	2,686	20.8%				
Age 31 to 54	7,560	54.7%	7,116	55.7%	7,807	60.5%				
Age 55 or older	2,796	20.2%	2,485	19.4%	2,419	18.7%				

Jobs by Earnings Paid										
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
\$1,200 per month or less	4,426	32.0%	4,341	34.0%	3,952	30.6%				
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	5,627	40.7%	5,133	40.1%	5,627	43.6%				
More than \$3,400 per month	3,776	27.3%	3,312	25.9%	3,333	25.8%				

Quarterly W	/orkforce	Indicat	tors (Q	WI)	
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004 2004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count Share
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	13,832	100.0%	13,004	100.0%	13,140 100.0%
Net Job Change	802	100.0%	1,409	100.0%	874 100.0%
Job Creation (job gain)	1,640	100.0%	2,345	100.0%	1,738 100.0%
Separations, Stable Jobs	2,481	100.0%	2,523	100.0%	2,207 100.0%
New Hires, Stable Jobs	2,827	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	2,575 100.0%
Turnover	10.0%	100.0%	10.8%	100.0%	8.9% 100.0%
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,798	100.0%	\$2,708	100.0%	\$2,721 100.0%
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,868	100.0%	\$1,539	100.0%	\$1,718 100.0%

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) x (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Average New Hire Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable new hires divided by the number of stable new hires, divided by 3.

Table 39 (continued)

Addison County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

Addison County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		2005		04			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total - All Jobs	13,829	100.0%	12,786	100.0%	12,912	100.0%			

Job Cou	unts by F	Place of	Residen	ice		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Middlebury (town), Vermont	2,256	16.3%	2,107	16.5%	2,182	16.9%
Bristol, Vermont	1,064	7.7%	1,146	9.0%	1,132	8.8%
Burlington, Vermont	716	5.2%	594	4.6%	638	4.9%
Vergennes, Vermont	706	5.1%	688	5.4%	720	5.6%
New Haven, Vermont	601	4.3%	544	4.3%	529	4.1%
Ferrisburgh, Vermont	556	4.0%	562	4.4%	575	4.5%
Addison, Vermont	478	3.5%	453	3.5%	437	3.4%
Brandon, Vermont	448	3.2%	323	2.5%	346	2.7%
Bridport, Vermont	381	2.8%	370	2.9%	390	3.0%
Shoreham, Vermont	369	2.7%	375	2.9%	408	3.2%
Rutland, Vermont	168	1.2%	90	0.7%	114	0.9%
South Burlington, Vermont	114	0.8%	86	0.7%	87	0.7%
Winooski, Vermont	67	0.5%	20	0.2%	21	0.2%
Mineville-Witherbee, New York	62	0.4%	46	0.4%	59	0.5%
Essex Junction, Vermont	43	0.3%	38	0.3%	24	0.2%
Glens Falls, New York	37	0.3%	20	0.2%	29	0.2%
All Other Locations	5,763	41.7%	5,324	41.6%	5,221	40.4%
Counties						
Addison Co., Vermont	9,632	69.7%	9,441	73.8%	9,713	75.2%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,521	11.0%	1,236	9.7%	1,184	9.2%
Rutland Co., Vermont	1,136	8.2%	798	6.2%	845	6.5%
Essex Co., New York	253	1.8%	213	1.7%	250	1.9%
Windsor Co., Vermont	168	1.2%	124	1.0%	130	1.0%
Washington Co., Vermont	149	1.1%	159	1.2%	151	1.2%
Caledonia Co., Vermont	108	0.8%	95	0.7%	91	0.7%
Windham Co., Vermont	103	0.7%	89	0.7%	90	0.7%
Bennington Co., Vermont	64	0.5%	52	0.4%	39	0.3%
Orange Co., Vermont	58	0.4%	32	0.3%	32	0.2%
All Other Locations	637	4.6%	547	4.3%	387	3.0%
States						
Vermont	13,114	94.8%	12,167	95.2%	12,402	96.1%
New York	388	2.8%	326	2.5%	367	2.8%
Massachusetts	94	0.7%	80	0.6%	59	0.5%
New Hampshire	67	0.5%	77	0.6%	34	0.3%
Maine	58	0.4%	48	0.4%	8	0.1%
All Other Locations	108	0.8%	88	0.7%	42	0.3%




Table 39 (continued) Addison County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

Addison	County E	locidont	- AIL-I	obo ¹		
Addison						0.4
	Count	006 Share	20 Count	ບວ Share	20 Count	04 Share
Total - All Jobs	16,037	100.0%	16,421	100.0%	16,537	100.0%
	-				10,551	100.076
Job Counts					0004	0004
O''	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Middlebury, Vermont	5,080	31.7%	4,997	30.4%	5,432	32.8%
Burlington, Vermont	1,086	6.8%	1,125	6.9%	1,047	6.3%
Bristol, Vermont	1,058	6.6%	1,081	6.6%	1,041	6.3%
Vergennes, Vermont	981	6.1%	960	5.8%	921	5.6%
South Burlington, Vermont	727	4.5%	798	4.9%	835	5.0%
Ferrisburgh, Vermont	528	3.3%	374	2.3%	368	2.2%
Williston, Vermont	491	3.1%	537	3.3%	491	3.0%
Brandon (town), Vermont	407	2.5%	495	3.0%	508	3.1%
New Haven, Vermont	349	2.2%	331	2.0%	352	2.1%
Essex (town), Vermont	338	2.1%	358	2.2%	404	2.4%
Rutland (city), Vermont	255	1.6%	415	2.5%	378	2.3%
Montpelier, Vermont	158	1.0%	142	0.9%	125	0.8%
Waterbury (village), Vermont	93	0.6%	87	0.5%	98	0.6%
Winooski, Vermont	104	0.6%	111	0.7%	100	0.6%
All Other Locations	4,382	27.3%	4,610	28.1%	4,437	27.0%
Counties						
Addison Co., Vermont	9,632	60.1%	9,441	57.5%	9,713	58.7%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	3,578	22.3%	3,866	23.5%	3,749	22.7%
Rutland Co., Vermont	924	5.8%	1,229	7.5%	1,193	7.2%
Washington Co., Vermont	587	3.7%	567	3.5%	571	3.5%
Windsor Co., Vermont	463	2.9%	444	2.7%	455	2.8%
Bennington Co., Vermont	138	0.9%	140	0.9%	102	0.6%
Essex Co., New York	127	0.8%	117	0.7%	136	0.8%
Orange Co., Vermont	103	0.6%	109	0.7%	78	0.5%
Windham Co., Vermont	82	0.5%	101	0.6%	101	0.6%
Warren Co., New York	79	0.5%	88	0.5%	89	0.5%
All Other Locations	324	2.0%	319	1.9%	350	2.1%
States						
Vermont	15,524	96.8%	15,925	97.0%	16,034	97.0%
New York	457	2.8%	466	2.8%	467	2.8%
New Jersey	12	0.1%	3	0.0%	5	0.0%
Maine	11	0.1%	8	0.0%	11	0.1%
Pennsylvania	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	2	0.0%
All Other Locations	29	0.2%	15	0.1%	18	0.1%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report.

The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Labor - Economic & Labor Market Information U.S. Bureau of the Census - Local Employment Dynamics program




Table 40

Bennington County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age								
	20	2006			2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total - All Jobs	17,988	100.0%	17,754	100.0%	17,166	100.0%		
Age 30 or younger	4,346	24.2%	4,239	23.9%	4,053	23.6%		
Age 31 to 54	10,056	55.9%	10,093	56.8%	9,959	58.0%		
Age 55 or older	3,586	19.9%	3,422	19.3%	3,154	18.4%		

Jobs by Earnings Paid							
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
\$1,200 per month or less	5,560	30.9%	5,731	32.3%	5,659	33.0%	
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	8,225	45.7%	8,194	46.2%	8,042	46.8%	
More than \$3,400 per month	4,203	23.4%	3,829	21.6%	3,465	20.2%	

More than \$5,400 per month	4,203	23.4%	3,029	21.070	3,400	20.270	
Quarterly	Workforce	Indicat	ors (QV	VI)			
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	18,210	100.0%	17,859	100.0%	17,258	100.0%	
Net Job Change	765	100.0%	847	100.0%	673	100.0%	
Job Creation (job gain)	1,683	100.0%	1,764	100.0%	1,678	100.0%	
Separations, Stable Jobs	3,174	100.0%	3,284	100.0%	2,989	100.0%	
New Hires, Stable Jobs	3,536	100.0%	3,574	100.0%	3,453	100.0%	
Turnover	9.3%	100.0%	9.7%	100.0%	9.8%	100.0%	
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,731	100.0%	\$2,616	100.0%	\$2,532	100.0%	
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,756	100.0%	\$1,681	100.0%	\$1,611	100.0%	

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Average New Hire Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable new hires divided by the number of stable new hires, divided by 3.

Table 40 (continued) Bennington County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

Bennington County Workers - All Jobs										
	2	2006		2005		04				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
Total - All Jobs	17,988	100.0%	17,754	100.0%	17,166	100.0%				

	<u> </u>					
Job Co	unts by F	lace of	Residen	се		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Bennington (town), Vermont	6,259	34.8%	6,069	34.2%	5,905	34.4%
Shaftsbury (town), Vermont	1,298	7.2%	1,191	6.7%	1,204	7.0%
Manchester (town), Vermont	1,065	5.9%	1,082	6.1%	991	5.8%
Hoosick, New York	907	5.0%	871	4.9%	912	5.3%
Arlington (town), Vermont	858	4.8%	739	4.2%	731	4.3%
Pownal, Vermont	763	4.2%	744	4.2%	649	3.8%
Dorset, Vermont	471	2.6%	508	2.9%	446	2.6%
White Creek, New York	442	2.5%	467	2.6%	419	2.4%
Rutland (town), Vermont	310	1.7%	292	1.6%	252	1.5%
Danby, Vermont	296	1.6%	260	1.5%	267	1.6%
Pittsfield, Massachusetts	269	1.5%	390	2.2%	374	2.2%
Rutland (city), Vermont	263	1.5%	249	1.4%	206	1.2%
All Other Locations	4,787	26.6%	4,892	27.6%	4,810	28.0%
Counties						
Bennington Co., Vermont	11,592	64.4%	11,024	62.1%	10,658	62.1%
Rutland Co., Vermont	1,445	8.0%	1,302	7.3%	1,317	7.7%
Rensselaer Co., New York	1,349	7.5%	1,286	7.2%	1,288	7.5%
Washington Co., New York	1,217	6.8%	1,344	7.6%	1,237	7.2%
Windham Co., Vermont	447	2.5%	566	3.2%	557	3.2%
Berkshire Co., Massachusetts	386	2.1%	503	2.8%	495	2.9%
Windsor Co., Vermont	385	2.1%	306	1.7%	360	2.1%
Caledonia Co., Vermont	156	0.9%	108	0.6%	125	0.7%
Addison Co., Vermont	138	0.8%	140	0.8%	102	0.6%
Saratoga Co., New York	71	0.4%	91	0.5%	86	0.5%
All Other Locations	802	4.5%	1,084	6.1%	941	5.5%
States						
Vermont	14,295	79.5%	13,690	77.1%	13,324	77.6%
New York	2,917	16.2%	3,096	17.1%	2,909	16.9%
Massachusetts	527	2.9%	675	3.8%	687	4.0%
New Hampshire	105	0.6%	134	0.8%	106	0.6%
Connecticut	59	0.3%	37	0.2%	48	0.3%
All Other Locations	85	0.5%	122	0.7%	92	0.5%
			· — -	/		




Table 40 (continued) Bennington County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

Paraington	Course	Dooide	oto A44	John 1		
Bennington	County	Kesidei	nts - All	Jobs		
		006		05	20	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total - All Jobs	14,814	100.0%	13,960	100.0%	13,651	100.0%
Job Counts V	Where R	esidents	are En	nploved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Bennington (town), Vermont	7,395	49.9%	6,972	49.9%	6,617	48.5%
Manchester (town), Vermont	2,240	15.1%	2,315	16.6%	2,154	15.8%
Arlington (town), Vermont	623	4.2%	533	3.8%	527	3.9%
Shaftsbury (town), Vermont	452	3.1%	385	2.8%	524	3.8%
Rutland (town), Vermont	353	2.4%	376	2.7%	407	3.0%
Londonderry, Vermont	317	2.1%	323	2.3%	324	2.4%
Dorset, Vermont	254	1.7%	284	2.0%	302	2.2%
Brattleboro, Vermont	230	1.6%	173	1.2%	156	1.1%
Hartford, Vermont	199	1.3%	152	1.1%	147	1.1%
Pownal, Vermont	163	1.1%	165	1.2%	180	1.3%
Rutland (city), Vermont	291	2.0%	326	2.3%	315	2.3%
Burlington, Vermont	117	0.8%	97	0.7%	67	0.5%
South Burlington, Vermont	113	0.8%	81	0.6%	99	0.7%
All Other Locations	2,067	14.0%	1,778	12.7%	1,832	13.4%
Counties						
Bennington Co., Vermont	11,592	78.3%	11,024	79.0%	10,658	78.1%
Windham Co., Vermont	801	5.4%	669	4.8%	720	5.3%
Rutland Co., Vermont	518	3.5%	533	3.8%	573	4.2%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	453	3.1%	379	2.7%	324	2.4%
Windsor Co., Vermont	373	2.5%	361	2.6%	334	2.4%
Washington Co., Vermont	227	1.5%	183	1.3%	215	1.6%
Rensselaer Co., New York	148	1.0%	186	1.3%	198	1.5%
Saratoga Co., New York	127	0.9%	113	0.8%	93	0.7%
Warren Co., New York	112	0.8%	88	0.6%	82	0.6%
Washington Co., New York	103	0.7%	131	0.9%	141	1.0%
All Other Locations	360	2.4%	293	2.1%	313	2.3%
States						
Vermont	14,097	95.2%	13,271	95.1%	12,955	94.9%
New York	675	4.6%	650	4.7%	658	4.8%
Maine	7	0.0%	6	0.0%	12	0.1%
New Jersey	6	0.0%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%
Pennsylvania	5	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%
All Other Locations	24	0.2%	26	0.2%	19	0.1%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report.

The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Labor - Economic & Labor Market Information U.S. Bureau of the Census - Local Employment Dynamics program




Table 41

Caledonia County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

employed in the county.						
Job	s by Wor	rker Age	•			
	20	006	20	005	20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total - All Jobs	11,173	100.0%	10,568	100.0%	10,848	100.0%
Age 30 or younger	2,633	23.6%	2,569	24.3%	2,553	23.5%
Age 31 to 54	6,155	55.1%	5,917	56.0%	6,311	58.2%
Age 55 or older	2,385	21.3%	2,082	19.7%	1,984	18.3%
Jobs	by Earn	ings Pa	id			
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
\$1,200 per month or less	3,583	32.1%	3,589	34.0%	3,762	34.7%
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	5,005	44.8%	4,950	46.8%	4,940	45.5%
More than \$3,400 per month	2,585	23.1%	2,029	19.2%	2,146	19.8%
Quarterly We	orkforce	Indicate	ors (QV	VI)		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	11,212	100.0%	10,694	100.0%	11,098	100.0%
Net Job Change	518	100.0%	753	100.0%	460	100.0%
Job Creation (job gain)	1,133	100.0%	1,480	100.0%	1,142	100.0%
Separations, Stable Jobs	1,837	100.0%	1,920	100.0%	2,058	100.0%
New Hires, Stable Jobs	2,162	100.0%	2,378	100.0%	2,466	100.0%
Turnover	8.8%	100.0%	10.7%	100.0%	9.9%	100.0%
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,645	100.0%	\$2,480	100.0%	\$2,457	100.0%
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,793	100.0%	\$1,630	100.0%	\$1,803	100.0%

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Average New Hire Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable new hires divided by the number of stable new hires, divided by 3.

