VERNONT.

An Economic-Demographic Profile Series







Vermont DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING

ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

The Vermont Economic-Demographic Profile is published by the Labor Market Information Section of the Vermont Department of Employment & Training. The Vermont Economic-Demographic Profile can be found under the Publications heading on our Labor Market Information website: www.vtLmi.info

Address changes:

Vermont Department of Employment & Training Labor Market Information Section PO Box 488, Montpelier, VT 05601-0488

or phone (802) 828-4330

TDD (802) 828-4203

or email: vewen@det.state.vt.us

The Department of Employment & Training is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. TDD (802 828-4203)

VERMONT COUNTY SERIES:

AN ECONOMIC - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF VERMONT

2002

Vermont Department of Employment & Training 5 Green Mountain Drive, P.O. Box 488 Montpelier, Vermont 05601-0488

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Economic-De	mographic profiles of Vermont counties and towns.	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	D 12	
l.	Population	
II.	Economic Trends	
III.	Income and Wages	
IV.	Housing	
V.	Education	5
Table 1:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties	6
Chart 1:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties	
Table 2:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Addison County Towns	
Table 3:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Bennington County Towns	
Table 4:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Caledonia County Towns	
Table 5:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Chittenden County Towns	
Table 6:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Essex County Towns	
Table 7:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Franklin County Towns	
Table 8:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Grand Isle County Towns	
Table 9:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Lamoille County Towns	15
Table 10:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Orange County Towns	
Table 11:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Orleans County Towns	
Table 12:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Rutland County Towns	
Table 13:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Washington County Town	
Table 14:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Windham County Towns	
Table 15:	Demographic-Economic Comparison of Windsor County Towns	
Table 16:	Population Changes, 1990-2000 for Addison, Bennington, Caledon	
	and Chittenden Counties	
Table 17:	Population Changes, 1990-2000 for Essex, Franklin, Grand Isle,	
	and Lamoille Counties	23
Table 18:	Population Changes, 1990-2000 for Orange, Orleans, Rutland,	
	and Washington Counties	24
Table 19:	Population Changes, 1990-2000 for Windham and Windsor Count	ies
	and for Vermont	
Table 20:	Felony and Misdemeanor Convictions (FY2001)	26
Table 21:	Addison County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 22:	Bennington County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 23:	Caledonia County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 24:	Chittenden County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 25:	Essex County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 26:	Franklin County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 27:	Grand Isle County: Employment by Residence and Industry	

Table of Contents continued.....

Table 28:	Lamoille County: Employment by Residence and Industry	34
Table 29:	Orange County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 30:	Orleans County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 31:	Rutland County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 32:	Washington County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 33:	Windham County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 34:	Windsor County: Employment by Residence and Industry	
Table 35:	Agricultural Comparison of Vermont Counties	
Table 36:	Forest Industry Comparison of Vermont Counties	42
Table 37:	Tax Revenues for Vermont Counties	
Table 38:	Housing Units for Vermont Counties (1990-2000)	44
Table 39:	Education Comparison of Addison County	
Table 40:	Education Comparison of Bennington County	
Table 41:	Education Comparison of Caledonia County	47
Table 42:	Education Comparison of Chittenden County	48
Table 43:	Education Comparison of Essex County	
Table 44:	Education Comparison of Franklin County	50
Table 45:	Education Comparison of Grand Isle County	51
Table 46:	Education Comparison of Lamoille County	52
Table 47:	Education Comparison of Orange County	
Table 48:	Education Comparison of Orleans County	54
Table 49:	Education Comparison of Rutland County	55
Table 50:	Education Comparison of Washington County	56
Table 51:	Education Comparison of Windham County	57
Table 52:	Education Comparison of Windsor County	58
Charts 39-52:	Education Comparison of Vermont Counties	59
Glossary		60-62

ECONOMIC — DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE SERIES

The 2002 edition contains most of the data previously included in this publication, but in a single publication. County tables still contain detailed town information when available. The narrative is more general and doesn't usually reference specific towns; however, table references should help the reader find the desired information.

The Department of Employment and Training, through its Labor Market Information Section, collected many of the data items used in this series. However, many other state and federal agencies provided valuable information that gives the user a broad picture of Vermont and its local communities. The source for individual tables is acknowledged at the bottom. If you would like to check for additional information, the State of Vermont web page provides links to all state agencies atwww.state.vt.us

Additional labor market information, including links to federal statistical agencies, are available from the LMI web page at www.vtLmi.info

Comments or questions about this publication should be directed to:

Vermont Department of Employment & Training Labor Market Information P.O. Box 488 Montpelier, VT 05601-0488

Email should be directed to mgriffin@det.state.vt.us

SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

<u>CHARACTERISTICS</u>	COUNTY	% OF STATE
ADDISON		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	35,974 13,726 23,382 27,015 818	6% 5% 90% 93% 9%
BENNINGTON		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	36,994 19,154 27,512 25,457 673	6% 6% 106% 88% 7%
CALEDONIA		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	29,702 11,589 21,119 23,617 619	5% 4% 82% 82% 6%
CHITTENDEN		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	146,571 95,284 30,391 34,301 614	24% 32% 117% 119% 6%
ESSEX		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	6,459 1,795 16,340 24,694 671	1% 1% 63% 85% 7%

SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

CHARACTERISTICS	COUNTY	% OF STATE
FRANKLIN		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	45,417 14,847 20,679 26,390 704	7% 5% 80% 91% 7%
GRAND ISLE		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	6,901 994 26,243 20,624 189	1% 0% 101% 71% 2%
LAMOILLE		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	23,233 10,593 24,529 21,829 476	4% 4% 95% 75% 5%
ORANGE		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	28,226 7,841 21,165 23,240 692	5% 3% 82% 80% 7%
ORLEANS		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	26,277 9,418 20,146 23,177 737	4% 3% 78% 80% 8%

SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

CHARACTERISTICS	COUNTY	% OF STATE
RUTLAND		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	63,400 28,942 24,272 26,570 939	10% 10% 94% 92% 10%
WASHINGTON		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	58,039 32,060 26,726 28,306 714	10% 11% 103% 98% 7%
WINDHAM		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	44,216 24,018 26,480 27,888 796	7% 8% 102% 96% 8%
WINDSOR		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	57,418 23,474 28,109 27,421 967	9% 8% 109% 95% 10%
VERMONT		
Population (2000) Employment (2000) Per Capita Income (\$) (1999) Annual Wage (\$) (2000) Area (Square Miles)	608,827 296,349 25,889 28,920 9,609	NA NA NA NA

INTRODUCTION

Vermont is a mostly rural state that attracts visitors throughout the year to enjoy its natural charm. It is also the home of many wellestablished business, such as International Business Machines and National Life Insurance Company. In recent years, the economic landscape has expanded to include fast-rising companies, such as Ben & Jerry's and Burton Snowboards. Although population growth has been slow in comparison to western states, the 8 percent increase during the 1990s was the second highest in New England. Conditions vary among the state's 14 counties; however, nearly all parts of Vermont struggle with the dilemma of providing good job opportunities, or limiting the impact of economic growth on the environment.

A strong national economy prompted solid job growth in Vermont during most of the last decade. Chittenden County, in the northwestern section, is the foundation for the state's economy and provides nearly one third of the jobs in Vermont. Burlington is the largest city, offering numerous educational and cultural attractions as well as a comprehensive commercial network. Located on the shores of Lake Champlain, the city provides easy access to a wide range of recreational activities. The international airport in South Burlington provides a convenient gateway to distant locations for business or recreation.

Burlington is the core of the state's only Metropolitan Statistical Area, which extends into parts of Franklin and Grand Isle Counties. Addison County also has strong connections to the MSA. The fringes of this region still have strong ties to Vermont's agricultural legacy, which sometimes causes conflict over land use restrictions.

Southern Vermont has also been influenced

by a debate over the trade-off between economic growth and preservation of the rural landscape. Easy access from northeastern urban centers has put pressure on the region for second home development. In addition, resorts along Route 100 have strained to expand and provide self contained winter recreation facilities. Although job growth was modest during the 1990s, three of the four southern counties have above average income levels. Per capita income for Windsor County was second highest in the state, reflecting the economic strength of the communities near White River. The northern part of Windsor County also benefits from its proximity to Dartmouth College and the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center in nearby New Hampshire.

Bennington County and Windham County have experienced significant turnover in employers. Job growth in Bennington County was higher than average despite the loss of major employers. Windham County, however, was the second slowest county in terms of net job growth. Rutland County had the lowest job growth of Vermont's 14 counties during the 1990s.

While Central Vermont is well known because of the capital city, Montpelier, the region also contains a diversified economy with an impressive cultural heritage. Granite quarries provide stone for monuments and construction all over the world. Workers in granite "sheds" pass on a tradition of craftsmanship that turns the huge slabs into individual monuments and works of art. The granite industry is centered in Barre where Rock of Ages Corporation is one of the leading producers in the industry.

Washington County has a significant manufacturing base with a strong concentration in food products. Well known brands like Cabot and Ben & Jerry's were established in Central Vermont. In neighboring

Orange County, however, manufacturing lost jobs during the 1990s due to weakness among nondurable goods producers.

Lamoille County is well known for its two main resorts: Stowe and Smuggler's Notch. The strong connection to recreation activities produces a high concentration of service industry jobs, accounting for 40 percent of the county's jobs in 2000. However, in recent years the county has added to its modest manufacturing sector.

Northeastern Vermont contains some of the most remote areas in the state and it is considered by some to be the most idyllic part of Vermont. The economy is closely connected to its natural resources. Logging and wood products manufacturing provide a significant share of the job opportunities in Essex and Orleans Counties. However, average income levels are generally lowest in these two counties. Caledonia County completes the region known as the Northeast Kingdom and provides a broader array of services and job opportunities.

I. POPULATION

Vermont was the second fastest growing New England state during the 1990s, as population increased by 8 percent according to the 2000 Census. However, nationally, population growth was 13 percent, as some southern states expanded by more than 20 percent, and western states experienced even greater population growth.