Table 41 (continued) Caledonia County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

Caledonia County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		2005		04			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total - All Jobs	11,173	100.0%	10,568	100.0%	10,848	100.0%			

Job Counts by Place of Residence										
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004				
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
St. Johnsbury (town), Vermont	2,693	24.1%	2,506	23.7%	2,549	23.5%				
Lyndon, Vermont	1,444	12.9%	1,255	11.9%	1,441	13.3%				
Danville, Vermont	741	6.6%	643	6.1%	652	6.0%				
Barnet, Vermont	460	4.1%	448	4.2%	457	4.2%				
Burlington, Vermont	429	3.8%	408	3.9%	446	4.1%				
Burke, Vermont	382	3.4%	390	3.7%	368	3.4%				
Hardwick, Vermont	368	3.3%	342	3.2%	304	2.8%				
Waterford, Vermont	305	2.7%	278	2.6%	309	2.8%				
Sutton, Vermont	264	2.4%	229	2.2%	247	2.3%				
Concord, Vermont	244	2.2%	263	2.5%	229	2.1%				
Newport (city), Vermont	111	1.0%	106	1.0%	110	1.0%				
Barre (city), Vermont	85	0.8%	103	1.0%	107	1.0%				
Montpelier (city), Vermont	71	0.6%	79	0.7%	87	0.8%				
Springfield (village), Vermont	66	0.6%	75	0.7%	70	0.6%				
Orleans (village), Vermont	43	0.4%	32	0.3%	46	0.4%				
Barton (village), Vermont	35	0.3%	30	0.3%	39	0.4%				
All Other Locations	3,432	30.7%	3,381	32.0%	3,387	31.2%				
Counties										
Caledonia Co., Vermont	7,758	69.4%	7,124	67.4%	7,405	68.3%				
Orleans Co., Vermont	823	7.4%	740	7.0%	784	7.2%				
Chittenden Co., Vermont	471	4.2%	445	4.2%	496	4.6%				
Essex Co., Vermont	436	3.9%	442	4.2%	390	3.6%				
Washington Co., Vermont	404	3.6%	506	4.8%	469	4.3%				
Lamoille Co., Vermont	246	2.2%	236	2.2%	246	2.3%				
Windsor Co., Vermont	243	2.2%	244	2.3%	211	1.9%				
Rutland Co., Vermont	220	2.0%	211	2.0%	228	2.1%				
Grafton Co., New Hampshire	194	1.7%	282	2.7%	247	2.3%				
Coos Co., New Hampshire	79	0.7%	50	0.5%	59	0.5%				
All Other Locations	299	2.7%	288	2.7%	313	2.9%				
States										
Vermont	10,632	95.2%	9,994	94.6%	10,274	94.7%				
New Hampshire	317	2.8%	361	3.4%	347	3.2%				
Maine	82	0.7%	78	0.7%	67	0.6%				
New York	55	0.5%	50	0.5%	61	0.6%				
Massachusetts	37	0.3%	36	0.3%	45	0.4%				
All Other Locations	50	0.4%	49	0.5%	54	0.5%				




Table 41 (continued) Caledonia County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)


The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

Caledonia	County	Rasidan	te - All	lobs ¹		
Caleuonia	County Residents - All Jobs ¹ 2006 2005 2004					
	Count Share		Count Share		Count Share	
Total All Jobs	12,831	100.0%	11,797	100.0%	11,715	100.0%
Job Counts \	·		·		•	
Job Counts	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
St. Johnsbury (town), Vermont	4,036	31.5%	3,648	30.9%	3,825	32.7%
Lyndon, Vermont	2,064	16.1%	1,813	15.4%	1,970	16.8%
Hardwick, Vermont	427	3.3%	390	3.3%	343	2.9%
Rutland (town), Vermont	396	3.1%	405	3.4%	111	0.9%
Danville, Vermont	364	2.8%	373	3.2%	388	3.3%
Montpelier, Vermont	339	2.6%	305	2.6%	308	2.6%
Burlington, Vermont	327	2.5%	320	2.7%	283	2.4%
Barre (town), Vermont	300	2.3%	318	2.7%	320	2.7%
Hartford, Vermont	237	1.8%	247	2.1%	249	2.1%
Burke, Vermont	223	1.7%	221	1.9%	181	1.5%
Rutland (city), Vermont	322	2.5%	335	2.8%	97	0.8%
Barre (city), Vermont	252	2.0%	275	2.3%	258	2.2%
Newport (city), Vermont	209	1.6%	210	1.8%	196	1.7%
South Burlington, Vermont	101	0.8%	106	0.9%	109	0.9%
All Other Locations	3,234	25.2%	2,831	24.0%	3,077	26.3%
Counties						
Caledonia Co., Vermont	7,758	60.5%	7,124	60.4%	7,405	63.2%
Washington Co., Vermont	1,168	9.1%	1,048	8.9%	1,061	9.1%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	700	5.5%	697	5.9%	650	5.5%
Rutland Co., Vermont	604	4.7%	592	5.0%	170	1.5%
Orleans Co., Vermont	540	4.2%	517	4.4%	543	4.6%
Windsor Co., Vermont	468	3.6%	376	3.2%	357	3.0%
Orange Co., Vermont	429	3.3%	402	3.4%	521	4.4%
Lamoille Co., Vermont	425	3.3%	437	3.7%	401	3.4%
Essex Co., Vermont	175	1.4%	168	1.4%	138	1.2%
Bennington Co., Vermont	156	1.2%	108	0.9%	125	1.1%
All Other Locations	408	3.2%	328	2.8%	344	2.9%
States						
Vermont	12,715	99.1%	11,708	99.2%	11,605	99.1%
New York	65	0.5%	54	0.5%	63	0.5%
Maine	15	0.1%	12	0.1%	31	0.3%
New Jersey	5	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rhode Island	4	0.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%
All Other Locations	27	0.2%	16	0.1%	16	0.1%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Labor - Economic & Labor Market Information U.S. Bureau of the Census - Local Employment Dynamics program



Chittenden County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age							
	20	06	20	05	20	04	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
Total - All Jobs	94,831	100.0%	96,636	100.0%	94,553	100.0%	
Age 30 or younger	24,174	25.5%	25,800	26.7%	25,652	27.1%	
Age 31 to 54	56,271	59.3%	57,153	59.1%	56,316	59.6%	
Age 55 or older	14,386	15.2%	13,683	14.2%	12,585	13.3%	

Jobs by Earnings Paid						
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
\$1,200 per month or less	24,871	26.2%	27,018	28.0%	26,969	28.5%
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	35,334	37.3%	37,155	38.4%	36,278	38.4%
More than \$3,400 per month	34,626	36.5%	32,463	33.6%	31,306	33.1%

Quarterly We	orkforce	Indicat	ors (Q	NI)		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	95,941	100.0%	97,446	100.0%	94,806	100.0%
Net Job Change	2,725	100.0%	1,031	100.0%	2,796	100.0%
Job Creation (job gain)	6,926	100.0%	7,063	100.0%	7,870	100.0%
Separations, Stable Jobs	15,762	100.0%	15,565	100.0%	16,829	100.0%
New Hires, Stable Jobs	17,483	100.0%	19,982	100.0%	19,429	100.0%
Turnover	8.7%	100.0%	9.9%	100.0%	9.4%	100.0%
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$3,526	100.0%	\$3,376	100.0%	\$3,323	100.0%
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$2,064	100.0%	\$1,861	100.0%	\$1,904	100.0%

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 42 (continued) Chittenden County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

	Chittenden Count	y Work	ers - All	Jobs		
	2	2006	20	005	20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total - All Jobs	94,831	100.0%	96,636	100.0%	94,553	100.0%

Job Co	unts by P	lace of I	Residen	ce		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Burlington, Vermont	12,831	13.5%	16,790	17.4%	16,517	17.5%
Essex (town), Vermont	11,190	11.8%	10,137	10.5%	10,022	10.6%
South Burlington, Vermont	9,217	9.7%	8,613	8.9%	8,567	9.1%
Colchester, Vermont	8,669	9.1%	8,311	8.6%	8,213	8.7%
Milton (town), Vermont	4,950	5.2%	4,855	5.0%	4,568	4.8%
Williston, Vermont	4,527	4.8%	4,339	4.5%	4,137	4.4%
Winooski, Vermont	3,459	3.6%	3,090	3.2%	3,278	3.5%
Shelburne, Vermont	3,457	3.6%	3,124	3.2%	2,978	3.1%
St. Albans (town), Vermont	2,632	2.8%	2,374	2.5%	2,430	2.6%
Jericho (town), Vermont	2,248	2.4%	2,297	2.4%	2,174	2.3%
St. Albans (city), Vermont	1,693	1.8%	1,476	1.5%	1,470	1.6%
Rutland (city), Vermont	633	0.7%	480	0.5%	480	0.5%
Montpelier, Vermont	483	0.5%	515	0.5%	541	0.6%
Barre (city), Vermont	469	0.5%	569	0.6%	586	0.6%
All Other Locations	28,373	29.9%	29,666	30.7%	28,592	30.2%
Counties						
Chittenden Co., Vermont	69,788	73.6%	70,560	73.0%	69,195	73.2%
Franklin Co., Vermont	8,259	8.7%	7,763	8.0%	7,517	8.0%
Addison Co., Vermont	0 ==0	3.8%	3,866	4.0%	3,749	4.0%
Addison Co., Vonnont	3,578	3.070	,		-,	7.070
Washington Co., Vermont	2,922	3.1%	3,495	3.6%	3,410	3.6%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont	2,922 1,838	3.1% 1.9%	3,495 1,506	3.6% 1.6%	3,410 1,566	3.6% 1.7%
Washington Co., Vermont	2,922	3.1%	3,495	3.6%	3,410	3.6%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont	2,922 1,838	3.1% 1.9%	3,495 1,506	3.6% 1.6%	3,410 1,566	3.6% 1.7%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont All Other Locations	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700 482	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7% 0.5%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697 443	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650 459	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont All Other Locations	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700 482	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7% 0.5%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697 443	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650 459 3,077	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont All Other Locations States Vermont New York	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700 482 2,679	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7% 0.5% 2.8%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697 443 3,302 93,851 972	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.4% 97.1% 1.0%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650 459 3,077	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.3% 97.3% 1.0%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont All Other Locations States Vermont New York New Hampshire	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700 482 2,679 92,752 931 414	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7% 0.5% 2.8% 97.8% 1.0% 0.4%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697 443 3,302 93,851 972 589	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.4% 97.1% 1.0% 0.6%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650 459 3,077 91,954 899 545	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.3% 97.3% 1.0% 0.6%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont All Other Locations States Vermont New York New Hampshire Massachusetts	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700 482 2,679 92,752 931 414 307	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7% 0.5% 2.8% 97.8% 1.0% 0.4% 0.3%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697 443 3,302 93,851 972 589 528	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.4% 97.1% 1.0% 0.6% 0.5%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650 459 3,077 91,954 899 545 503	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.3% 97.3% 1.0% 0.6% 0.5%
Washington Co., Vermont Rutland Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont Caledonia Co., Vermont Orleans Co., Vermont All Other Locations States Vermont New York New Hampshire	2,922 1,838 1,671 1,515 1,399 700 482 2,679 92,752 931 414	3.1% 1.9% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 0.7% 0.5% 2.8% 97.8% 1.0% 0.4%	3,495 1,506 1,647 1,420 1,937 697 443 3,302 93,851 972 589	3.6% 1.6% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.4% 97.1% 1.0% 0.6%	3,410 1,566 1,604 1,429 1,897 650 459 3,077 91,954 899 545	3.6% 1.7% 1.7% 1.5% 2.0% 0.7% 0.5% 3.3% 97.3% 1.0% 0.6%

Chittenden County Labor Shed 7 *§\$ Sheldon Montgomeny Champlath Alburio 9 98 Bakersfield 118 Fålliteld Belvidere 191 Eden ite/°. North Hero Isla La Motta Charay 100 M Altona ∞ Hyde P 456 **් දීක්**ග්නාද Ciend lele **Beekmantown** % Jeffersonville Cambridge o l 314 annemiera Mondstown, 374 Morřišony ille South Haro .Undebrill **Schuyler Falls** ٠, Saranac 40 100 442 9 373 22 September 1 Bolton Black Brook 🌄 Primary Selection Area Duxbury 080 Moteron 2006 William The Con 5 - 26 Workers 27 - 86 Workers 87 - 203 Workers **Fayston** Starksborg 7 204 - 396 Workers North 397 - 684 Workers 2006 Femisbuich 100 Warren 0.01 - 46.52 Workers/Sq. Mi. Rox 46.53 - 116.30 Workers/Sq. Mi. Lineoln 116.31 - 255.86 Workers/Sq. Mi. Panton 255.87 - 441.94 Workers/Sq. Mi. **Cranvill**e 441.95 - 697.80 Workers/Sq. Mi. Addison Waybridga Middlebury. 697.81 - 1395.60 Workers/Sq. Mi. 100 Ripton 11'y 125 **County Subdivisions** Heneoek **Bildport** Comwall Counties 903 74 Coshen Roche 53 Lakes and Rivers धीवि 30 Shoreham

Table 42 (continued) Chittenden County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.						
Chittenden	County	Residen	ts - All	Jobs ¹		
		006		05	20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total - All Jobs	83,825	100.0%	83,578	100.0%	81,532	100.0%
Job Counts V	Vhere R	esidents	are En	nploved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Burlington, Vermont	23,694	28.3%	24,124	28.9%	23,139	28.4%
South Burlington, Vermont	12,782	15.2%	12,562	15.0%	12,607	15.5%
Essex (town), Vermont	10,043	12.0%	10,205	12.2%	10,129	12.4%
Williston, Vermont	7,302	8.7%	7,372	8.8%	6,911	8.5%
Colchester, Vermont	5,507	6.6%	5,575	6.7%	5,697	7.0%
Winooski, Vermont	2,657	3.2%	2,625	3.1%	2,758	3.4%
Shelburne, Vermont	2,380	2.8%	2,534	3.0%	2,429	3.0%
Hinesburg, Vermont	1,634	1.9%	1,600	1.9%	1,592	2.0%
Milton (town), Vermont	1,612	1.9%	1,678	2.0%	1,609	2.0%
Hartford, Vermont	1,297	1.5%	1,039	1.2%	1,021	1.3%
Montpelier, Vermont	1,126	1.3%	1,046	1.3%	990	1.2%
Rutland (city), Vermont	846	1.0%	1,044	1.2%	746	0.9%
Waterbury (village), Vermont	757	0.9%	687	0.8%	677	0.8%
St. Albans (city), Vermont	641	0.8%	709	0.8%	782	1.0%
Middlebury (village), Vermont	506	0.6%	374	0.4%	378	0.5%
Barre (city), Vermont	425	0.5%	510	0.6%	525	0.6%
All Other Locations	10,616	12.7%	9,894	11.8%	9,542	11.7%
Counties						
Chittenden Co., Vermont	69,788	83.3%	70,560	84.4%	69,195	84.9%
Washington Co., Vermont	3,638	4.3%	3,376	4.0%	3,219	3.9%
Franklin Co., Vermont	1,699	2.0%	1,670	2.0%	1,753	2.2%
Windsor Co., Vermont	1,617	1.9%	1,304	1.6%	1,301	1.6%
Addison Co., Vermont	1,521	1.8%	1,236	1.5%	1,184	1.5%
Rutland Co., Vermont	1,328	1.6%	1,523	1.8%	1,089	1.3%
Lamoille Co., Vermont	1,001	1.2%	932	1.1%	928	1.1%
Clinton Co., New York	720	0.9%	780	0.9%	759	0.9%
Windham Co., Vermont	588	0.7%	344	0.4%	366	0.4%
Caledonia Co., Vermont	471	0.6%	445	0.5%	496	0.6%
All Other Locations	1,454	1.7%	1,408	1.7%	1,242	1.5%
States	20.500	00 =0/	00.000	00.40/		00.50/
Vermont	82,539	98.5%	82,269	98.4%	80,293	98.5%
New York	1,090	1.3%	1,146	1.4%	1,087	1.3%
Maine	37	0.0%	32	0.0%	41	0.1%
New Jersey	28	0.0%	17	0.0%	21	0.0%
Rhode Island	19	0.0%	26	0.0%	0	0.0%
All Other Locations	112	0.1%	88	0.1%	90	0.1%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Chittenden County Commute Shed 120 236 Enosburg Falls 276 2 89 Highgata 78 7 Sheldon **Enceburg** Montgomeny Champlain Swenton Alburg 9 9B 129 Bakersfield 118 Fallifald. Belyidere St. Albai 191 Isle La Motte North Hero Eden fanklin Charay St-Albana Waterville 100 ranc M Altona 000 **Ceorgia** Fletcher Hyde Pe 109 456 Fathlax **Evend Isla Beekmantown** o Jeffersonville Cumberland F 0 314 annemiera Plattsburgh Milton Mondation M 374 Morrisonville Westford South Hero Underhill **Schuyler Falls** Saranac Stowe • °°ZA Colchester C 89 2 Essex Junction 40 Jericho 100 442 9)°° Cy Winos South Builington III 373 22 Waterbury Bolton South Burlington Richmond 2 Waterbury Midd Black Brook Shelburne 🌄 Primary Selection Area Duxbury Moreton Hiresburg 2006 [7] Hundington ashinot 26 - 198 Workers **Charlotte** 199 - 667 Workers 116 668 - 1579 Workers **Fayston** Starksboro 7 1580 - 3084 Workers Monkton North 3085 - 5330 Workers 100 Femileburgh 2006 Warren 0.01 - 92.53 Workers/Sq. Mi. Rox Libooth 92.54 - 231.32 Workers/Sq. Mi. 17 Wallham 231.33 - 508.89 Workers/Sq. Mi. Panton 508.90 - 879.00 Workers/Sq. Mi. **Cranvill**e 879.01 - 1387.89 Workers/Sq. Mi. Addison Weybridge 1387.90 - 2775.79 Workers/Sq. Mi. 100 Ripton **County Subdivisions** Heneoek **Bridport** Comwall Counties 903 Salisbury 74 Coshen Roche 53 Lakes and Rivers 30 Shoreham)

Essex County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

	Jobs by Wor	ker Age				Jobs by Worker Age						
	20	06	20	05	20	04						
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share						
Total - All Jobs	1,343	100.0%	1,340	100.0%	1,160	100.0%						
Age 30 or younger	225	16.8%	219	16.3%	190	16.4%						
Age 31 to 54	796	59.3%	810	60.4%	696	60.0%						
Age 55 or older	322	24.0%	311	23.2%	274	23.6%						

Job	s by Earni	ngs Pai	d			
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
\$1,200 per month or less	408	30.4%	409	30.5%	365	31.5%
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	698	52.0%	728	54.3%	653	56.3%
More than \$3,400 per month	237	17.6%	203	15.1%	142	12.2%
Quarterly V	Vorkforce	Indicato	ors (QW	/I)		
	2000	2000	2005	2005	2004	2004

Quality III	of Kiol CC	maicat	is just	· · · · /			
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	1,404	100.0%	1,330	100.0%	1,145	100.0%	
Net Job Change	33	100.0%	31	100.0%	70	100.0%	
Job Creation (job gain)	129	100.0%	118	100.0%	140	100.0%	
Separations, Stable Jobs	415	100.0%	188	100.0%	213	100.0%	
New Hires, Stable Jobs	497	100.0%	263	100.0%	269	100.0%	
Turnover	8.2%	100.0%	8.3%	100.0%	7.4%	100.0%	
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,199	100.0%	\$2,129	100.0%	\$1,936	100.0%	
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,305	100.0%	\$1,527	100.0%	\$1,009	100.0%	

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 43 (continued)

Essex County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

	Essex County \	Workers -	- All Jol	bs		
	2	2006	20	05	20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	1,343	100.0%	1,340	100.0%	1,160	100.0%

	.1.1.3					
Job Co	unts by P				0004	0004
O'C' T A C'H	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Brighton, Vermont	120	8.9%	108	8.1%	106	9.1%
Lunenburg, Vermont	82	6.1%	61	4.6%	60	5.2%
Colebrook, New Hampshire	81	6.0%	85	6.3%	92	7.9%
Canaan, Vermont	80	6.0%	96	7.2%	98	8.4%
Concord, Vermont	70	5.2%	95	7.1%	55	4.7%
St. Johnsbury (town), Vermont	62	4.6%	46	3.4%	47	4.1%
Derby (town), Vermont	53	3.9%	42	3.1%	30	2.6%
Barton (town), Vermont	49	3.6%	47	3.5%	33	2.8%
Lyndon, Vermont	44	3.3%	36	2.7%	31	2.7%
Newport (town), Vermont	38	2.8%	39	2.9%	26	2.2%
Newport (city), Vermont	29	2.2%	26	1.9%	21	1.8%
Groveton, New Hampshire	19	1.4%	15	1.1%	16	1.4%
Littleton, New Hampshire	15	1.1%	30	2.2%	6	0.5%
Berlin (city), New Hampshire	11	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lancaster, New Hampshire	9	0.7%	7	0.5%	2	0.2%
All Other Locations	581	43.3%	607	45.3%	537	46.3%
Counties						
Essex Co., Vermont	455	33.9%	485	36.2%	418	36.0%
Coos Co., New Hampshire	305	22.7%	270	20.1%	243	20.9%
Orleans Co., Vermont	228	17.0%	216	16.1%	198	17.1%
Caledonia Co., Vermont	175	13.0%	168	12.5%	138	11.9%
Grafton Co., New Hampshire	43	3.2%	63	4.7%	32	2.8%
Washington Co., Vermont	30	2.2%	29	2.2%	25	2.2%
Franklin Co., Maine	19	1.4%	15	1.1%	26	2.2%
Lamoille Co., Vermont	17	1.3%	14	1.0%	16	1.4%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	16	1.2%	21	1.6%	14	1.2%
Essex Co., Massachusetts	14	1.0%	16	1.2%	13	1.1%
All Other Locations	41	3.1%	43	3.2%	37	3.2%
States						
	931	69.3%	938	70.0%	813	70.1%
Vermont						24.2%
Vermont New Hampshire	349	26.0%	336	25.1%	281	24.2%
		26.0% 1.9%	336 35	25.1% 2.6%	281 26	24.2%
New Hampshire	349					2.2%
New Hampshire Massachusetts	349 26	1.9%	35	2.6%	26	

Essex County Labor Shed Pitteburg Clarksville O Stewartstown Canaan 0 DESVIII Colebrook Norton Averill Derby Line Holland Lemington **Avery** OPETDY 105 Lewis 105 Occumbia (Morgan **Bloomfield** Newport[°] MON. Charleston Coventry Brownington Strafford Westiteld Brunswick 14 5 Ferdinand lasburg s Coos Westmore ssex Barton 58 Newark Maidstone Lowell Stark Northumbertand **Bast Haven** 16 91 5A 5 Albany 100 122 **Cranby** Clover Shefffeld **C**uffelliall Primary Selection Area Victory 2006 Lancaster 122 Lyndonville ் Lumenbuje 。 2 - 1 Workers Kiliby 2 - 3 Workers 0 4 - 6 Workers 116 5 0 Concord 7 - 10 Workers Whitefield edor Dalton 11 - 18 Workers 115 Demville 2006 Waterford Canoll 0.01 - 0.33 Workers/Sq. Mi. 0.34 - 0.83 Workers/Sq. Mi. 93 Bethlehem Littleton 0.84 - 1.83 Workers/Sq. Mi. Barnet eham 1.84 - 3.17 Workers/Sq. Mi. Monroe Lyman Lisbon Sugar Hill 3.18 - 5.00 Workers/Sq. Mi. Franconia 5.01 - 10.00 Workers/Sq. Mi. **County Subdivisions** Ryegate Bath Counties Landati Lincoln **≣aston** 302 opsham 🕕 116 Lakes and Rivers 93 Haverhill 112

Table 43 (continued) Essex County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

ESSEX (County Re	Sidents 106	- All JO 20		20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	1,642	100.0%	1,722	100.0%	1,399	100.
Job Counts					•	
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	200
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
St. Johnsbury (town), Vermont	272	16.6%	273	15.9%	227	16.
Canaan, Vermont	153	9.3%	192	11.1%	174	12.
Concord, Vermont	130	7.9%	136	7.9%	90	6.
Lyndon, Vermont	110	6.7%	110	6.4%	106	7.
Brighton, Vermont	107	6.5%	98	5.7%	103	7.
Newport (town), Vermont	87	5.3%	91	5.3%	80	5.
Rutland (town), Vermont	75	4.6%	87	5.1%	21	1.
Burlington, Vermont	62	3.8%	63	3.7%	50	3.
Castleton, Vermont	51	3.1%	53	3.1%	5	0.
Derby (town), Vermont	43	2.6%	61	3.5%	48	3.
Newport (city), Vermont	85	5.2%	91	5.3%	76	5.
Rutland (city), Vermont	58	3.5%	79	4.6%	21	1.
Waterbury (village), Vermont	22	1.3%	16	0.9%	7	0.
Bennington (village), Vermont	20	1.2%	73	4.2%	43	3.
Orleans (village), Vermont	19	1.2%	26	1.5%	20	1.
Middlebury (village), Vermont	15	0.9%	5	0.3%	2	0.
All Other Locations	333	20.3%	268	15.6%	326	23.
Counties	455	07.70/	405	00.00/	440	00
Essex Co., Vermont	455	27.7%	485	28.2%	418	29.
Caledonia Co., Vermont	436	26.6%	442	25.7%	390	27.
Orleans Co., Vermont	177	10.8%	195	11.3%	172	12.
Rutland Co., Vermont	145 122	8.8% 7.4%	177 115	10.3% 6.7%	35 123	2. 8.
Chittenden Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont	91	7.4% 5.5%	44	2.6%	28	2.
Washington Co., Vermont	57	3.5%	56	3.3%	53	3.
Bennington Co., Vermont	33	2.0%	93	5.4%	63	4.
Lamoille Co., Vermont	25	1.5%	32	1.9%	31	2.:
Orange Co., Vermont	22	1.3%	24	1.4%	36	2.
All Other Locations	79	4.8%	59	3.4%	50	3.
States	7.0	1.070	00	0.170	00	0.
Vermont	1,611	98.1%	1,684	97.8%	1,365	97.
New York	26	1.6%	33	1.9%	27	1.
Washington	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.
Virginia	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.
New Jersey	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.
All Other Locations	2	0.1%	5	0.3%	5	0.4

SOURCES

Vermont Department of Labor - Economic & Labor Market Information

U.S. Bureau of the Census - Local Employment Dynamics program

The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

Essex County Commute Shed Plusburg Clarksville Stewartstown Cemeen Norton Colebrook Averill Derby Line 26 Holland Lemington **AVEITY** mer's Derby Cent Newports Jorth Trov Lewis **Columbia** Morgan Jay Bloomfield Newport 242 **Illo**y Charleston Covenity Island Pond 101 105 Brownington Strafford Brunswic Westileld 14 5 Coos Ferdinand lasburg 58 Westmore ssex 100 Star Benton 58 Newark Maidstone Lowell 110 # Bast Haven 16 91 5A Albany 5 Northumberlan **Cranby** 122 West Burke Clover Cuffdhall • Burke Shefffeld 118 **Eco**n **Primary Selection Area** Victory 2006 Wheelock () 122 Lancaster 2 - 5 Workers • Lunenburg Kiliby 6 - 15 Workers imerel-16 - 35 Workers Concord 36 - 68 Workers Whiteffeld Caledo St. Johnsbury Deliton 69 - 117 Workers em Danville 2006 Waterford Centr (2) 0.01 - 0.41 Workers/Sq. Mi. 0.42 - 1.02 Workers/Sq. Mi. Bethlehem 93 Littleton 1.03 - 2.23 Workers/Sq. Mi. 10 Barnet Peacham 2.24 - 3.86 Workers/Sq. Mi. Monroe Lymen Lisbon Sugar Hill 3.87 - 6.09 Workers/Sq. Mi. Franconia 6.10 - 12.19 Workers/Sq. Mi. **County Subdivisions** Ryegate **Croton** Bath 🚥 Counties Landaff **≣aston** Limeolin Topsham 112 116 Lakes and Rivers 93 Haverhill

Franklin County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

employed in the county.										
Jobs	by Wo	rker Age	•							
	20	006	20	005	20	04				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
Total - All Jobs	13,970	100.0%	13,828	100.0%	13,975	100.0%				
Age 30 or younger	3,371	24.1%	3,345	24.2%	3,408	24.4%				
Age 31 to 54	8,169	58.5%	8,132	58.8%	8,283	59.3%				
Age 55 or older	2,430	17.4%	2,351	17.0%	2,284	16.3%				
Jobs k	Jobs by Earnings Paid									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
\$1,200 per month or less	4,092	29.3%	4,119	29.8%	4,312	30.9%				
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	6,399	45.8%	6,599	47.7%	6,819	48.8%				
More than \$3,400 per month	3,479	24.9%	3,110	22.5%	2,844	20.4%				
Quarterly Wo	rkforce	Indicat	ors (QV	VI)						
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Coun	t Share				
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	14,190	100.0%	14,040	100.0%	14,229	100.0%				
Net Job Change	537	100.0%	563	100.0%	372	2 100.0%				
Job Creation (job gain)	1,258	100.0%	1,311	100.0%	1,226	100.0%				
Separations, Stable Jobs	2,261	100.0%	2,159	100.0%	2,122	2 100.0%				
New Hires, Stable Jobs	2,689	100.0%	2,517	100.0%	2,640	100.0%				
Turnover	8.2%	100.0%	9.0%	100.0%	8.5%	100.0%				
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,682	100.0%	\$2,577	100.0%	\$2,477	100.0%				
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,608	100.0%	\$2,308	100.0%	\$1,691	100.0%				

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 44 (continued) Franklin County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

Franklin County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	13,970	100.0%	13,828	100.0%	13,975	100.0%			

Job Counts by Place of Residence									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
St. Albans (town), Vermont	3,410	24.4%	3,408	24.6%	3,387	24.2%			
St. Albans (city), Vermont	2,020	14.5%	2,040	14.8%	1,997	14.3%			
Swanton (town), Vermont	1,598	11.4%	1,562	11.3%	1,661	11.9%			
Highgate, Vermont	861	6.2%	822	5.9%	825	5.9%			
Enosburg (town), Vermont	758	5.4%	745	5.4%	733	5.2%			
Georgia, Vermont	590	4.2%	590	4.3%	618	4.4%			
Richford, Vermont	576	4.1%	581	4.2%	594	4.3%			
Sheldon, Vermont	525	3.8%	541	3.9%	494	3.5%			
Fairfax, Vermont	517	3.7%	493	3.6%	463	3.3%			
Berkshire, Vermont	379	2.7%	366	2.6%	357	2.6%			
Fairfield, Vermont	354	2.5%	355	2.6%	369	2.6%			
Burlington, Vermont	268	1.9%	337	2.4%	342	2.4%			
South Burlington, Vermont	188	1.3%	167	1.2%	173	1.2%			
Essex Junction, Vermont	102	0.7%	104	0.8%	109	0.8%			
Winooski, Vermont	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	70	0.5%			
Barre (city), Vermont	55	0.4%	45	0.3%	33	0.2%			
Springfield (village), Vermont	52	0.4%	31	0.2%	45	0.3%			
Milton (village), Vermont	51	0.4%	51	0.4%	43	0.3%			
All Other Locations	1,587	11.4%	1,527	11.0%	1,662	11.9%			
Counties									
Franklin Co., Vermont	10,530	75.4%	10,417	75.3%	10,433	74.7%			
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,699	12.2%	1,670	12.1%	1,753	12.5%			
Grand Isle Co., Vermont	289	2.1%	361	2.6%	437	3.1%			
Rutland Co., Vermont	284	2.0%	276	2.0%	295	2.1%			
Washington Co., Vermont	262	1.9%	264	1.9%	242	1.7%			
Lamoille Co., Vermont	231	1.7%	198	1.4%	223	1.6%			
Orleans Co., Vermont	144	1.0%	145	1.0%	117	0.8%			
Windsor Co., Vermont	140	1.0%	111	0.8%	109	0.8%			
Caledonia Co., Vermont	120	0.9%	118	0.9%	107	0.8%			
Clinton Co., New York	99	0.7%	80	0.6%	72	0.5%			
All Other Locations	172	1.2%	188	1.4%	187	1.3%			
States	40 =0=	00.407	40.505	00.404	40 =0 /	00.001			
Vermont	13,705	98.1%	13,565	98.1%	13,721	98.2%			
New York	167	1.2%	141	1.0%	158	1.1%			
New Hampshire	44	0.3%	44	0.3%	41	0.3%			
Massachusetts	19	0.1%	20	0.1%	15	0.1%			
New Jersey	7	0.1%	13	0.1%	5	0.0%			
All Other Locations	28	0.2%	45	0.3%	35	0.3%			

Table 44 (continued) Franklin County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

Tranklin	2 a a la E) a a i al a mú	a All-I	aha1 —		
Franklin (
		2006		005		04
Total All John	Count	Share	Count	Share		Share
Total All Jobs	20,584	100.0%	20,449	100.0%	20,648	100.0%
Job Counts \						
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
St. Albans (town), Vermont	5,733	27.9%	5,791	28.3%	5,930	28.7%
St. Albans (city), Vermont	3,393	16.5%	3,711	18.1%	4,040	19.6%
Burlington, Vermont	2,055	10.0%	1,983	9.7%	1,880	9.1%
South Burlington, Vermont	1,607	7.8%	1,450	7.1%	1,536	7.4%
Essex (town), Vermont	1,487	7.2%	1,459	7.1%	1,455	7.0%
Swanton (town), Vermont	1,161	5.6%	1,066	5.2%	1,081	5.2%
Williston, Vermont	982	4.8%	872	4.3%	790	3.8%
Enosburg (town), Vermont	814	4.0%	803	3.9%	787	3.8%
Milton (town), Vermont	799	3.9%	754	3.7%	628	3.0%
Colchester, Vermont	788	3.8%	710	3.5%	686	3.3%
Highgate, Vermont	511	2.5%	504	2.5%	478	2.3%
Winooski, Vermont	242	1.2%	227	1.1%	260	1.3%
Waterbury (village), Vermont	172	0.8%	179	0.9%	154	0.7%
Montpelier, Vermont	168	0.8%	189	0.9%	182	0.9%
Barre (city), Vermont	109	0.5%	110	0.5%	119	0.6%
All Other Locations	563	2.7%	641	3.1%	642	3.1%
On affine						
Counties Frenklin Co. Verment	10 520	E4 20/	10 417	E0 00/	10 122	EO E0/
Franklin Co., Vermont	10,530	51.2%	10,417	50.9%	10,433	50.5%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	8,259 633	40.1% 3.1%	7,763 652	38.0% 3.2%	7,517 626	36.4%
Washington Co., Vermont Lamoille Co., Vermont	498	2.4%	492		529	3.0% 2.6%
	231			2.4%		
Clinton Co., New York	112	1.1% 0.5%	240 61	1.2%	235 202	1.1%
Orleans Co., Vermont Grand Isle Co., Vermont	65	0.5%		0.3% 0.4%	76	1.0% 0.4%
Addison Co., Vermont	52	0.3%	75 59	0.4%	46	0.4%
Essex Co., New York	46	0.3%	41	0.3%	37	0.2%
Franklin Co., New York	17	0.2 %	37	0.2%	15	0.2 %
All Other Locations	141	0.1%	612	3.0%	932	4.5%
States	141	0.7 /6	012	3.0 /0	932	4.5 /6
Vermont	20,166	98.0%	20,054	98.1%	20,247	98.1%
New York	363	1.8%	357	1.7%	355	1.7%
Maine	16	0.1%	8	0.0%	15	0.1%
Rhode Island	6	0.1%	8	0.0%	0	0.1%
New Jersey	4	0.0%	2	0.0%	3	0.0%
All Other Locations	29	0.0%	20	0.0%	28	0.0%
All Other Locations	29	U. I /0	20	U. I /0	20	U. I /0