In Vermont, the fastest growing county was the smallest in 1990. Grand Isle County's population increased by 30 percent over the ten year span to edge ahead of Essex County. Another relatively small county, Lamoille, experienced a surge in population as the number of residents increased by 18 percent. Both Lamoille and Grand Isle Counties are

strongly influenced by seasonal recreation activities. Lamoille County is home to Mount Mansfield and Madonna Mountain, which offer prime downhill skiing terrain. However, Grand Isle's location along Lake Champlain makes it attractive for summer activities.

Chittenden County, which contains almost one quarter of the Vermont residents, had the fourth highest population growth rate among the state's 14 counties. Population radiated out from the core of the Burlington metropolitan area during the 1990s, producing large increases in surrounding towns in Chittenden County and parts of bordering Franklin County.

Population growth was more modest in southern Vermont. Along the eastern side of the Green Mountains, Windham and Windsor Counties grew by 6 percent. Along the western side bordering New York state, population growth was about half that.

In the Northeast Kingdom, Essex County's population remained almost unchanged over the 10 years. Rural Orleans County, however, expanded by 9 percent.

Population data for individual towns is in tables 2-15.

Population growth during most of the 1990s was concentrated in the age cohort 35-54 years old, reflecting the aging of the baby boom generation. In contrast, the youngest group, 0-4 years, actually declined by 19 percent from 1990 to 2000 in Vermont. Nationally, the youngest group grew by 4 percent. The median age for Vermont was 37.7 years in 2000, more than 2 years older than the national population.

For details by county and age group, see tables 16-19.

Crime statistics based on convictions where prosecuted are shown in table 20. Caledonia

County had the highest conviction rate per 100 population, but numerous other counties had similar rates. Orange County had the lowest conviction rate, which was similar to Grand Isle and Addison Counties.

IL ECONOMIC TRENDS

Vermont experienced solid growth in private industry during the 1990s. By 2000 the number of jobs covered under the state's Unemployment Insurance Program had increased by 18 percent from 10 years earlier. The comparable national growth rate was only 10 percent.

Grand Isle again led the state with a 57 percent increase in private industry jobs during the decade. However, as with population, the base level was very small, so the numerical increase was modest. Grand Isle relies heavily on retail trade and services to provide jobs. There is also a relatively high concentration of jobs in the public sector. Government employment, however, grew relatively slowly in Grand Isle compared to the private sector.

Including Grand Isle, 8 of Vermont's 14 counties exceeded the state's private sector job growth during the 1990s. Franklin and Lamoille Counties ranked below Grand Isle with job growth slightly over 30 percent. Both counties experienced solid growth in manufacturing, especially Lamoille County. Service industry growth was a major factor in Franklin County where lodging and health services provided a substantial increase in jobs. Resorts and lodging showed a modest decline in Lamoille County, but this was more than offset by growth in general recreation services.

The ranking of other counties with above average private sector job growth is: Chittenden, Addison, Bennington, Caledonia,

and Washington. Essex County matched the statewide rate but 5 counties were lower.

Orleans County, with job growth of 13 percent, did the best of the 5 below the statewide rate. Manufacturing is an important component of the economy in Orleans but employment slipped during the 1990s. Construction and government jobs grew faster than the statewide average in the county but more substantial sectors, such as trade and services, grew more slowly.

Windsor, Windham and Orange Counties also experienced slower than average job growth during the 1990s. All 3 counties experienced losses in manufacturing. Rutland County ranked last in job growth at only 6 percent. Significant losses in manufacturing combined with slow growth in other sectors to lower the growth rate.

Unemployment rates ranged from 1.8 percent for Chittenden County in 2000 to 5.9 percent in Orleans County. Unemployment is often influenced by seasonal employment patterns as well as overall economic strength. Chittenden County and Windsor County have a well-diversified economy that keeps unemployment from fluctuating significantly. In contrast, Lamoille and Grand Isle Counties have a substantial number of jobs in seasonal industries, which lay off people for at least part of the year.

See tables 21-34 for details by county.

Farming accounts for less than 2 percent of the Gross State Product for Vermont but it is still an important part of the Vermont economy. Agricultural services are often beneficial to residents not directly involved in large-scale farming and the intangible benefit of "open space" helps tourism, a major contributor to Vermont's economic well-being. Addison and Franklin Counties, in Northwestern Vermont,

are the most significant agricultural areas. Orleans County is the third largest contributor to agricultural production in the state. In other counties agriculture is less significant. Dairy farming is the most common type of agriculture, accounting for 85 percent of agricultural sales in Franklin and Orleans Counties (see table 35).

Logging and forestry also are a significant part of the rural economy. Areas such as Essex and Caledonia Counties, which have limited farming, harvest a large volume of timber. Essex County led the state's softwood harvest in 2000, accounting for 19 percent of the total. Orleans County also reported significant logging for softwood. Cutting activity may fluctuate from year-to-year depending on market conditions (see table 36). In addition to logging, the forests provide resources for furniture manufacturing and paper production, especially in northeastern Vermont.

As the center of the state's economy, Chittenden County provides the largest share of tax revenue for the state (see table 37). One third of income taxes are from Chittenden County residents, and almost as large a share of sales & use taxes is generated there. Windsor County ranks second in the percentage of income taxes, but it is slightly less than 10 percent. Rutland County, which is second in population, ranks fourth in income taxes. The recreation and tourist activities, however, generate the second highest volume of revenue through the rooms and meals tax.