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

EX

though

Counties

Lakes and Rivers

100

Warren

Fayston

Starksboro 17

Lincoln

Ferrisburgh

Grand Isle County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age								
	20	20	2005		04			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total - All Jobs	979	100.0%	973	100.0%	971	100.0%		
Age 30 or younger	189	19.3%	188	19.3%	216	22.2%		
Age 31 to 54	550	56.2%	569	58.5%	546	56.2%		
Age 55 or older	240	24.5%	216	22.2%	209	21.5%		

Jobs by Earnings Paid									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
\$1,200 per month or less	360	36.8%	364	37.4%	410	42.2%			
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	385	39.3%	398	40.9%	392	40.4%			
More than \$3,400 per month	234	23.9%	211	21.7%	169	17.4%			

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	1,003	100.0%	1,002	100.0%	981	100.0%			
Net Job Change	358	100.0%	371	100.0%	310	100.0%			
Job Creation (job gain)	465	100.0%	453	100.0%	404	100.0%			
Separations, Stable Jobs	362	100.0%	364	100.0%	374	100.0%			
New Hires, Stable Jobs	325	100.0%	306	100.0%	312	100.0%			
Turnover	11.5%	100.0%	11.0%	100.0%	12.2%	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,621	100.0%	\$2,425	100.0%	\$2,301	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,734	100.0%	\$1,823	100.0%	\$1,668	100.0%			

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 45 (continued)

Grand Isle County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

Grand Isle County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	979	100.0%	973	100.0%	971	100.0%			

South Hero, Vermont 158 16.1% 165 17.0% 166 17.1% Grand Isle, Vermont 121 12.4% 150 15.4% 192 19.8% North Hero, Vermont 72 7.4% 68 7.0% 66 6.8% Colchester, Vermont 56 5.7% 24 2.5% 32 3.3% Isle La Motte, Vermont 37 3.8% 41 4.2% 31 3.2% St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% South Burlington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% <tr< th=""><th>Job Co</th><th colspan="9">Job Counts by Place of Residence</th></tr<>	Job Co	Job Counts by Place of Residence								
Alburg (town), Vermont		2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
South Hero, Vermont 158 16.1% 165 17.0% 166 17.1% Grand Isle, Vermont 121 12.4% 150 15.4% 192 19.8% North Hero, Vermont 72 7.4% 68 7.0% 66 6.8% Colchester, Vermont 56 5.7% 24 2.5% 32 3.3% Isle La Motte, Vermont 37 3.8% 41 4.2% 31 3.2% St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% South Burlington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% <tr< th=""><th>Cities/Towns/Villages</th><th>Count</th><th>Share</th><th>Count</th><th>Share</th><th>Count</th><th>Share</th></tr<>	Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Grand Isle, Vermont 121 12.4% 150 15.4% 192 19.8% North Hero, Vermont 72 7.4% 68 7.0% 66 6.8% Colchester, Vermont 56 5.7% 24 2.5% 32 3.3% Isle La Motte, Vermont 37 3.8% 41 4.2% 31 3.2% St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% South Burlington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.6% Milton (town), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Burlington, Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7%	Alburg (town), Vermont	171	17.5%	165	17.0%	145	14.9%			
North Hero, Vermont 72 7.4% 68 7.0% 66 6.8% Colchester, Vermont 56 5.7% 24 2.5% 32 3.3% Isle La Motte, Vermont 37 3.8% 41 4.2% 31 3.2% St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% South Burlington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Platsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Washington Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Washington Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Washington Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Washington Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Rulland Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 3 0	South Hero, Vermont	158	16.1%	165	17.0%	166	17.1%			
Colchester, Vermont 56 5.7% 24 2.5% 32 3.3% Isle La Motte, Vermont 37 3.8% 41 4.2% 31 3.2% St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% St. Albans (town), Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 23 2.3% 48 4.9% 25 2.6% Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% St. Albans (city), Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties	Grand Isle, Vermont	121	12.4%	150	15.4%	192	19.8%			
Isle La Motte, Vermont 37 3.8% 41 4.2% 31 3.2% St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% South Burlington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 23 2.3% 48 4.9% 25 2.6% Militon (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Albans (city), Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties	North Hero, Vermont	72	7.4%	68	7.0%	66	6.8%			
St. Albans (town), Vermont 29 3.0% 35 3.6% 42 4.3% South Burlington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 23 2.3% 48 4.9% 25 2.6% Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1%	Colchester, Vermont	56	5.7%	24	2.5%	32	3.3%			
South Burtington, Vermont 28 2.9% 24 2.5% 12 1.2% Essex (town), Vermont 23 2.3% 48 4.9% 25 2.6% Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 2 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Chittenden	Isle La Motte, Vermont	37	3.8%	41	4.2%	31	3.2%			
Essex (town), Vermont 23 2.3% 48 4.9% 25 2.6% Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 3 0.5% 60 6.6% 7 16.1% 146 15.0% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% <td>St. Albans (town), Vermont</td> <td>29</td> <td>3.0%</td> <td>35</td> <td>3.6%</td> <td>42</td> <td>4.3%</td>	St. Albans (town), Vermont	29	3.0%	35	3.6%	42	4.3%			
Milton (town), Vermont 19 1.9% 13 1.3% 23 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpellier, Vermont 55 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Cluttenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195	South Burlington, Vermont	28	2.9%	24	2.5%	12	1.2%			
Burlington, Vermont 18 1.8% 35 3.6% 29 3.0% St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 655 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3%	Essex (town), Vermont	23	2.3%	48	4.9%	25	2.6%			
St. Albans (city), Vermont 17 1.7% 22 2.3% 36 3.7% Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Chittenden Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 <t< td=""><td>Milton (town), Vermont</td><td>19</td><td>1.9%</td><td>13</td><td>1.3%</td><td>23</td><td>2.4%</td></t<>	Milton (town), Vermont	19	1.9%	13	1.3%	23	2.4%			
Winooski, Vermont 14 1.4% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 8 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 8 0.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% 60.6% 60.0 61.8% 60.5% 600 61.8% 60.5% 60.0 61.8% 60.5% 600 61.8% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% 76 7.8% 76 7.8% 76 7.8% 20.2% 22.3% 32 3.3% 3.3% 3.3%	Burlington, Vermont	18	1.8%	35	3.6%	29	3.0%			
Rouses Point, New York 8 0.8% 3 0.3% 7 0.7% Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 8 0.8% 55 9 0.9% 6 0.6% Counties 8 0.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties 8 0.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Chittenden Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co.,	St. Albans (city), Vermont	17	1.7%	22	2.3%	36	3.7%			
Plattsburgh, New York 7 0.7% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 </td <td>Winooski, Vermont</td> <td>14</td> <td>1.4%</td> <td>11</td> <td>1.1%</td> <td>11</td> <td>1.1%</td>	Winooski, Vermont	14	1.4%	11	1.1%	11	1.1%			
Montpelier, Vermont 5 0.5% 9 0.9% 6 0.6% All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2%	Rouses Point, New York	8	0.8%	3	0.3%	7	0.7%			
All Other Locations 196 20.0% 157 16.1% 146 15.0% Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 <td>Plattsburgh, New York</td> <td>7</td> <td>0.7%</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.3%</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.2%</td>	Plattsburgh, New York	7	0.7%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%			
Counties Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25	Montpelier, Vermont	5	0.5%	9	0.9%	6	0.6%			
Grand Isle Co., Vermont 559 57.1% 589 60.5% 600 61.8% Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6%	All Other Locations	196	20.0%	157	16.1%	146	15.0%			
Chittenden Co., Vermont 195 19.9% 182 18.7% 175 18.0% Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.										
Franklin Co., Vermont 65 6.6% 75 7.7% 76 7.8% Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% <td></td> <td>559</td> <td>57.1%</td> <td>589</td> <td>60.5%</td> <td>600</td> <td>61.8%</td>		559	57.1%	589	60.5%	600	61.8%			
Clinton Co., New York 31 3.2% 22 2.3% 32 3.3% Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio </td <td>Chittenden Co., Vermont</td> <td>195</td> <td>19.9%</td> <td>182</td> <td>18.7%</td> <td>175</td> <td>18.0%</td>	Chittenden Co., Vermont	195	19.9%	182	18.7%	175	18.0%			
Washington Co., Vermont 23 2.3% 29 3.0% 19 2.0% Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts	Franklin Co., Vermont						7.8%			
Essex Co., New York 17 1.7% 11 1.1% 11 1.1% Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	Clinton Co., New York	31	3.2%	22	2.3%	32	3.3%			
Caledonia Co., Vermont 15 1.5% 3 0.3% 2 0.2% Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	Washington Co., Vermont			29	3.0%	19	2.0%			
Lamoille Co., Vermont 14 1.4% 13 1.3% 7 0.7% Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%							1.1%			
Rutland Co., Vermont 13 1.3% 12 1.2% 21 2.2% Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	Caledonia Co., Vermont	15		3			0.2%			
Portage Co., Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	Lamoille Co., Vermont	14		13		7	0.7%			
All Other Locations 38 3.9% 33 3.4% 25 2.6% States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%		13	1.3%	12	1.2%	21	2.2%			
States Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	Portage Co., Ohio		0.9%	4	0.4%	3	0.3%			
Vermont 896 91.5% 916 94.1% 912 93.9% New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	All Other Locations	38	3.9%	33	3.4%	25	2.6%			
New York 51 5.2% 36 3.7% 43 4.4% New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	States									
New Hampshire 12 1.2% 7 0.7% 8 0.8% Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%				916			93.9%			
Ohio 9 0.9% 4 0.4% 3 0.3% Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%							4.4%			
Massachusetts 5 0.5% 3 0.3% 5 0.5%	New Hampshire	12		7	0.7%	8	0.8%			
	Ohio		0.9%	4	0.4%	3	0.3%			
All 6.1 1 11	Massachusetts	5	0.5%	3	0.3%	5	0.5%			
All Other Locations 6 0.6% 7 0.7% 0 0.0%	All Other Locations	6	0.6%	7	0.7%	0	0.0%			

Westport

9N

Panton

County Subdivisions

Lakes and Rivers

Counties

Circino

Varren

Lincoln

Dristol

116

New Haven

Table 45 (continued) Grand Isle County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.						
Grand Is	le County	Resident	s - All J	lobs ¹		
	2	006	20		20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	2,540	100.0%	3,298	100.0%	3,395	100.0%
Job Count	s Where R	esidents	are Em	ploved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Burlington, Vermont	421	16.6%	629	19.1%	601	17.7%
South Burlington, Vermont	286	11.3%	334	10.1%	313	9.2%
Essex (town), Vermont	198	7.8%	297	9.0%	270	8.0%
Colchester, Vermont	169	6.7%	210	6.4%	231	6.8%
St. Albans (town), Vermont	164	6.5%	219	6.6%	264	7.8%
South Hero, Vermont	153	6.0%	165	5.0%	167	4.9%
North Hero, Vermont	149	5.9%	117	3.5%	153	4.5%
Grand Isle, Vermont	146	5.7%	158	4.8%	149	4.4%
Williston, Vermont	131	5.2%	228	6.9%	256	7.5%
St. Albans (city), Vermont	98	3.9%	135	4.1%	159	4.7%
Alburg (town), Vermont	88	3.5%	119	3.6%	105	3.1%
Winooski, Vermont	56	2.2%	61	1.8%	62	1.8%
Montpelier, Vermont	42	1.7%	40	1.2%	41	1.2%
Albany, New York	25	1.0%	31	0.9%	15	0.4%
Waterbury (village), Vermont	23	0.9%	28	0.8%	28	0.8%
Rouses Point, New York	22	0.9%	30	0.9%	46	1.4%
All Other Locations	369	14.5%	497	15.1%	535	15.8%
Counties						
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,399	55.1%	1,937	58.7%	1,897	55.9%
Grand Isle Co., Vermont	559	22.0%	589	17.9%	600	17.7%
Franklin Co., Vermont	289	11.4%	361	10.9%	437	12.9%
Washington Co., Vermont	129	5.1%	125	3.8%	130	3.8%
Clinton Co., New York	73	2.9%	98	3.0%	118	3.5%
Lamoille Co., Vermont	37	1.5%	35	1.1%	32	0.9%
Albany Co., New York	26	1.0%	43	1.3%	16	0.5%
Addison Co., Vermont	9	0.4%	5	0.2%	3	0.1%
Orleans Co., Vermont	3	0.1%	1	0.0%	10	0.3%
Schenectady Co., New York	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
All Other Locations	14	0.6%	104	3.2%	150	4.4%
States						
Vermont	2,426	95.5%	3,137	95.1%	3,236	95.3%
New York	109	4.3%	153	4.6%	147	4.3%
Texas	2	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
New Jersey	1	0.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%
Maine	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	3	0.1%
All Other Locations	1	0.0%	3	0.1%	9	0.3%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report.

The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Westport

9N

Panton

Addison

County Subdivisions

Lakes and Rivers

Counties

Waltre

<u>L'incolin</u>

116

New Haven

Lamoille County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age								
	20	20	04					
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total - All Jobs	10,767	100.0%	10,770	100.0%	10,375	100.0%		
Age 30 or younger	2,995	27.8%	3,054	28.4%	2,914	28.1%		
Age 31 to 54	5,921	55.0%	5,990	55.6%	5,856	56.4%		
Age 55 or older	1,851	17.2%	1,726	16.0%	1,605	15.5%		

Jobs by Earnings Paid									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
\$1,200 per month or less	4,304	40.0%	4,469	41.5%	4,397	42.4%			
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	4,226	39.2%	4,247	39.4%	4,154	40.0%			
More than \$3,400 per month	2,237	20.8%	2,054	19.1%	1,824	17.6%			

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	10,674	100.0%	10,744	100.0%	10,373	100.0%			
Net Job Change	262	100.0%	-45	100.0%	63	100.0%			
Job Creation (job gain)	1,137	100.0%	1,134	100.0%	1,208	100.0%			
Separations, Stable Jobs	2,107	100.0%	2,149	100.0%	2,044	100.0%			
New Hires, Stable Jobs	2,782	100.0%	3,080	100.0%	2,972	100.0%			
Turnover	12.9%	100.0%	15.3%	100.0%	14.4%	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,570	100.0%	\$2,454	100.0%	\$2,358	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,517	100.0%	\$1,979	100.0%	\$1,613	100.0%			

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 46 (continued) Lamoille County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

Lamoille County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	10,767	100.0%	10,770	100.0%	10,375	100.0%			

Job Counts by Place of Residence									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Morristown, Vermont	1,893	17.6%	1,847	17.1%	1,742	16.8%			
Stowe, Vermont	1,517	14.1%	1,457	13.5%	1,322	12.7%			
Hyde Park (town), Vermont	974	9.0%	906	8.4%	972	9.4%			
Johnson (town), Vermont	615	5.7%	770	7.1%	746	7.2%			
Cambridge (town), Vermont	568	5.3%	515	4.8%	539	5.2%			
Wolcott, Vermont	457	4.2%	429	4.0%	384	3.7%			
Eden, Vermont	302	2.8%	342	3.2%	287	2.8%			
Elmore, Vermont	265	2.5%	262	2.4%	202	1.9%			
Waterbury (town), Vermont	262	2.4%	277	2.6%	277	2.7%			
Hardwick, Vermont	251	2.3%	231	2.1%	239	2.3%			
Burlington, Vermont	184	1.7%	183	1.7%	199	1.9%			
South Burlington, Vermont	117	1.1%	97	0.9%	66	0.6%			
Montpelier, Vermont	103	1.0%	106	1.0%	140	1.3%			
Barre (city), Vermont	91	0.8%	78	0.7%	61	0.6%			
Essex Junction, Vermont	71	0.7%	51	0.5%	74	0.7%			
All Other Locations	3,097	28.8%	3,219	29.9%	3,125	30.1%			
Counties									
Lamoille Co., Vermont	6,836	63.5%	6,778	62.9%	6,418	61.9%			
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,001	9.3%	932	8.7%	928	8.9%			
Washington Co., Vermont	785	7.3%	866	8.0%	870	8.4%			
Franklin Co., Vermont	498	4.6%	492	4.6%	529	5.1%			
Caledonia Co., Vermont	425	3.9%	437	4.1%	401	3.9%			
Orleans Co., Vermont	375	3.5%	425	3.9%	389	3.7%			
Rutland Co., Vermont	288	2.7%	220	2.0%	222	2.1%			
Windsor Co., Vermont	193	1.8%	170	1.6%	174	1.7%			
Grand Isle Co., Vermont	37	0.3%	35	0.3%	32	0.3%			
Essex Co., Vermont	25	0.2%	32	0.3%	31	0.3%			
All Other Locations	304	2.8%	383	3.6%	381	3.7%			
States	001	2.070	000	0.070	001	0.7 70			
Vermont	10,491	97.4%	10,421	96.8%	10,055	96.9%			
New York	104	1.0%	131	1.2%	118	1.1%			
New Hampshire	71	0.7%	72	0.7%	87	0.8%			
Massachusetts	41	0.4%	48	0.4%	35	0.3%			
Maine	19	0.2%	22	0.2%	25	0.2%			
All Other Locations	41	0.4%	76	0.7%	55	0.5%			