III. INCOME AND WAGES

Average wages vary widely in Vermont due to different industrial concentrations, types of occupations, the mix between seasonal and year-round work, and the extent of union activity. Chittenden County provides many of the highest paying jobs in the state and had

an annual average wage over \$34,000 in 2000. The dominance of this county in the Vermont economy is reflected by the fact that it is the only county where the average wage exceeds the state figure of \$28,920. The second highest county, Washington, is influenced by state government operations.

Counties with relatively low annual wages, such as Grand Isle and Lamoille Counties, may have a significant number of part-time and seasonal jobs. Most areas of the state, however, have a mix of different industries that provide both year-round and seasonal employment, producing higher annual wages (see table 1).

Income levels also vary widely throughout Vermont. Per capita income, reported by place of residence, is highest in Chittenden County. Unlike the wage data, however, five other counties also exceed the statewide level. After Chittenden, the ranking is: Windsor, Bennington, Washington, Windham and Grand Isle. Essex County clearly has the lowest income levels with only 63 percent of the statewide figure. Orleans and Franklin Counties are also among the lowest income areas in the state (see table 1). It should be noted that these are mostly rural areas that have significant concentrations of agriculture and forestry.

Another measure of income is available by town based on the Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) per tax return. Since this data is based on tax returns, it is influenced by the mix of single and dual income households for a town. (Tables 2-15 provide the latest data for each town within the appropriate county.) This data shows that 7 of the top 10 towns by AGI are in Chittenden County. Essex Town tops the list at more than \$46,000; the second place town is Norwich at over \$45,000 in Windsor County. Other town/counties represented in the top 10 are Georgia in Franklin County and Middlesex in Washington County.

Poverty rates tend to be highest in the Northeastern Counties, which have the lowest income levels (see table 1). The poverty rate in Orleans County was almost 15 percent, compared to the statewide rate below 10 percent. Chittenden County had the highest income levels and the lowest poverty rate, 7 percent.

IV. HOUSING

Housing growth statewide during the 1990s was similar to population with a growth rate of slightly less than 9 percent. Nationally, housing units grew by 13 percent. Approximately 70 percent of the occupied housing units in Vermont were occupied by the owner, leaving about 30 percent for rental purposes. Nationally, 66 percent of the units were owner occupied.

At the local level some unusual growth patterns occurred. Essex County had the highest growth rate in housing, despite the fact its population had the lowest growth rate during the last decade. Growth in seasonal units for recreation purposes probably influenced the expansion. Orleans County, also in the Northeast Kingdom, had the second highest growth rate at 17 percent.

Housing units grew by 13 percent in Chittenden County, Vermont's most populous county. Population grew by 11 percent from 1990 to 2000 but Chittenden County is often regarded as having a housing shortage. This assessment reflects the significant population increase associated with the students at the University of Vermont and other colleges. The percentage of owner occupied housing in Chittenden County is the lowest in the state, partly because of the high concentration of students in the Burlington area who live off-campus.

Southern Vermont housing growth was

generally below the statewide average and was consistent with the relatively low population growth for the region.

In Central Vermont, Lamoille County's housing units grew by about the same as the statewide rate; however, the county's population expanded by almost 18 percent in the 1990s. Housing in Washington and Orange Counties exceeded their population growth by a slight margin (see table 18).

V. EDUCATION

The school enrollment pattern is similar to the population distribution with the four northwestern counties accounting for 39 percent of enrollment. Funding for local education is controlled by a complex law known as Act 60 that specifies conditions under which property tax revenue is shared among towns. Despite efforts to "equalize" education, school spending varies widely in different towns.

Tables 39-52 show the latest approved school budgets on a per equalized pupil basis. County data is shown as a convenient summary but all spending is done at the town level. The towns in Windham County averaged almost \$10,500 per pupil and led the state. Four other counties exceeded the statewide average: Windsor, Lamoille, Addison and Orange. The other nine counties spent less than the statewide average of \$9,084 per pupil.

Performance measures such as SAT scores are provided for schools within a county (see tables 39-52).

There are numerous colleges and universities in Vermont. Some of the most well known are the University of Vermont (UVM) in Burlington, Middlebury College, Bennington College, Norwich University (in Northfield), Landmark College (in Putney, for students with various learning disabilities) and many others.