Lamoille County Labor Shed Franklin_{2%} Berkshire Brownli **May May 1** 118 Enosburg Falls Westfeld Highpate **Inosburg**° [7] Sheldon Montgomeny Es Lowell Albure 2 Fallifeld 0 **La Motte** North Hero Waterville Ç. 108 ° St. Albana Creffebury 89 0 104 **Creensboro** 600 nantown **Ceorgia** Fletcher Fellilex? °¢ 04A Wolcott **Crand** Isla 0.00 Milton 314 **Westford** South Hero Underhill Woodbury Colcliester 24 Pertu 8920 Jarieho Worcester Au Sable **Calais** 2 373 Marshifel Waterprij, Bolton Williston South Burlington . Richmond Chesterfield Middlesex **≣ast Montpeller** 🌄 Primary Selection Area Plainfield Duxbury Hundhoton 2006 Moretown 2 - 6 Workers Barre 8 62 O C 7 - 19 Workers 20 - 45 Workers South Barre 46 - 87 Workers **Fayston** Starksboro 7 Walleffeld 88 - 151 Workers Northfield Buels Williamstown 2006 0.01 - 4.03 Workers/Sq. Mi. Warren. 12 4.04 - 10.07 Workers/Sq. Mi. Roxbury **Builstol Brookfield Chelsea** Lincoln 10.08 - 22.16 Workers/Sq. Mi. 22.17 - 38.28 Workers/Sq. Mi. 38.29 - 60.45 Workers/Sq. Mi. **CIETAVIII** Braintree 60.46 - 120.89 Workers/Sq. Mi. Remdolph Tunbuide **County Subdivisions** Ripton 100 116 Counties Rochester Royaltor 125 Hancock Bethel **Sallisbury** Lakes and Rivers

Table 47 (continued) Lamoille County Commute Shed


LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

ne county are employed.						
Lamoill	e County F	Resident	s - All J	lobs ¹		
		006		05	20	004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	10,916	100.0%	10,739	100.0%	10,098	100.0%
Job Count	s Where R	esidents	are En	nploved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Stowe, Vermont	2,706	24.8%	2,785	25.9%	2,507	24.8%
Morristown, Vermont	2,395	21.9%	2,325	21.7%	2,206	21.8%
Cambridge (town), Vermont	561	5.1%	563	5.2%	575	5.7%
Hyde Park (town), Vermont	521	4.8%	524	4.9%	516	5.1%
Burlington, Vermont	489	4.5%	480	4.5%	477	4.7%
Johnson (town), Vermont	442	4.0%	393	3.7%	425	4.2%
Waterbury (town), Vermont	385	3.5%	353	3.3%	352	3.5%
Essex (town), Vermont	277	2.5%	303	2.8%	314	3.1%
Williston, Vermont	255	2.3%	255	2.4%	203	2.0%
Montpelier, Vermont	252	2.3%	229	2.1%	259	2.6%
South Burlington, Vermont	217	2.0%	245	2.3%	270	2.7%
Barre (city), Vermont	93	0.9%	121	1.1%	110	1.1%
All Other Locations	2,323	21.3%	2,163	20.1%	1,884	18.7%
Counties						
Counties	6 026	62.69/	6 770	62 10/	6 /10	62 60/
Lamoille Co., Vermont Chittenden Co., Vermont	6,836 1,671	62.6% 15.3%	6,778 1,647	63.1% 15.3%	6,418 1,604	63.6% 15.9%
Washington Co., Vermont	970	8.9%	909	8.5%	888	8.8%
Windsor Co., Vermont	300	2.7%	218	2.0%	178	1.8%
Caledonia Co., Vermont	246	2.7%	236	2.2%	246	2.4%
Franklin Co., Vermont	231	2.1%	198	1.8%	223	2.4%
Rutland Co., Vermont	197	1.8%	296	2.8%	135	1.3%
Orleans Co., Vermont	154	1.4%	148	1.4%	126	1.2%
Windham Co., Vermont	66	0.6%	22	0.2%	25	0.2%
Orange Co., Vermont	65	0.6%	75	0.7%	62	0.6%
All Other Locations	180	1.6%	212	2.0%	193	1.9%
States	100	11070		210 70	100	11070
Vermont	10,843	99.3%	10,671	99.4%	10,043	99.5%
New York	48	0.4%	42	0.4%	26	0.3%
Maine	9	0.1%	13	0.1%	20	0.2%
Virginia	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Texas	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%
All Other Locations	10	0.1%	12	0.1%	7	0.1%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES



Orange County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age								
	20	20	05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total - All Jobs	7,669	100.0%	7,480	100.0%	7,482	100.0%		
Age 30 or younger	1,751	22.8%	1,719	23.0%	1,668	22.3%		
Age 31 to 54	4,303	56.1%	4,278	57.2%	4,411	59.0%		
Age 55 or older	1,615	21.1%	1,483	19.8%	1,403	18.8%		

Jobs by Earnings Paid								
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
\$1,200 per month or less	2,401	31.3%	2,486	33.2%	2,508	33.5%		
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	3,441	44.9%	3,388	45.3%	3,426	45.8%		
More than \$3,400 per month	1,827	23.8%	1,606	21.5%	1,548	20.7%		

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	7,737	100.0%	7,468	100.0%	7,576	100.0%			
Net Job Change	348	100.0%	401	100.0%	308	100.0%			
Job Creation (job gain)	876	100.0%	943	100.0%	854	100.0%			
Separations, Stable Jobs	1,524	100.0%	1,238	100.0%	1,313	100.0%			
New Hires, Stable Jobs	1,814	100.0%	1,682	100.0%	1,686	100.0%			
Turnover	10.1%	100.0%	10.5%	100.0%	10.0%	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,615	100.0%	\$2,537	100.0%	\$2,467	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,745	100.0%	\$1,769	100.0%	\$1,617	100.0%			

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 48 (continued)

Orange County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

Orange County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	7,669	100.0%	7,480	100.0%	7,482	100.0%			

Bradford, Vermont 500 6.5% 434 5.8% 473 6.39 Barre (town), Vermont 325 4.2% 392 5.2% 386 5.2% Newbury (town), Vermont 317 4.1% 275 3.7% 262 3.59 Braintree, Vermont 307 4.0% 256 3.4% 244 3.39 Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.09 Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.4 Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.39 Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.5 Barre (city), Vermont 118 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8% Montpeller, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.6% St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8%		,		•		,					
Cities/Towns/Villages Count Share Count Share Randolph, Vermont 1,108 14.4% 1,014 13.6% 1,059 14.29 Bradford, Vermont 500 6.5% 434 5.8% 473 6.39 Barre (town), Vermont 325 4.2% 392 5.2% 386 5.29 Newbury (town), Vermont 317 4.1% 275 3.7% 262 3.5% Brookfield, Vermont 207 4.0% 256 3.4% 224 3.39 Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.0% Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.38 Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.39 Thetford, Vermont 118 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.88 Barre (city), Ve	Job Counts by Place of Residence										
Randolph, Vermont		2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004				
Bradford, Vermont 500 6.5% 434 5.8% 473 6.39 Barre (town), Vermont 325 4.2% 392 5.2% 386 5.2% Newbury (town), Vermont 317 4.1% 275 3.7% 262 3.59 Brookfield, Vermont 307 4.0% 256 3.4% 244 3.39 Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.09 Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.48 Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.39 Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.5 Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8% Montpeller, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.6% St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8% <td>Cities/Towns/Villages</td> <td>Count</td> <td>Share</td> <td>Count</td> <td>Share</td> <td>Count</td> <td>Share</td>	Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
Barre (town), Vermont 325 4.2% 392 5.2% 386 5.2% Newbury (town), Vermont 317 4.1% 275 3.7% 262 3.5% Brookfield, Vermont 307 4.0% 256 3.4% 244 3.3% Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.0% Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.38 Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.39 Thetford, Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8% Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8% Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 <	Randolph, Vermont	1,108	14.4%	1,014	13.6%	1,059	14.2%				
Newbury (town), Vermont 317 4.1% 275 3.7% 262 3.5% Brookfield, Vermont 307 4.0% 256 3.4% 244 3.3 Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.0% Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.3% Corinth, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.5 Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8% Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.6% St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8% Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.8% Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7%	Bradford, Vermont	500	6.5%	434	5.8%	473	6.3%				
Brookfield, Vermont 307 4.0% 256 3.4% 244 3.3° Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.0° Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.4% Burlington, Vermont 235 3.1% 236 3.2% 212 2.8% Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.3° Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.5° Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8° Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.6° St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8° Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8° Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% <td>Barre (town), Vermont</td> <td>325</td> <td>4.2%</td> <td>392</td> <td>5.2%</td> <td>386</td> <td>5.2%</td>	Barre (town), Vermont	325	4.2%	392	5.2%	386	5.2%				
Braintree, Vermont 273 3.6% 231 3.1% 228 3.0% Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.49 Burlington, Vermont 235 3.1% 236 3.2% 212 2.89 Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.39 Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.59 Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.89 Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Montpelier, Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Modosville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7%	Newbury (town), Vermont	317	4.1%	275	3.7%	262	3.5%				
Williamstown, Vermont 255 3.3% 188 2.5% 181 2.49 Burlington, Vermont 235 3.1% 236 3.2% 212 2.88 Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.37 Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.5% Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.89 Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (willage), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.79 All Other Locations 3,471 45.3% 3,569 47.7% 3,581 47.99 Counties Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1%	Brookfield, Vermont	307	4.0%	256	3.4%	244	3.3%				
Burlington, Vermont 235 3.1% 236 3.2% 212 2.8% Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.33 Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.59 Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.8% Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.6% St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8% Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.8% Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.79 All Other Locations 3,471 45.3% 3,569 47.7% 3,581 47.99 Counties Counties Counties Counties Counties Counties <	Braintree, Vermont	273	3.6%	231	3.1%	228	3.0%				
Corinth, Vermont 204 2.7% 124 1.7% 172 2.39 Thetford, Vermont 200 2.6% 202 2.7% 184 2.59 Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.89 Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.89 Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% All Other Locations 3,471 45.3% 3,569 47.7% 3,581 47.99 Counties Orange Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.9 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704	Williamstown, Vermont	255	3.3%	188	2.5%	181	2.4%				
Thetford, Vermont Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.89 Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.89 Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% 62 0.89 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% Washington Co., Vermont 657 8.8% 638 Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 663 8.8% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 163 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.49 States Vermont 684 0.9% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.59 New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.59 New York	Burlington, Vermont	235	3.1%	236	3.2%	212	2.8%				
Barre (city), Vermont 188 2.5% 206 2.8% 209 2.89 Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.89 Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 3,581 47.99 47.99 3,875 51.89 48 1	Corinth, Vermont	204	2.7%	124	1.7%	172	2.3%				
Montpelier, Vermont 111 1.4% 138 1.8% 116 1.69 St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.89 Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.89 Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.79 All Other Locations 3,471 45.3% 3,569 47.7% 3,581 47.99 Counties Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1% 3,735 49.9% 3,875 51.89 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Caledonia Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.6% Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1	Thetford, Vermont	200	2.6%	202	2.7%	184	2.5%				
St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont 59 0.8% 74 1.0% 63 0.8% Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.8% Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 3,581 47.9% 47.9% 47.9% 3,875 51.8% 51.8% 51.8% 58 88	Barre (city), Vermont	188	2.5%	206	2.8%	209	2.8%				
Lebanon, New Hampshire 59 0.8% 90 1.2% 61 0.89 Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 3,581 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 47.99 48.81 41.99 48.81 41.99 48.81 41.99 48.81 41.99 48.81 41.99 48.99 3,875 51.89 49.99 <td>Montpelier, Vermont</td> <td>111</td> <td>1.4%</td> <td>138</td> <td>1.8%</td> <td>116</td> <td>1.6%</td>	Montpelier, Vermont	111	1.4%	138	1.8%	116	1.6%				
Woodsville, New Hampshire 57 0.7% 51 0.7% 51 0.7% All Other Locations 3,471 45.3% 3,569 47.7% 3,581 47.9% Counties Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1% 3,735 49.9% 3,875 51.8% Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.9% Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.9% Grafton Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 569 7.6% Caledonia Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.6% Chittenden Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.8% Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.0% Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.1% Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75	St. Johnsbury (village), Vermont	59	0.8%	74	1.0%	63	0.8%				
Counties 3,471 45.3% 3,569 47.7% 3,581 47.99 Counties Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1% 3,735 49.9% 3,875 51.89 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., Vermont 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% </td <td>Lebanon, New Hampshire</td> <td>59</td> <td>0.8%</td> <td>90</td> <td>1.2%</td> <td>61</td> <td>0.8%</td>	Lebanon, New Hampshire	59	0.8%	90	1.2%	61	0.8%				
Counties Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1% 3,735 49.9% 3,875 51.89 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 <td< td=""><td>Woodsville, New Hampshire</td><td>57</td><td>0.7%</td><td>51</td><td>0.7%</td><td>51</td><td>0.7%</td></td<>	Woodsville, New Hampshire	57	0.7%	51	0.7%	51	0.7%				
Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1% 3,735 49.9% 3,875 51.89 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5	All Other Locations	3,471	45.3%	3,569	47.7%	3,581	47.9%				
Orange Co., Vermont 4,378 57.1% 3,735 49.9% 3,875 51.89 Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5	Counting										
Washington Co., Vermont 677 8.8% 888 11.9% 814 10.99 Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.49 States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5%		4 378	57 1%	3 735	49 9%	3 875	51.8%				
Windsor Co., Vermont 657 8.6% 704 9.4% 667 8.99 Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.49 States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682	•										
Grafton Co., New Hampshire 483 6.3% 611 8.2% 569 7.69 Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.09 Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.69 Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.89 All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35	•										
Caledonia Co., Vermont 429 5.6% 402 5.4% 521 7.0% Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.6% Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.8% Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.0% Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.1% Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.8% All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0											
Chittenden Co., Vermont 278 3.6% 301 4.0% 272 3.6% Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.8% Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.0% Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.1% Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.8% All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%	·										
Rutland Co., Vermont 162 2.1% 156 2.1% 133 1.89 Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.09 Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.19 Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.8% All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%											
Addison Co., Vermont 103 1.3% 109 1.5% 78 1.0% Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.1% Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.8% All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%											
Orleans Co., Vermont 67 0.9% 87 1.2% 84 1.1% Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.8% All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%											
Lamoille Co., Vermont 65 0.8% 75 1.0% 62 0.8% All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%											
All Other Locations 370 4.8% 412 5.5% 407 5.4% States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%											
States Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%	•										
Vermont 6,942 90.5% 6,618 88.5% 6,662 89.0% New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%		370	4.070	712	3.570	407	0.470				
New Hampshire 591 7.7% 718 9.6% 682 9.1% Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%		6,942	90.5%	6,618	88.5%	6,662	89.0%				
Massachusetts 34 0.4% 40 0.5% 38 0.5% Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%							9.1%				
Maine 29 0.4% 31 0.4% 35 0.5% New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%	·						0.5%				
New York 18 0.2% 19 0.3% 18 0.2%							0.5%				
							0.2%				
	All Other Locations	55	0.7%	54	0.7%	47	0.6%				




Table 48 (continued) Orange County Commute Shed


LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.				4		
Orange C	ounty R	esidents	- All Jo	obs ¹		
		006	20		20	04
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	10,118	100.0%	8,083	100.0%	7,847	100.0%
Job Counts V	Vhere Re	esidents	are Em	ploved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Randolph, Vermont	1,527	15.1%	1,400	17.3%	1,452	18.5%
Barre (town), Vermont	1,407	13.9%	957	11.8%	872	11.1%
Barre (city), Vermont	1,058	10.5%	723	8.9%	695	8.9%
Montpelier, Vermont	838	8.3%	529	6.5%	492	6.3%
Bradford (town), Vermont	812	8.0%	641	7.9%	707	9.0%
Hartford, Vermont	694	6.9%	612	7.6%	490	6.2%
Royalton, Vermont	405	4.0%	366	4.5%	361	4.6%
Thetford, Vermont	328	3.2%	211	2.6%	248	3.2%
Berlin, Vermont	325	3.2%	178	2.2%	159	2.0%
Williamstown, Vermont	234	2.3%	163	2.0%	177	2.3%
Northfield (town), Vermont	225	2.2%	132	1.6%	150	1.9%
Waterbury (village), Vermont	155	1.5%	104	1.3%	124	1.6%
Rutland (city), Vermont	101	1.0%	85	1.1%	89	1.1%
Wells River (village), Vermont	55	0.5%	51	0.6%	36	0.5%
All Other Locations	1,954	19.3%	1,931	23.9%	1,795	22.9%
	,		,		,	
Counties						
Orange Co., Vermont	4,378	43.3%	3,735	46.2%	3,875	49.4%
Washington Co., Vermont	3,210	31.7%	2,080	25.7%	1,945	24.8%
Windsor Co., Vermont	1,814	17.9%	1,666	20.6%	1,417	18.1%
Rutland Co., Vermont	290	2.9%	224	2.8%	202	2.6%
Windham Co., Vermont	94	0.9%	108	1.3%	99	1.3%
Bennington Co., Vermont	62	0.6%	61	0.8%	51	0.6%
Addison Co., Vermont	58	0.6%	32	0.4%	32	0.4%
Essex Co., New York	32	0.3%	32	0.4%	31	0.4%
Warren Co., New York	31	0.3%	22	0.3%	33	0.4%
Saratoga Co., New York	24	0.2%	27	0.3%	29	0.4%
All Other Locations	125	1.2%	96	1.2%	133	1.7%
States						
Vermont	9,926	98.1%	7,932	98.1%	7,670	97.7%
New York	155	1.5%	125	1.5%	154	2.0%
Maine	10	0.1%	2	0.0%	3	0.0%
Rhode Island	6	0.1%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%
Virginia	3	0.0%	5	0.1%	2	0.0%
All Other Locations	18	0.2%	14	0.2%	18	0.2%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES



Orleans County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age								
	20	20	05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total - All Jobs	9,272	100.0%	8,971	100.0%	9,102	100.0%		
Age 30 or younger	2,157	23.3%	2,018	22.5%	2,106	23.1%		
Age 31 to 54	5,237	56.5%	5,228	58.3%	5,364	58.9%		
Age 55 or older	1,878	20.3%	1,725	19.2%	1,632	17.9%		

Jobs by Earnings Paid								
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
\$1,200 per month or less	3,061	33.0%	2,960	33.0%	3,139	34.5%		
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	4,426	47.7%	4,387	48.9%	4,382	48.1%		
More than \$3,400 per month	1,785	19.3%	1,624	18.1%	1,581	17.4%		

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)								
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	9,470	100.0%	9,182	100.0%	9,240	100.0%		
Net Job Change	476	100.0%	533	100.0%	529	100.0%		
Job Creation (job gain)	1,161	100.0%	1,132	100.0%	1,139	100.0%		
Separations, Stable Jobs	1,703	100.0%	1,729	100.0%	1,766	100.0%		
New Hires, Stable Jobs	1,992	100.0%	2,041	100.0%	1,912	100.0%		
Turnover	8.8%	100.0%	9.4%	100.0%	9.2%	100.0%		
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,418	100.0%	\$2,392	100.0%	\$2,354	100.0%		
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,597	100.0%	\$1,528	100.0%	\$1,639	100.0%		

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 49 (continued) Orleans County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

Orleans County Workers - All Jobs								
	20	06	2005	2004				
	Count	Share Cou	nt Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	9,272 1	00.0% 8,971	100.0%	9,102	100.0%			

Job Counts by Place of Residence							
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
Newport (city), Vermont	1,530	16.5%	1,582	17.6%	1,645	18.1%	
Derby, Vermont	1,451	15.6%	1,351	15.1%	1,373	15.1%	
Barton, Vermont	881	9.5%	898	10.0%	902	9.9%	
Newport (town), Vermont	419	4.5%	369	4.1%	372	4.1%	
Troy, Vermont	403	4.3%	367	4.1%	395	4.3%	
Coventry, Vermont	319	3.4%	330	3.7%	335	3.7%	
Burlington, Vermont	297	3.2%	289	3.2%	81	0.9%	
Brownington, Vermont	283	3.1%	259	2.9%	264	2.9%	
Craftsbury, Vermont	212	2.3%	229	2.6%	217	2.4%	
Irasburg, Vermont	211	2.3%	223	2.5%	224	2.5%	
Glover, Vermont	202	2.2%	160	1.8%	148	1.6%	
St. Johnsbury, Vermont	102	1.1%	90	1.0%	102	1.1%	
Island Pond, Vermont	68	0.7%	75	0.8%	63	0.7%	
Berlin, New Hampshire	48	0.5%	53	0.6%	64	0.7%	
All Other Locations	2,846	30.7%	2,696	30.1%	2,917	32.0%	
Counties							
Orleans Co., Vermont	7,211	77.8%	7,007	78.1%	7,084	77.8%	
Caledonia Co., Vermont	540	5.8%	517	5.8%	543	6.0%	
Chittenden Co., Vermont	391	4.2%	372	4.1%	290	3.2%	
Washington Co., Vermont	228	2.5%	215	2.4%	197	2.2%	
Essex Co., Vermont	177	1.9%	195	2.2%	172	1.9%	
Lamoille Co., Vermont	154	1.7%	148	1.6%	126	1.4%	
Coos Co., New Hampshire	130	1.4%	153	1.7%	141	1.5%	
Franklin Co., Vermont	112	1.2%	61	0.7%	202	2.2%	
Rutland Co., Vermont	79	0.9%	102	1.1%	36	0.4%	
Windsor Co., Vermont	35	0.4%	29	0.3%	17	0.2%	
All Other Locations	215	2.3%	172	1.9%	294	3.2%	
States							
Vermont	8,930	96.3%	8,647	96.4%	8,732	95.9%	
New Hampshire	167	1.8%	188	2.1%	176	1.9%	
New York	69	0.7%	50	0.6%	76	0.8%	
Maine	48	0.5%	50	0.6%	64	0.7%	
Michigan	17	0.2%	7	0.1%	12	0.1%	
All Other Locations	41	0.4%	29	0.3%	42	0.5%	

East Montpeller

South Barre

62

Berlin

12

Plainfield

42.41 - 66.95 Workers/Sq. Mi.

County Subdivisions

Lakes and Rivers

Counties

66.96 - 133.91 Workers/Sq. Mi.

Bath

Ryegate

ത്തി

302

Orange Topsham

Table 49 (continued) Orleans County Commute Shed


LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.								
Orleans County Residents - All Jobs ¹								
	2006 2005			2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total All Jobs	10,278	100.0%	10,075	100.0%	10,221	100.0%		
Job Counts \	Where R	esidents	s are En	nnloved				
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
- Chies, Ferris, Finages	000	3 , 16.1 3		5 , 15, 15	3 3 3 11 1	Or ion o		
Newport (city), Vermont	3,142	30.6%	3,098	30.7%	3,242	31.7%		
Derby (town), Vermont	1,318	12.8%	1,190	11.8%	1,199	11.7%		
Barton (town), Vermont	1,046	10.2%	1,095	10.9%	1,086	10.6%		
St. Johnsbury, Vermont	361	3.5%	306	3.0%	319	3.1%		
Jay, Vermont	262	2.5%	112	1.1%	264	2.6%		
Hartford, Vermont	255	2.5%	192	1.9%	179	1.8%		
Lyndon, Vermont	228	2.2%	200	2.0%	242	2.4%		
Irasburg, Vermont	206	2.0%	194	1.9%	165	1.6%		
Burlington, Vermont	185	1.8%	174	1.7%	195	1.9%		
Montpelier, Vermont	183	1.8%	197	2.0%	161	1.6%		
Newport (town), Vermont	179	1.7%	167	1.7%	135	1.3%		
North Troy (village), Vermont	127	1.2%	145	1.4%	123	1.2%		
Waterbury (village), Vermont	123	1.2%	92	0.9%	102	1.0%		
All Other Locations	2,663	25.9%	2,913	28.9%	2,809	27.5%		
Counties	7.044	70.00/	7.007	00.50/	7.004	00.00/		
Orleans Co., Vermont	7,211	70.2%	7,007	69.5%	7,084	69.3%		
Caledonia Co., Vermont	823	8.0%	740	7.3%	784	7.7%		
Washington Co., Vermont	542	5.3%	560	5.6%	494	4.8%		
Chittenden Co., Vermont	482	4.7%	443	4.4%	459	4.5%		
Lamoille Co., Vermont	375 275	3.6% 2.7%	425 282	4.2% 2.8%	389 264	3.8% 2.6%		
Windsor Co., Vermont Essex Co., Vermont	273	2.7%	202	2.0%	198	1.9%		
Franklin Co., Vermont	144	1.4%	145	1.4%	117	1.9%		
Orange Co., Vermont	67	0.7%	87	0.9%	84	0.8%		
Addison Co., Vermont	50	0.7 %	36	0.4%	36	0.4%		
All Other Locations	81	0.8%	134	1.3%	312	3.1%		
States	01	0.070	104	1.570	012	3.170		
Vermont	10,206	99.3%	9,990	99.2%	10,126	99.1%		
New York	37	0.4%	47	0.5%	47	0.5%		
Maine	10	0.1%	16	0.2%	22	0.2%		
New Jersey	5	0.0%	3	0.0%	7	0.1%		
California	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%		
All Other Locations	17	0.2%	19	0.2%	18	0.2%		

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES



Rutland County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age									
	20	2006			2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total - All Jobs	29,747	100.0%	29,546	100.0%	29,236	100.0%			
Age 30 or younger	6,830	23.0%	6,690	22.6%	6,565	22.5%			
Age 31 to 54	17,005	57.2%	17,224	58.3%	17,381	59.5%			
Age 55 or older	5,912	19.9%	5,632	19.1%	5,290	18.1%			

Jobs by Earnings Paid									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
\$1,200 per month or less	9,699	32.6%	10,018	33.9%	9,880	33.8%			
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	11,922	40.1%	11,785	39.9%	11,926	40.8%			
More than \$3,400 per month	8,126	27.3%	7,743	26.2%	7,430	25.4%			

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)								
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	29,759	100.0%	29,463	100.0%	29,102	100.0%		
Net Job Change	119	100.0%	-21	100.0%	269	100.0%		
Job Creation (job gain)	2,434	100.0%	2,573	100.0%	2,610	100.0%		
Separations, Stable Jobs	4,735	100.0%	4,674	100.0%	4,656	100.0%		
New Hires, Stable Jobs	6,260	100.0%	6,528	100.0%	6,203	100.0%		
Turnover	9.7%	100.0%	10.6%	100.0%	10.2%	100.0%		
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,882	100.0%	\$2,808	100.0%	\$2,749	100.0%		
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,678	100.0%	\$1,730	100.0%	\$1,776	100.0%		

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 50 (continued) Rutland County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

Rutland County Workers - All Jobs									
		2006		2005		04			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	29,747	100.0%	29,546	100.0%	29,236	100.0%			

Job Cou	ınts by P	lace of I	Job Counts by Place of Residence							
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004				
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share				
Rutland (city), Vermont	7,138	24.0%	7,332	24.8%	7,275	24.9%				
Rutland (town), Vermont	1,990	6.7%	1,987	6.7%	2,077	7.1%				
Pittsford, Vermont	1,293	4.3%	1,183	4.0%	1,189	4.1%				
Brandon, Vermont	1,219	4.1%	1,032	3.5%	1,063	3.6%				
Burlington, Vermont	1,207	4.1%	1,349	4.6%	276	0.9%				
Fair Haven, Vermont	1,174	3.9%	1,181	4.0%	1,133	3.9%				
West Rutland (town), Vermont	1,058	3.6%	976	3.3%	979	3.3%				
Clarendon, Vermont	1,034	3.5%	929	3.1%	1,017	3.5%				
Castleton, Vermont	976	3.3%	949	3.2%	925	3.2%				
Poultney, Vermont	934	3.1%	821	2.8%	806	2.8%				
Proctor, Vermont	930	3.1%	947	3.2%	892	3.1%				
Wallingford, Vermont	242	0.8%	203	0.7%	184	0.6%				
Whitehall, New York	184	0.6%	202	0.7%	216	0.7%				
St. Johnsbury, Vermont	180	0.6%	153	0.5%	45	0.2%				
Bennington, Vermont	152	0.5%	175	0.6%	178	0.6%				
All Other Locations	10,036	33.7%	10,127	34.3%	10,981	37.6%				
Counties										
Rutland Co., Vermont	22,627	76.1%	21,676	73.4%	21,572	73.8%				
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,328	4.5%	1,523	5.2%	1,089	3.7%				
Washington Co., New York	969	3.3%	995	3.4%	1,031	3.5%				
Addison Co., Vermont	924	3.1%	1,229	4.2%	1,193	4.1%				
Windsor Co., Vermont	786	2.6%	783	2.7%	741	2.5%				
Caledonia Co., Vermont	604	2.0%	592	2.0%	170	0.6%				
Bennington Co., Vermont	518	1.7%	533	1.8%	573	2.0%				
Windham Co., Vermont	367	1.2%	369	1.2%	415	1.4%				
Orange Co., Vermont	290	1.0%	224	0.8%	202	0.7%				
Lamoille Co., Vermont	197	0.7%	296	1.0%	135	0.5%				
All Other Locations	1,137	3.8%	1,326	4.5%	2,115	7.2%				
States										
Vermont	27,788	93.4%	27,412	92.8%	27,017	92.4%				
	1,394	4.7%	1,430	4.8%	27,017 1,575	5.4%				
Vermont						5.4% 0.7%				
Vermont New York	1,394	4.7%	1,430	4.8%	1,575	5.4%				
Vermont New York New Hampshire	1,394 205	4.7% 0.7%	1,430 270	4.8% 0.9%	1,575 205	5.4% 0.7%				

Rutland County Labor Shed Lincoln Westport New Haven Izabethtown . Waybridga Randolph **CranyIII Braintree** Addison lineville-Witherbee Ripton Morfah Port Henry 125 [7]14 o Hancock 903 STORED THE Comwall 89 **q**74 Salisbury Royallo ր իրության **Grown Point** Coelie Essex Whiting. Shoreham 107 Pitterial Stockbridge Barnard* **Ochfitenden** 73 12 Ticonderoga 74 uclbury Schroon o p 🗃 % Hubbardon <u>Bridgewater</u> Putnam Enson O Hague **Mendon** West Haven Plymouth Horfeon o Shrawabury 9N o . D 0. 100 Dreeden MountHolly Wallingford 🌃 Primary Selection Area dinomili 2006 3 - 9 Workers • o 🔘 10 - 29 Workers 133 TOO STATE STATUS OF THE STATUS ور المعلق Ando 30 - 67 Workers Pawlet[©] 68 - 130 Workers 149 131 - 225 Workers Landgrove $\overline{7}$ Perw 2006 Dorset 315 22 30 ondonden 0.01 - 25.17 Workers/Sq. Mi. Rupert Hebron 100 25.18 - 62.92 Workers/Sq. Mi. 7A 1 153 62.93 - 138.43 Workers/Sq. Mi. Winhall 30 138.44 - 239.11 Workers/Sq. Mi. Sandgate amaica 239.12 - 377.54 Workers/Sq. Mi. Windham 377.55 - 755.09 Workers/Sq. Mi. Salam [7]338 Stratton **County Subdivisions** 29 Sunderland Wardsb 100 **Jedzeon** Counties 7A 313 White Greek Somerset **Clastenbury** 313 Dover Lakes and Rivers

Table 50 (continued) Rutland County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.						
Rutland (County F	Resident	s - All J	obs ¹		
		2006		005	20	004
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	32,425	100.0%	30,136	100.0%	29,780	100.0%
Job Counts	Where R	esident	s are Fr	nnloved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Rutland (city), Vermont	11,502	35.5%	11,529	38.3%	11,785	39.6%
Rutland (town), Vermont	2,105	6.5%	1,754	5.8%	1,696	5.7%
Killington, Vermont	1,388	4.3%	1,485	4.9%	1,564	5.3%
Brandon, Vermont	1,140	3.5%	1,031	3.4%	1,003	3.4%
Clarendon, Vermont	1,030	3.2%	1,016	3.4%	962	3.2%
Castleton, Vermont	1,019	3.1%	937	3.1%	846	2.8%
Middlebury, Vermont	856	2.6%	580	1.9%	648	2.2%
Manchester, Vermont	782	2.4%	728	2.4%	729	2.4%
Fair Haven, Vermont	738	2.3%	672	2.2%	649	2.2%
Poultney, Vermont	702	2.2%	549	1.8%	595	2.0%
Hartford, Vermont	698	2.2%	609	2.0%	540	1.8%
Burlington, Vermont	590	1.8%	504	1.7%	521	1.7%
South Burlington, Vermont	533	1.6%	441	1.5%	445	1.5%
Montpelier, Vermont	303	0.9%	232	0.8%	241	0.8%
All Other Locations	9,039	27.9%	8,069	26.8%	7,556	25.4%
Counties	00.007	60.00/	04.070	74.00/	04 570	70.40/
Rutland Co., Vermont	22,627	69.8%	21,676	71.9%	21,572	72.4%
Chittenden Co., Vermont Windsor Co., Vermont	1,838 1,768	5.7% 5.5%	1,506 1,586	5.0%	1,566 1,495	5.3% 5.0%
Bennington Co., Vermont	1,766	4.5%	1,300	5.3% 4.3%	1,317	4.4%
Addison Co., Vermont	1,445	3.5%	798	2.6%	845	2.8%
Washington Co., Vermont	915	2.8%	740	2.5%	715	2.6%
Windham Co., Vermont	557	1.7%	457	1.5%	395	1.3%
Washington Co., New York	315	1.0%	241	0.8%	237	0.8%
Lamoille Co., Vermont	288	0.9%	220	0.7%	222	0.7%
Franklin Co., Vermont	284	0.9%	276	0.9%	295	1.0%
All Other Locations	1,252	3.9%	1,334	4.4%	1,121	3.8%
States	1,202	0.070	1,001	11 170	.,	0.070
Vermont	31,332	96.6%	29,042	96.4%	28,841	96.8%
New York	883	2.7%	887	2.9%	713	2.4%
Rhode Island	91	0.3%	43	0.1%	133	0.4%
Maine	19	0.1%	31	0.1%	18	0.1%
Pennsylvania	15	0.0%	12	0.0%	7	0.0%
All Other Locations	85	0.3%	121	0.4%	68	0.2%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Rutland County Commute Shed " - Waltsfield **Essex** Northfeld Williamstow Monkton 100 Lewis Warren / a **Femisburgh** Roxbury 9N **Brookfield** Uhooh Westport Walthan Penton **Elizabethtown Crany** [] Brefittee Addison Middlebury Rendolph **Waybridge** Ineville-Witherbee 66 Ripton 100 Morfah Port Henry 125 125 DSOF Hencock **Eddport** 903 Comwall 74 Bethel Saliabuny lorith Hudson 73 30 **Crown Point Coehe**i Leicester Shoreham Whiting CE SE Brandon Pitterfold Barmar Chittenden Theonderoga Suclbury 73 74 9N Schroon **€** 30 0 Killington Hubbardton 144 Putnam Rutland Benson Proctor Hague Mendon West Rulland 4 100 Castleton West Haven Call (lavel) **Plymouth** 🌄 Primary Selection Area Shrewsbury Haven 🔊 Clarendon 2006 133 8 - 54 Workers Hampton 103 Potitiney 55 - 181 Workers Wallingford Middle(own:Springe 182 - 428 Workers Wallingford Mount Holly 429 - 836 Workers Minocuilli 837 - 1444 Workers 2006 0.01 - 42.69 Workers/Sq. Mi. lle)-[30 133 Mount Tabor Weston 153 Daniby 42.70 - 106.72 Workers/Sq. Mi. Pawlet 149 106.73 - 234.79 Workers/Sq. Mi. ford 234.80 - 405.55 Workers/Sq. Mi. Landgro Perm 405.56 - 640.34 Workers/Sq. Mi. Dorset 315 22 Londo 30 mondelfl Ruperil 640.35 - 1280.68 Workers/Sq. Mi. **County Subdivisions** 30 Manchester C Withhall Jame ylə Counties Sandgate Salem 7 Lakes and Rivers **Creenwich** Stratton