Demo	graphic - Ec	onomi	c Comp	arison	of Verr	nont C	ounties	
County	Pop. 2000 1/	% of State	Labor Force 2000 2/	Covered Emplmnt 2000 3/	Unemp Rate 2000 2/	Avg Yrly Wage 2000 3/	Per Cap Income 1999 4/	% Below Poverty Level 1998 5/
Vermont	608,827	100.0%	331,550	296,349	2.9%	\$28,920	\$ 25,889	9.6%
Addison	35,974	5.9%	20,250	13,726	2.6%		\$ 23,382	9.3%
Bennington	36,994	6.1%	20,000	19,154	3.2%		\$ 27,512	10.3%
Caledonia	29,702	4.9%	15,200	11,589	4.0%	\$23,617	\$ 21,119	12.9%
Chittenden	146,571	24.1%	89,500	95,284	1.8%	\$34,301	\$ 30,391	7.1%
Essex	6,459	1.1%	2,950	1,795	5.7%	\$24,694	\$ 16,340	13.8%
Franklin	45,417	7.5%	24,050	14,847	3.3%	\$26,390	\$ 20,679	10.3%
Grand Isle	6,901	1.1%	3,500	994	4.8%	\$20,624	\$ 26,243	8.9%
Lamoille	23,233	3.8%	11,800	10,593	4.3%	\$21,829	\$ 24,529	10.7%
Orange	28,226	4.6%	15,650	7,841	2.3%	\$23,240	\$ 21,165	9.7%
Orleans	26,277	4.3%	12,700	9,418	5.9%	\$23,177	\$ 20,146	14.7%
Rutland	63,400	10.4%	31,000	28,942	3.6%	\$26,570	\$ 24,272	10.5%
Washington	58,039	9.5%	31,450	32,060	3.1%	\$28,306	\$ 26,726	9.2%
Windham	44,216	7.3%	22,600	24,018	3.0%		\$ 26,480	9.8%
Windsor	57,418	9.4%	31,000	23,474	2.3%		\$ 28,109	9.3%

Change in Key Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties

	F	opulation		Covered Employment Per Capita Inco							
	•	Change	% Chng	00101	Chng	%Chng	1 01		Chng	%Chng	
	1990 /5	90-00	90-00	1990 3/	90-00	90-00	1990		90-99	90-99	
Vermont	562,758	46,069	8.2%	251,658	44,691	17.8%	\$18,055	\$	7,834	43.4%	
Addison	32,953	3,021	9.2%	11,178	2,548	22.8%	\$16,244	\$	7,138	43.9%	
Bennington	35,845	1,149	3.2%	15,764	3,390	21.5%	\$18,958	\$	8,554	45.1%	
Caledonia	27,846	1,856	6.7%	9,688	1,901	19.6%	\$15,065	\$	6,054	40.2%	
Chittenden	131,761	14,810	11.2%	77,548	17,736	22.9%	\$20,957	\$	9,434	45.0%	
Essex	6,405	54	0.8%	1,523	272	17.9%	\$12,278	\$	4,062	33.1%	
Franklin	39,980	5,437	13.6%	11,279	3,568	31.6%	\$15,512	\$	5,167	33.3%	
Grand Isle	5,318	1,583	29.8%	674	320	47.5%	\$18,475	\$	7,768	42.0%	
Lamoille	19,735	3,498	17.7%	8,131	2,462	30.3%	\$17,142	\$	7,387	43.1%	
Orange	26,149	2,077	7.9%	6,860	981	14.3%	\$15,215	\$	5,950	39.1%	
Orleans	24,053	2,224	9.2%	8,173	1,245	15.2%	\$14,251	\$	5,895	41.4%	
Rutland	62,142	1,258	2.0%	27,047	1,895	7.0%	\$17,102	\$	7,170	41.9%	
Washington	54,928	3,111	5.7%	27,202	4,858	17.9%	\$18,437	\$	8,289	45.0%	
Windham	41,588	2,628	6.3%	21,781	2,237	10.3%	\$18,586	\$	7,894	42.5%	
Windsor	54,055	3,363	6.2%	20,812	2,662	12.8%		\$	8,832	45.8%	


Sources: 1/ U.S. Bureau of the Census


2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training, Populations Claims Method, Labor Force Estimates, Benchmark, March 2000. Data not comparable prior to 1990.3/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment data.


5/ U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates Program


^{4/} U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties









Demogr	aphic - Ecc	nomic C	omparis	on	of Ad	diso	n Co	unty Tow	ns	5
	Population 2000 1/			Annual Average F Employmt Wage T		oerty Rate	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/	
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993
Addison County	35,974	100.0%	13,726	\$	27,015		NA	NA		NA
Addison Bridport Bristol Cornwall Ferrisburgh Goshen Granville Hancock Leicester Lincoln Middlebury Monkton	1,393 1,235 3,788 1,136 2,657 227 303 382 974 1,214 8,183 1,759	3.9% 3.4% 10.5% 3.2% 7.4% 0.6% 0.8% 1.1% 2.7% 3.4% 22.7% 4.9%	220 196 1,184 92 384 27 48 193 58 93 7,341	****	17,641 19,683 22,708 25,789 22,160 11,471 19,377 20,051 18,060 20,379 29,158 19,709	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	2.20 1.99 2.27 2.14 2.11 2.25 1.77 1.91 1.90 1.99 2.87 2.20	\$ 116,744 \$ 84,534 \$ 103,496 \$ 145,197 \$ 115,283 \$ 76,392 \$ 46,099 \$ 69,401 \$ 96,525 \$ 84,026 \$ 116,264 \$ 105,954	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	29,252 23,245 26,258 30,331 31,519 23,034 22,925 22,813 24,812 30,069 25,133 37,333
New Haven Orwell Panton Ripton Salisbury Shoreham Starksboro Vergennes City Waltham Weybridge Whiting	1,666 1,185 682 556 1,090 1,222 1,898 2,741 479 824 380	4.6% 3.3% 1.9% 1.5% 3.0% 3.4% 5.3% 7.6% 1.3% 2.3%	520 248 41 35 224 203 120 2,194 19 126 60	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	19,695 22,849 16,660 18,703 18,139 22,038 22,186 31,552 18,741 15,836 20,067	* * * * * * * * * * * *	2.42 1.82 2.53 2.61 2.35 2.75 1.97 2.21 2.02 2.81 2.34	\$ 98,606 \$ 80,476 \$ 107,809 \$ 87,857 \$ 106,408 \$ 90,278 \$ 88,477 \$ 90,419 \$ 114,135 \$ 115,156 \$ 89,230	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	29,858 25,167 27,791 27,382 29,284 27,541 28,568 25,171 30,957 31,748 21,915