Washington County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age									
	20	006	20	05	2004				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total - All Jobs	<u>33,406</u>	100.0%	33,473	100.0%	32,726	100.0%			
Age 30 or younger	6,995	20.9%	6,928	20.7%	6,843	20.9%			
Age 31 to 54	19,681	58.9%	20,188	60.3%	19,910	60.8%			
Age 55 or older	6,730	20.1%	6,357	19.0%	5,973	18.3%			

Jobs by Earnings Paid									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
\$1,200 per month or less	8,840	26.5%	8,935	26.7%	8,933	27.3%			
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	13,982	41.9%	14,396	43.0%	13,727	41.9%			
More than \$3,400 per month	10,584	31.7%	10,142	30.3%	10,066	30.8%			

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	32,908	100.0%	33,700	100.0%	33,009	100.0%			
Net Job Change	632	100.0%	460	100.0%	920	100.0%			
Job Creation (job gain)	2,429	100.0%	2,699	100.0%	2,659	100.0%			
Separations, Stable Jobs	4,571	100.0%	4,521	100.0%	4,640	100.0%			
New Hires, Stable Jobs	5,645	100.0%	5,995	100.0%	5,746	100.0%			
Turnover	8.4%	100.0%	8.9%	100.0%	8.0%	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$3,056	100.0%	\$3,018	100.0%	\$2,992	100.0%			
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,943	100.0%	\$1,890	100.0%	\$1,839	100.0%			

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 51 (continued)

Washington County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

Washington County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006		2005		04			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total - All Jobs	33,406	100.0%	33,473	100 .0%	32,726	100.0%			

Job Co	unts by P	lace of	Residen	ice		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Barre (town), Vermont	6,940	20.8%	6,993	20.9%	7,214	22.0%
Barre (city), Vermont	4,101	12.3%	4,009	12.0%	4,206	12.9%
Montpelier, Vermont	3,398	10.2%	3,810	11.4%	3,702	11.3%
Waterbury (town), Vermont	1,476	4.4%	1,409	4.2%	1,340	4.1%
Williamstown, Vermont	1,234	3.7%	786	2.3%	751	2.3%
Northfield (town), Vermont	1,205	3.6%	1,452	4.3%	1,414	4.3%
Berlin, Vermont	934	2.8%	1,061	3.2%	1,088	3.3%
East Montpelier, Vermont	740	2.2%	1,013	3.0%	933	2.9%
Burlington, Vermont	618	1.8%	761	2.3%	705	2.2%
Middlesex, Vermont	582	1.7%	712	2.1%	733	2.2%
Waitsfield, Vermont	580	1.7%	649	1.9%	592	1.8%
South Burlington, Vermont	462	1.4%	379	1.1%	365	1.1%
Rutland (city), Vermont	292	0.9%	227	0.7%	231	0.7%
St. Johnsbury, Vermont	238	0.7%	213	0.6%	253	0.8%
All Other Locations	10,606	31.7%	9,999	29.9%	9,199	28.1%
Counties						
Washington County	19,387	58.0%	21,520	64.3%	21,262	65.0%
Chittenden County	3,638	10.9%	3,376	10.1%	3,219	9.8%
Orange County	3,210	9.6%	2,080	6.2%	1,945	5.9%
Caledonia County	1,168	3.5%	1,048	3.1%	1,061	3.2%
Lamoille County	970	2.9%	909	2.7%	888	2.7%
Rutland County	915	2.7%	740	2.2%	715	2.2%
Windsor County	636	1.9%	505	1.5%	456	1.4%
Franklin County	633	1.9%	652	1.9%	626	1.9%
Addison County	587	1.8%	567	1.7%	571	1.7%
Orleans County	542	1.6%	560	1.7%	494	1.5%
All Other Locations	1,720	5.1%	1,516	4.5%	1,489	4.5%
States						
Vermont	32,382	96.9%	32,596	97.4%	31,924	97.5%
New Hampshire	435	1.3%	385	1.2%	349	1.1%
Massachusetts	213	0.6%	177	0.5%	156	0.5%
New York	184	0.6%	158	0.5%	174	0.5%
Maine	58	0.2%	39	0.1%	28	0.1%
All Other Locations	134	0.4%	118	0.4%	95	0.3%




Table 51 (continued) Washington County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)


The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.	0 1	D	. 4	1.1.1					
Washington County Residents - All Jobs ¹									
		006	20		20 Count				
	Count	Share	Count			Share			
Total - All Jobs	25,565	100.0%	28,999	100.0%	28,817	100.0%			
Job Counts \	Where R	esidents	are En	nploved					
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Montpelier, Vermont	5,857	22.9%	6,522	22.5%	6,589	22.9%			
Barre (town), Vermont	5,329	20.8%	5,797	20.0%	6,008	20.8%			
Barre (city), Vermont	4,399	17.2%	4,769	16.4%	4,942	17.1%			
Waterbury, Vermont	1,978	7.7%	2,109	7.3%	1,967	6.8%			
Berlin, Vermont	1,452	5.7%	1,737	6.0%	1,599	5.5%			
Northfield, Vermont	1,214	4.7%	1,339	4.6%	1,382	4.8%			
Burlington, Vermont	1,055	4.1%	1,306	4.5%	1,166	4.0%			
Waitsfield, Vermont	892	3.5%	1,014	3.5%	1,033	3.6%			
Warren, Vermont	636	2.5%	721	2.5%	624	2.2%			
South Burlington, Vermont	564	2.2%	679	2.3%	707	2.5%			
Williston, Vermont	469	1.8%	503	1.7%	479	1.7%			
Essex Junction, Vermont	181	0.7%	220	0.8%	236	0.8%			
St. Johnsbury, Vermont	179	0.7%	256	0.9%	216	0.7%			
All Other Locations	1,360	5.3%	2,027	7.0%	1,869	6.5%			
Counties									
Washington Co., Vermont	19,387	75.8%	21,520	74.2%	21,262	73.8%			
Chittenden Co., Vermont	2,922	11.4%	3,495	12.1%	3,410	11.8%			
Lamoille Co., Vermont	785	3.1%	866	3.0%	870	3.0%			
Orange Co., Vermont	677	2.6%	888	3.1%	814	2.8%			
Windsor Co., Vermont	492	1.9%	750	2.6%	734	2.5%			
Caledonia Co., Vermont	404	1.6%	506	1.7%	469	1.6%			
Franklin Co., Vermont	262	1.0%	264	0.9%	242	0.8%			
Orleans Co., Vermont	228	0.9%	215	0.7%	197	0.7%			
Addison Co., Vermont	149	0.6%	159	0.5%	151	0.5%			
Essex Co., New York	35	0.1%	35	0.1%	32	0.1%			
All Other Locations	224	0.9%	301	1.0%	636	2.2%			
States									
Vermont	25,362	99.2%	28,795	99.3%	28,605	99.3%			
New York	139	0.5%	130	0.4%	136	0.5%			
Maine	19	0.1%	14	0.0%	31	0.1%			
New Jersey	8	0.0%	11	0.0%	10	0.0%			
Pennsylvania	7	0.0%	5	0.0%	4	0.0%			
All Other Locations	30	0.1%	44	0.2%	31	0.1%			

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report.

The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES



Windham County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age								
	2006 2005 2004							
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Total - All Jobs	22,534	100.0%	22,600	100.0%	23,645	100.0%		
Age 30 or younger	4,988	22.1%	5,201	23.0%	5,200	22.0%		
Age 31 to 54	12,907	57.3%	12,966	57.4%	14,058	59.5%		
Age 55 or older	4,639	20.6%	4,433	19.6%	4,387	18.6%		

Jobs by Earnings Paid							
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
\$1,200 per month or less	7,232	32.1%	7,692	34.0%	7,912	33.5%	
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	9,300	41.3%	9,626	42.6%	9,824	41.5%	
More than \$3,400 per month	6,002	26.6%	5,282	23.4%	5,909	25.0%	

+ -,	-,		-,		-,		
Quarterly V	Vorkforce	Indicat	ors (QV	VI)			
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	22,554	100.0%	22,627	100.0%	23,684	100.0%	
Net Job Change	165	100.0%	357	100.0%	-777	100.0%	
Job Creation (job gain)	1,757	100.0%	2,450	100.0%	1,830	100.0%	
Separations, Stable Jobs	3,602	100.0%	3,865	100.0%	4,092	100.0%	
New Hires, Stable Jobs	4,872	100.0%	5,635	100.0%	6,395	100.0%	
Turnover	10.0%	100.0%	11.6%	100.0%	11.5%	100.0%	
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,885	100.0%	\$2,721	100.0%	\$2,800	100.0%	
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,928	100.0%	\$1,799	100.0%	\$1,775	100.0%	

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 52 (continued) Windham County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

Windham County Workers - All Jobs									
	2	2006	20	05	20	04			
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	22,534	100.0%	22,600	100.0%	23,645	100.0%			

Job Counts by Place of Residence								
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Brattleboro (town), Vermont	5,289	23.5%	5,241	23.2%	5,335	22.6%		
Rockingham (town), Vermont	1,325	5.9%	1,288	5.7%	1,391	5.9%		
Westminster (town), Vermont	850	3.8%	950	4.2%	840	3.6%		
Vernon, Vermont	791	3.5%	760	3.4%	784	3.3%		
Guilford, Vermont	782	3.5%	757	3.3%	739	3.1%		
Putney, Vermont	739	3.3%	740	3.3%	706	3.0%		
Hinsdale, New Hampshire	719	3.2%	1,086	4.8%	1,024	4.3%		
Wilmington, Vermont	636	2.8%	620	2.7%	675	2.9%		
Dummerston, Vermont	624	2.8%	749	3.3%	779	3.3%		
Springfield (town), Vermont	543	2.4%	383	1.7%	403	1.7%		
Keene, New Hampshire	453	2.0%	508	2.2%	624	2.6%		
Greenfield, Massachusetts	260	1.2%	209	0.9%	238	1.0%		
Rutland (city), Vermont	205	0.9%	161	0.7%	137	0.6%		
Burlington, Vermont	189	0.8%	84	0.4%	102	0.4%		
Bennington (village), Vermont	160	0.7%	136	0.6%	151	0.6%		
All Other Locations	8,969	39.8%	8,928	39.5%	9,717	41.1%		
Counties								
Windham Co., Vermont	13,724	60.9%	13,902	61.5%	14,013	59.3%		
Cheshire Co., New Hampshire	2,154	9.6%	2,900	12.8%	3,168	13.4%		
Windsor Co., Vermont	1,254	5.6%	954	4.2%	974	4.1%		
Franklin Co., Massachusetts	1,103	4.9%	891	3.9%	1,029	4.4%		
Bennington Co., Vermont	801	3.6%	669	3.0%	720	3.0%		
Chittenden Co., Vermont	588	2.6%	344	1.5%	366	1.5%		
Rutland Co., Vermont	557	2.5%	457	2.0%	395	1.7%		
Sullivan Co., New Hampshire	269	1.2%	238	1.1%	235	1.0%		
Hampshire Co., Massachusetts	144	0.6%	136	0.6%	220	0.9%		
Berkshire Co., Massachusetts	137	0.6%	172	0.8%	177	0.7%		
All Other Locations	1,803	8.0%	1,937	8.6%	2,348	9.9%		
States	.,000	0.070	.,001	0.070	_,0.0	0.070		
Vermont	17,244	76.5%	16,824	74.4%	16,927	71.6%		
New Hampshire	2,607	11.6%	3,281	14.5%	3,564	15.1%		
Massachusetts	1,837	8.2%	1,596	7.1%	2,103	8.9%		
New York	539	2.4%	544	2.4%	600	2.5%		
Connecticut	127	0.6%	176	0.8%	207	0.9%		
All Other Locations	180	0.8%	179	0.8%	244	1.0%		

Windham County Labor Shed West Rulland Groydon/ Castleton. Windsor 106 Comish Reading Plymouth 30 44 Shrewsbury 133 Clarendon Newport 103 Pouliney 140 Wallingford Weathersiteld ı Cavendish Middletown Springs Mount Holly Wallingford Thmouth Unity 17 Lemps 133 30 Mount Tabor Charlestown Chester "Weston" Aewordh 153 Danby Andover Pawlet 91 12 149 Medi Langdon Rockingham **Catilizan** ° Dorset 0 123 ondondamy Alstead 30 Rupert Hebron Athens Manchester Center Withhall . Westminster **CELECU** Manchester Source Jamelea **Snédenwolf** Sandgate 7 12A Entrey Westmoreland Keene Salem 7A Stratton Sunderland •Wardsboro 22 Chestarifald Swanz Jackson **Dover**°) Jeep Primary Selection Area 2006 Mailboro 3 - 10 Workers S. S. Winchester. ansburg 11 - 34 Workers 9 8 35 - 80 Workers 10 81 - 155 Workers Halliaxo 156 - 268 Workers Whitingham Northfield Readsboro 2006 Bernardston (0.01 - 14.98 Workers/Sq. Mi. Leyden 0 8 14.99 - 37.45 Workers/Sq. Mi. Collection e Monros Heath Rowe 63 37.46 - 82.40 Workers/Sq. Mi. Creenfield of Florida 82.41 - 142.32 Workers/Sq. Mi. Greenfield Weing Charlemont 142.33 - 224.72 Workers/Sq. Mi. Montague Buckland 224.73 - 449.44 Workers/Sq. Mi. Hawley Savoy Deerifeld **County Subdivisions** Conway Levere Ashiteld Counties Plainfield 112 63 Whately Windsor Lakes and Rivers

Table 52 (continued) Windham County Commute Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.						
Windham (County F	Resident	s - All J	lobs ¹		
	2	20	04			
	Count	Share	Count	05 Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	16,320	100.0%	16,927	100.0%	17,013	100.0%
Job Counts V					,	
Job Counts I	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Brattleboro (town), Vermont	7,279	44.6%	7,302	43.1%	7,079	41.6%
Rockingham (town), Vermont	1,304	8.0%	1,347	8.0%	1,321	7.8%
Wilmington, Vermont	743	4.6%	651	3.8%	694	4.1%
Putney, Vermont	727	4.5%	794	4.7%	900	5.3%
Dover, Vermont	686	4.2%	700	4.1%	713	4.2%
Vernon, Vermont	491	3.0%	392	2.3%	530	3.1%
Londonderry, Vermont	425	2.6%	499	2.9%	548	3.2%
Westminster (town), Vermont	407	2.5%	457	2.7%	424	2.5%
Townshend, Vermont	394	2.4%	424	2.5%	426	2.5%
Springfield (town), Vermont	365	2.2%	530	3.1%	518	3.0%
Rutland (city), Vermont	224	1.4%	203	1.2%	259	1.5%
Chester-Chester Depot, Vermont	127	0.8%	126	0.7%	141	0.8%
Bennington (village), Vermont	121	0.7%	131	0.8%	147	0.9%
Montpelier, Vermont	110	0.7%	86	0.5%	92	0.5%
Manchester Center, Vermont	83	0.5%	95	0.6%	101	0.6%
Newfane (village), Vermont	64	0.4%	71	0.4%	67	0.4%
All Other Locations	2,770	17.0%	3,119	18.4%	3,053	17.9%
Counties						
Windham Co., Vermont	13,724	84.1%	13,902	82.1%	14,013	82.4%
Windsor Co., Vermont	1,064	6.5%	1,365	8.1%	1,297	7.6%
Bennington Co., Vermont	447	2.7%	566	3.3%	557	3.3%
Rutland Co., Vermont	367	2.2%	369	2.2%	415	2.4%
Washington Co., Vermont	283	1.7%	275	1.6%	289	1.7%
Addison Co., Vermont	103	0.6%	89	0.5%	90	0.5%
Essex Co., New York	72	0.4%	69	0.4%	74	0.4%
Orange Co., Vermont	48	0.3%	75	0.4%	48	0.3%
Warren Co., New York	32	0.2%	54	0.3%	41	0.2%
Saratoga Co., New York	30	0.2%	36	0.2%	18	0.1%
All Other Locations	150	0.9%	127	0.8%	171	1.0%
States		22.12/	10.001	22 121	10 = 10	
Vermont	16,056	98.4%	16,664	98.4%	16,742	98.4%
New York	221	1.4%	232	1.4%	232	1.4%
Maine	9	0.1%	6	0.0%	11	0.1%
Rhode Island	7	0.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pennsylvania	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%
All Other Locations	21	0.1%	17	0.1%	26	0.2%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES

Windham County Commute Shed West Ruffend Castleton. Groydon/ 106 Comish (Windsor) Reading Plymouth 30 44 120 10 Shrewsbury TE 133 Clarendon aven Newpord 103 Poultney 140 11 Weathersiteld Wallingford Cavendish Middletown Springs φÌ Mount Holly Wallingford Ballimone Thmouth 155 and Unitay **c** 106 17 Springfield Wells Lemps 133 Granville 30 Mount Tabor Charlestown Chester °Weston Aewordh 153 Demby Andover Chester-Chester Depot Pawlet 91 12 149 5 Medi Rockingham .Perw °**noilm9** Dorset Londonderny Alstead 30 Bellows Falls

North Westminster 123 Rupent Hebron Windham 22 Atthems 35 Manchester Center Windell 30 **CELECU** Westminster Manchester Surry Townshend Jamaica Sandgate 7 12A O Deposition Salem 7A Puttiey Westmoreland Keene 29 Stratton Sunderland **Wardelbard** 22 Newfane 94 **noteremmud** Chesterifeld Swanz Jackson Dover° **Seen** ್ಟ್ರಿ Primary Selection Area D) 2 Brattleboros 2006 Marlboro 9 5 - 27 Workers Willington ansburg Winchester 28 - 90 Workers 9 8 91 - 212 Workers 10 **Cuffford** 213 - 413 Workers Jacksonville Halliax Vermon Went 414 - 714 Workers Whitingham Readsboro 2006 Dermardston V 0.01 - 16.59 Workers/Sq. Mi. Leyden 8 16.60 - 41.47 Workers/Sq. Mi. Collectin e Monros Heath Rowe 63 41.48 - 91.22 Workers/Sq. Mi. **Creenfield** Florida 91.23 - 157.57 Workers/Sq. Mi. Weing Charlemont 157.58 - 248.79 Workers/Sq. Mi. Montague Buckland 248.80 - 497.58 Workers/Sq. Mi. Hawley Savoy Deerifeld **County Subdivisions** 10 Conway Levere Ashiteld Counties 116 Plainfield 112 63 Whately Windsor Lakes and Rivers

Windsor County Work Area Profile

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county work area profile report shows job data for workers employed in the county.

Jobs by Worker Age									
	2006 2005 2004								
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Total - All Jobs	25,155	100.0%	25,254	100.0%	24,562	100.0%			
Age 30 or younger	5,741	22.8%	5,832	23.1%	5,613	22.9%			
Age 31 to 54	14,007	55.7%	14,249	56.4%	14,204	57.8%			
Age 55 or older	5,407	21.5%	5,173	20.5%	4,745	19.3%			

Jobs by Earnings Paid							
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004	
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share	
\$1,200 per month or less	9,787	38.9%	10,083	39.9%	9,976	40.6%	
\$1,201 to \$3,400 per month	9,744	38.7%	9,739	38.6%	9,561	38.9%	
More than \$3,400 per month	5,624	22.4%	5,432	21.5%	5,025	20.5%	

Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI)								
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004		
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share		
Employment (Beginning-of-quarter)	25,177	100.0%	25,243	100.0%	24,484	100.0%		
Net Job Change	642	100.0%	277	100.0%	315	100.0%		
Job Creation (job gain)	2,459	100.0%	2,475	100.0%	2,475	100.0%		
Separations, Stable Jobs	4,607	100.0%	4,745	100.0%	4,730	100.0%		
New Hires, Stable Jobs	5,873	100.0%	6,384	100.0%	6,226	100.0%		
Turnover	11.5%	100.0%	12.3%	100.0%	14.5%	100.0%		
Average Monthly Earnings, Stable Jobs	\$2,518	100.0%	\$2,506	100.0%	\$2,421	100.0%		
Average Monthly Earnings, New Hires	\$1,508	100.0%	\$1,584	100.0%	\$1,313	100.0%		

Definitions - Quarterly Workforce Indicators

Employment: Total number of workers (jobs) employed by the same employer in both the current and previous quarters.

Stable Job: A job held by the same employee for the previous, current and subsequent quarters.

Net Job Change: The difference between current and previous employment at each business.

Job Creation: Number of new jobs created by new area businesses or by the expansion of employment by existing firms.

New Hires: The total number of workers who were hired by a given employer and had not been employed by that employer within the past year.

Separations: Total number of workers employed by a business in the current quarter, but not in the subsequent quarter.

Turnover Rate: (1/2) * (stable job hires + stable job separations) / employment stable jobs

Average Monthly Earnings: Total quarterly earnings of all stable employees divided by the number of stable employees, divided by 3.

Table 53 (continued) Windsor County Labor Shed

LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county labor shed report and map show where workers live who are employed in the county.

employed in the county.									
Windsor	County	Workers	s - ΔΠ .I	nhe					
Williasor	Windsor County Workers - All Jobs 2006 2005								
	Count	Share	Count	Share	20 Count	Share			
Total All Jobs	25,155	100.0%	25,254	100.0%	24,562	100.0%			
	-,		-, -		,				
Job Counts by Place of Residence									
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004			
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share			
Springfield (town), Vermont	3,138	12.5%	3,152	12.5%	3,065	12.5%			
Hartford (town), Vermont	1,498	6.0%	1,380	5.5%	1,422	5.8%			
Windsor, Vermont	987	3.9%	985	3.9%	979	4.0%			
Woodstock (town), Vermont	891	3.5%	898	3.6%	1,020	4.2%			
Ludlow (town), Vermont	798	3.2%	853	3.4%	881	3.6%			
Burlington, Vermont	784	3.1%	340	1.3%	378	1.5%			
Lebanon, New Hampshire	683	2.7%	644	2.6%	718	2.9%			
Weathersfield, Vermont	671	2.7%	605	2.4%	615	2.5%			
Hartland, Vermont	671	2.7%	604	2.4%	570	2.3%			
Chester (town), Vermont	668	2.7%	594	2.4%	578	2.4%			
Rutland (city), Vermont	474	1.9%	464	1.8%	377	1.5%			
Claremont, New Hampshire	471	1.9%	500	2.0%	401	1.6%			
Bellows Falls, Vermont	234	0.9%	237	0.9%	239	1.0%			
All Other Locations	13,187	52.4%	13,998	55.4%	13,319	54.2%			
Counties									
Windsor Co., Vermont	13,166	52.3%	12,703	50.3%	12,677	51.6%			
Orange Co., Vermont	1,814	7.2%	1,666	6.6%	1,417	5.8%			
Rutland Co., Vermont	1,768	7.0%	1,586	6.3%	1,495	6.1%			
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,617	6.4%	1,304	5.2%	1,301	5.3%			
Grafton Co., New Hampshire	1,235	4.9%	1,386	5.5%	1,423	5.8%			
Sullivan Co., New Hampshire	1,084	4.3%	1,087	4.3%	979	4.0%			
Windham Co., Vermont	1,064	4.2%	1,365	5.4%	1,297	5.3%			
Washington Co., Vermont	492	2.0%	750	3.0%	734	3.0%			
Caledonia Co., Vermont	468	1.9%	376	1.5%	357	1.5%			
Addison Co., Vermont	463	1.8%	444	1.8%	455	1.9%			
All Other Locations	1,984	7.9%	2,587	10.2%	2,427	9.9%			
States									
Vermont	21,892	87.0%	21,567	85.4%	20,998	85.5%			
New Hampshire	2,699	10.7%	2,941	11.6%	2,839	11.6%			
New York	226	0.9%	265	1.0%	256	1.0%			
Massachusetts	199	0.8%	290	1.1%	293	1.2%			
Connecticut	28	0.1%	71	0.3%	66	0.3%			

111

0.4%

120

0.5%

110

0.4%

All Other Locations

Windsor County Labor Shed Starksboro Northfield Montston William stown 100 Washington Corlitto , Warren 12 Roxbury **Brookfield** Lincoln New Haven Chalsea 🖽 Fatrlee. **CremvIII** Breintree . o Ringolph ybricos 23 Middlebury Tunbridge **Supplied** anodelis . The Gord 5 116 125 110 ddisc Hencock Comwal Royalton (14) 74 . Salisbury 89 Sharon O Norwich **Coshei** 107 Pitteffeld Stockbridge Barnard • Chittenden Sucbury 144 30 \circ Killington Hubbardton Plainfield Mendon castleton Comish Groy Windsor 🌃 Primary Selection Area Shrewsbury 2006 2 - 6 Workers 7 - 19 Workers 20 - 44 Workers Mount Holly Ingford.° 45 - 86 Workers 155p o 87 - 149 Workers 2006 0.01 - 6.65 Workers/Sq. Mi. Mount Tabor o Chester *Weston Andover . 6.66 - 16.64 Workers/Sq. Mi. 16.65 - 36.60 Workers/Sq. Mi. [Landerove 36.61 - 63.22 Workers/Sq. Mi. Langdo Rockingham .Perm Continual Contin 63.23 - 99.82 Workers/Sq. Mi. Windham 99.83 - 199.64 Workers/Sq. Mi. I 7A **County Subdivisions** Alliens 100 Winhall Townshand Counties Jamalea Brookling Lakes and Rivers Stration

Table 53 (continued) Windsor County Commute Shed


LOCAL EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS (LED)

The county commute shed report and map show where workers who live in the county are employed.

the county are employed.						
Windsor	County R	esidents	s - All J	obs ¹		
		2006 2005				
	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Total All Jobs	19,571	100.0%	18,438	100.0%	18,353	100.0%
Job Counts	Where Ro	esidents	are Em	nploved		
	2006	2006	2005	2005	2004	2004
Cities/Towns/Villages	Count	Share	Count	Share	Count	Share
Springfield (town), Vermont	2,960	15.1%	2,825	15.3%	2,745	15.0%
Hartford (town), Vermont	2,532	12.9%	2,208	12.0%	2,159	11.8%
Woodstock (town), Vermont	1,532	7.8%	1,436	7.8%	1,475	8.0%
Ludlow (town), Vermont	1,416	7.2%	1,482	8.0%	1,460	8.0%
Windsor, Vermont	857	4.4%	922	5.0%	912	5.0%
Royalton, Vermont	660	3.4%	664	3.6%	711	3.9%
Burlington, Vermont	560	2.9%	596	3.2%	555	3.0%
Rockingham (town), Vermont	533	2.7%	406	2.2%	357	1.9%
Chester (town), Vermont	496	2.5%	461	2.5%	510	2.8%
Rutland (town), Vermont	426	2.2%	406	2.2%	364	2.0%
Rutland (city), Vermont	369	1.9%	372	2.0%	333	1.8%
South Burlington, Vermont	271	1.4%	239	1.3%	258	1.4%
Brattleboro (village), Vermont	230	1.2%	165	0.9%	194	1.1%
All Other Locations	6,729	34.4%	6,256	33.9%	6,320	34.4%
Counties						
Windsor Co., Vermont	13,166	67.3%	12,703	68.9%	12,677	69.1%
Chittenden Co., Vermont	1,515	7.7%	1,420	7.7%	1,429	7.8%
Windham Co., Vermont	1,254	6.4%	954	5.2%	974	5.3%
Rutland Co., Vermont	786	4.0%	783	4.2%	741	4.0%
Orange Co., Vermont	657	3.4%	704	3.8%	667	3.6%
Washington Co., Vermont	636	3.2%	505	2.7%	456	2.5%
Bennington Co., Vermont	385	2.0%	306	1.7%	360	2.0%
Caledonia Co., Vermont	243	1.2%	244	1.3%	211	1.1%
Lamoille Co., Vermont	193	1.0%	170	0.9%	174	0.9%
Addison Co., Vermont	168	0.9%	124	0.7%	130	0.7%
All Other Locations	568	2.9%	525	2.8%	534	2.9%
States						
Vermont	19,191	98.1%	18,064	98.0%	17,953	97.8%
New York	319	1.6%	329	1.8%	338	1.8%
Maine	12	0.1%	6	0.0%	17	0.1%
New Jersey	10	0.1%	4	0.0%	5	0.0%
Texas	6	0.0%	2	0.0%	4	0.0%
All Other Locations	33	0.2%	33	0.2%	36	0.2%

¹ Data for Massachusetts and New Hampshire are not included in this report. The two states do not participate in the Local Employment Dynamics program.

SOURCES



GLOSSARY

ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME (AGI) is the value an individual reports on their state income tax. The Vermont Department of Taxes provides the data reported in this publication.

* As noted by the Tax Department: This data is provided for historical continuity with previous tax reports. Median AGI for all tax returns is an inappropriate measure of central tendency because it is so dependent on the mix of single and dual incomes within a town.

Determination of median AGI for all tax returns by town was required by VSA Title 16, Section 3480 in 1981 to assist in computation of aid to education. In 1987 this statute section was amended to specify determination of average AGI per exemption. The entire section was repealed in 1997 as part of Act 60.

AGE COHORT is an age grouping that is an aggregate of sequential years of age. For example, one group might be all persons between the ages 5-14.

ANNUAL AVERAGE WAGE is the average wage paid by all firms covered under Vermont's unemployment compensation law. The average wage is computed by dividing the total wages paid during the year by the average employment.

COVERED EMPLOYMENT in this publication includes the employment of both public and private employers covered by the unemployment compensation law. The data is gathered from reports submitted by employers each quarter and is aggregated into industries as defined by the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The major groups of employers excluded from coverage are most agricultural production firms and the self-employed.

EDUCATION

ASSESSMENTS are the New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) exams, given to Vermont public school students in grades three through eight and eleven. The NECAP exams were designed specifically to assess how well Vermont students have learned the skills and content contained in *Vermont's Framework of Standards and Learning Opportunities*. This is the fourth year of results on the NECAP exams for grades three through eight and the second year for grade eleven.

COHORT GRADUATION RATE is an estimated rate that attempts to capture the percentage of students who enter high school in ninth grade, but who do not graduate in four years (the cohort rate). The estimated rates in this publication were calculated by using the data collected for the event graduation and the event dropout rate reports.

It is important to note that the estimated cohort graduation rate has limitations. It cannot account for students who may dropout and re-enroll in either the same school or another the following year. The rate includes both graduates and dropouts who may not have been part of the original cohort that started in the school in the ninth grade. However, until Vermont has a more sophisticated student information system that can more accurately track the dropout problem at a student-level, the estimated cohort rate is the best approximation currently available.

GLOSSARY

EDUCATION (continued)

DROP OUT RATE is a three year average of the percentage of students who leave high school during the school year and the preceding summer. Enrollment figures used in calculating this rate have been adjusted for transfers and deaths.

ENROLLMENT is an annual head count as of October 1st. The enrollment report is organized by school, grade, ethnicity and gender break down.

EFFECTIVE HOMESTEAD TAX RATE: The tax rate levied on residential (homestead) property is adjusted upward if the residents of that school district decide to spend more than the "base education payment." The base education payment was \$6,800 per pupil for tax year 2004. Here's an example of how the rate is adjusted.

Say your local school budget provided for spending of \$7,820 per pupil. The homestead tax rate of \$1.05 was adjusted upward before the common level of appraisal adjustment was applied. It will be higher in proportion to the amount your voters decided to spend over \$6,800 per pupil. In this example, the adjustment was: \$7,820/\$6,800 = 1.15

EMPLOYMENT in this publication is measured by Covered Employment and Labor Force. Covered Employment is based upon where the job is located. Labor Force is based upon where the worker resides. See Covered Employment and Labor Force for further information.

HOME SALES are the number of homes sold in Vermont by county. This includes all single family homes, condos and mobile homes sold with and without land. The home value reflects the median price of homes sold in that county.

LABOR FORCE data measures the labor force status of the area's residents who are 16 years of age or older. The residents may work, look for work, or be unemployed from firms in areas other than where they reside. The estimates include agricultural workers, unpaid family members and the self-employed.

MEDIAN is a statistical term. Data is put in numeral order. The median is the middle term. Fifty percent of the data fall above the median and fifty percent fall below the median.

PER CAPITA INCOME data for the state and counties is based on estimates from the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Department of Commerce.