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

^{2/} Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

^{3/} Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

^{4/} Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Demograp	Demographic - Economic Comparison of Bennington County Towns												
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pı Ta	fective coperty x Rate 001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 1000 4/			
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993			
Bennington County	36,994	100.0%	19,154	\$	25,457		NA	NA		NA			
Arlington	2,397	6.5%	1,150	\$	28,979	\$	2.13	\$ 125,288	\$	24,257			
Bennington	15,737	42.5%	11,049	\$	25,442	\$	2.04	\$ 101,715	\$	21,693			
Dorset	2,036	5.5%	644	\$	26,310	\$	1.22	\$ 198,268	\$	29,719			
Glastenbury	16	0.0%	0		0	\$	-	\$ -		D			
Landgrove	144	0.4%	50	\$	27,752	\$	1.23	\$ 168,670	\$	13,602			
Manchester	4,180	11.3%	4,562	\$	24,693	\$	1.18	\$ 183,456	\$	26,037			
Peru	416	1.1%	236	\$	16,590	\$	1.19	\$ 140,863	\$	25,919			
Pownal	3,560	9.6%	241	\$	21,826	\$	3.00	\$ 79,958	\$	25,558			
Readsboro	809	2.2%	79	\$	17,907	\$	2.28	\$ 76,568	\$	24,678			
Rupert	704	1.9%	59	\$	20,697	\$	1.20	\$ 101,264	\$	24,450			
Sandgate	353	1.0%	D		D	\$	2.58	\$ 75,500	\$	23,714			
Searsburg	96	0.3%	D		D	\$	2.51	\$ 64,856	\$	13,903			
Shaftsbury	3,767	10.2%	585	\$	31,299	\$	2.03	\$ 86,069	\$	27,504			
Stamford	813	2.2%	114	\$	23,717	\$	1.90	\$ 97,394	\$	33,716			
Sunderland	850	2.3%	86	\$	30,726	\$	2.08	\$ 109,813	\$	28,911			
Winhall	702	1.9%	256	\$	23,127	\$	1.41	\$ 164,464	\$	28,157			
Woodford	414	1.1%	28	\$	16,171	\$	1.31	\$ 72,240	\$	21,525			

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District. * See Glosary for further details

Table 4

Demogra	Demographic - Economic Comparison of Caledonia County Towns												
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pi Ta	fective coperty ex Rate 001 3/	Re	Average esidential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 1000 4/		
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA		NA	\$	26,993		
Caledonia County	29,702	100.0%	11,589	\$	23,617		NA		NA		NA		
Barnet	1,690	5.7%	209	\$	24,697	\$	2.22	\$	83,475	\$	23,484		
Burke	1,571	5.3%	365	\$	16,583	\$	1.88	\$	77,988	\$	25,259		
Danville	2,211	7.4%	457	\$	20,098	\$	2.18	\$	81,091	\$	27,358		
Groton	876	2.9%	87	\$	26,107	\$	2.26	\$	82,420	\$	23,782		
Hardwick	3,174	10.7%	825	\$	19,003	\$	2.59	\$	60,092	\$	19,914		
Kirby	456	1.5%	D		D	\$	2.06	\$	72,213	\$	30,179		
Lyndon	5,448	18.3%	2,820	\$	25,025	\$	2.21	\$	68,131	\$	22,549		
Newark	470	1.6%	41	\$	15,360	\$	1.88	\$	64,229	\$	23,359		
Peacham	665	2.2%	63	\$	19,347	\$	2.29	\$	103,972	\$	27,619		
Ryegate	1,150	3.9%	190	\$	33,337	\$	2.23	\$	74,712	\$	24,826		
St. Johnsbury	7,571	25.5%	6,100	\$	24,287	\$	1.95	\$	80,721	\$	21,383		
Sheffield	727	2.4%	51	\$	15,832	\$	2.08	\$	45,171	\$	24,324		
Stannard	185	0.6%	D		D	\$	2.07	\$	54,485	\$	15,591		
Sutton	1,001	3.4%	123	\$	21,686	\$	2.05	\$	58,468	\$	23,337		
Walden	782	2.6%	D		D	\$	1.93	\$	71,441	\$	23,573		
Waterford	1,104	3.7%	194	\$	19,358	\$	1.95	-	101,595	\$	30,510		
Wheelock	621	2.1%	18	\$	11,634	\$	2.18	\$	63,528	\$	24,445		

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income

by School District. * See Glosary for further details

Demograp	Demographic - Economic Comparison of Chittenden County Towns											
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Average Employmt Wage		Effective Average Property Residential Tax Rate Value 2001 3/ 2001 3/		esidential Adjus Value Incor					
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993		
Chittenden County	146,571	100.0%	95,284	\$	34,301		NA	NA		NA		
Bolton Buels Gore	971 12	0.7% 0.0%	211 0	\$	16,616 0	\$ \$	2.44 1.07	\$ 98,404 \$ 75,550	\$	36,058 D		
Burlington City Charlotte	38,889 3,569	26.5% 2.4%	31,447 565	\$ \$	33,764 29,483	\$ \$	2.05 1.26	\$ 123,690 \$ 233,371	\$ \$	23,801 40,115		
Colchester Essex	16,986 18,626	11.6% 12.7%	8,587 15,665	\$ \$	31,299 46,169	\$ \$	2.07	\$ 135,041 \$ 147,348	\$	33,500 46,836		
Hinesburg	4,340	3.0%	852	\$	24,393	\$	2.30	\$ 124,835	\$	35,165		
Huntington Jericho	1,861 5,015	1.3% 3.4%	189 698	\$ \$	27,223 26,080	\$ \$	2.16 2.34	\$ 103,432 \$ 127,277	\$	35,736 42,260		
Milton Richmond	9,479 4,090	6.5% 2.8%	2,366 998	\$ \$	30,296 23,200	\$ \$	1.84 2.02	\$ 114,170 \$ 128,041	\$	33,654 35,441		
St. George Shelburne	698 6,944	0.5% 4.7%	42 3,354	\$ \$	18,960 25,205	\$ \$	1.95 1.94	\$ 158,323 \$ 179,293	\$ \$	31,744 44,778		
So. Burlington City Underhill	15,814 2,980	10.8% 2.0%	17,450 290	\$ \$	32,188 26,489	\$ \$	2.25 2.20	\$ 156,500 \$ 147,421	\$ \$	35,683 43,840		
Westford Williston	2,086 7,650	1.4% 5.2%	192 9,724	\$ \$	21,469 33,281	\$ \$	2.36 1.68	\$ 97,732 \$ 145,316	\$ \$	38,782 43,809		
Winooski City	6,561	5.2% 4.5%	9,724 2,654	φ \$	27,516	Ф \$	2.12	\$ 145,316	Φ \$	23,659		

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

^{2/} Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

^{3/} Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

^{4/} Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Demog	Demographic - Economic Comparison of Essex County Towns										
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual A Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pro Ta:	ective operty x Rate 001 3/	Re	verage sidential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA		NA	\$	26,993
Essex County	6,459	100.0%	1,795	\$	24,694		NA		NA		NA
Averill Avery's Gore Bloomfield Brighton Brunswick Canaan Concord East Haven Ferdinand Granby Guildhall Lemington	8 0 261 1,260 107 1,078 1,196 301 33 86 268 107	0.1% 0.0% 4.0% 19.5% 1.7% 16.7% 18.5% 4.7% 0.5% 1.3% 4.1%	D 0 D 339 0 D 179 D 0 19 35	\$\$ \$ \$	D 0 D 19,279 - D 18,977 D 0 33,568 11,458	*************	1.04 1.10 2.12 2.09 1.32 2.09 2.09 2.19 1.07 1.51 2.05 2.13	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	64,020 - 53,943 50,834 73,121 67,086 61,428 44,478 57,025 46,707 76,591 76,510	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	D 24,609 18,865 15,440 26,879 22,981 26,332 D 28,696 23,210 23,746
Lewis Lunenburg Maidstone Norton Victory Warners Grant Warren Gore	0 1,328 105 214 97 0 10	0.0% 20.6% 1.6% 3.3% 1.5% 0.0% 0.2%	0 257 D 51 D 0	\$ \$ \$ \$	0 29,714 D 31,269 D	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1.13 2.01 1.51 1.54 2.14 1.03 1.04	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51,670 76,710 63,522 31,519 - 66,300	\$ \$ \$	24,614 22,176 17,040 17,037 D

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 7

Demogr	aphic - Eco	onomic C	omparis	on	of Fra	ınkl	in Co	unty Tow	/ns	\$
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual / Employmt 2000 2/	1	erage Wage 2000 2/	Pro Tax	ective operty x Rate 001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median Adjusted Income 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920	NA		NA	\$	26,993
Franklin County	45,417	100.0%	14,847	\$	26,390	NA		NA		NA
Bakersfield	1,215	2.7%	110	\$	16,092	\$	1.79	\$ 78,596	\$	26,284
Berkshire	1,388	3.1%	69	\$	16,290	\$	1.84	\$ 74,298	\$	24,462
Enosburg	2,788	6.1%	1,054	\$	20,726	\$	1.87	\$ 73,627	\$	22,898
Fairfax	3,765	8.3%	545	\$	24,566	\$	2.04	\$ 108,605	\$	36,623
Fairfield	1,800	4.0%	156	\$	19,706	\$	2.05	\$ 82,680	\$	26,142
Fletcher	1,179	2.6%	48	\$	17,662	\$	2.14	\$ 97,063	\$	30,506
Franklin	1,268	2.8%	154	\$	17,206	\$	1.76	\$ 81,320	\$	26,485
Georgia	4,375	9.6%	691	\$	30,468	\$	1.74	\$ 113,866	\$	38,100
Highgate	3,397	7.5%	517	\$	27,697	\$	1.57	\$ 90,548	\$	25,799
Montgomery	992	2.2%	156	\$	17,401	\$	1.81	\$ 76,863	\$	22,392
Richford	2,321	5.1%	631	\$	24,660	\$	2.47	\$ 45,903	\$	20,704
St. Albans City	7,650	16.8%	7,245	\$	28,029	\$	2.35	\$ 72,789	\$	24,163
St. Albans Town	5,086	11.2%	1,352	\$	25,358	\$	1.73	\$ 110,592	\$	28,606
Sheldon	1,990	4.4%	367	\$	30,946	\$	1.79	\$ 102,261	\$	26,787
Swanton	6,203	13.7%	1,752	\$	25,537	\$	1.59	\$ 93,281	\$	25,336

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Demogra	Demographic - Economic Comparison of Grand Isle County Towns													
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Employmt 2000 2/	Average Wage 2000 2/	Effective Property Tax Rate 2001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	Median Adjusted Income 2000 4/							
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$ 28,920	NA	NA	\$ 26,993							
Grand Isle County	6,901	100.0%	994	\$ 20,624	NA	NA	NA							
Alburg Grand Isle	1,952 1.955	28.3% 28.3%	213 243	\$ 17,842 \$ 23.874	\$ 2.14 \$ 2.30	\$ 80,835 \$ 157,431	\$ 24,635 \$ 34,264							

Isle La Motte

North Hero

South Hero

- 2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)
- 3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

7.1%

11.7%

24.6%

488

810

1,696

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

43

215

280

\$

\$

\$

16,594

21,241

20,066

\$

\$

\$

2.09

1.45

1.96

\$ 96,597

\$ 161,175

\$ 169,516

\$

\$

24,980

29,507

34,252

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 9

Demogr	aphic - Eco	nomic C	omparis	on	of Lar	noi	lle Co	unty Tow	'ns	;
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Annual Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pı Ta	fective roperty ax Rate 001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993
Lamoille County	23,233	100.0%	10,593	\$	21,829		NA	NA		NA
Belvidere Cambridge Eden Elmore Hyde Park Johnson	294 3,186 1,152 849 2,847 3,274	1.3% 13.7% 5.0% 3.7% 12.3% 14.1%	D 1,292 75 D 606 905	\$ \$ \$ \$	D 19,929 15,160 D 25,164 23,551	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1.88 1.97 2.10 1.85 2.34 2.41	\$ 61,978 \$ 108,092 \$ 70,961 \$ 117,693 \$ 90,526 \$ 74,835	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	25,019 29,039 21,565 32,906 24,400 20,591
Morristown Stowe Waterville Wolcott	5,139 4,339 697 1,456	22.1% 18.7% 3.0% 6.3%	3,563 3,872 51 188	\$ \$ \$	23,433 20,379 21,730 19,091	\$ \$ \$ \$	2.22 1.43 1.64 2.05	\$ 94,364 \$ 195,416 \$ 79,771 \$ 86,687	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	21,658 28,724 26,266 23,343

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 10

Demog	raphic - Eco	onomic C	Comparis	or	of Or	anç	ge Co	unty Tow	ns	
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Annual Comploymt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pr Ta	fective operty x Rate 001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993
Orange County	28,226	100.0%	7,841	\$	23,240		NA	NA		NA
Bradford	2,619	9.3%	1,431	\$	22,816	\$	2.22	\$ 90,713	\$	22,961
Braintree	1,194	4.2%	84	\$	16,754	\$	2.19	\$ 79,752	\$	25,366
Brookfield	1,222	4.3%	131	\$	25,987	\$	1.88	\$ 99,963	\$	31,487
Chelsea	1,250	4.4%	344	\$	22,036	\$	1.89	\$ 81,301	\$	22,822
Corinth	1,461	5.2%	166	\$	20,920	\$	2.15	\$ 81,350	\$	22,770
Fairlee	967	3.4%	554	\$	20,303	\$	2.40	\$ 123,732	\$	26,887
Newbury	1,955	6.9%	603	\$	20,341	\$	2.21	\$ 87,098	\$	24,630
Orange	965	3.4%	78	\$	15,886	\$	2.03	\$ 70,940	\$	29,078
Randolph	4,853	17.2%	2,841	\$	22,036	\$	2.76	\$ 91,553	\$	24,810
Strafford	1,045	3.7%	139	\$	18,663	\$	2.22	\$ 115,868	\$	30,828
Thetford	2,617	9.3%	581	\$	25,490	\$	2.33	\$ 112,868	\$	34,449
Topsham	1,142	4.0%	55	\$	17,886	\$	1.57	\$ 64,824	\$	25,110
Tunbridge	1,309	4.6%	174	\$	22,966	\$	1.80	\$ 72,862	\$	25,485
Vershire	629	2.2%	92	\$	19,887	\$	2.15	\$ 73,271	\$	27,228
Washington	1,047	3.7%	80	\$	20,578	\$	2.27	\$ 81,851	\$	24,931
West Fairlee	726	2.6%	26	\$	16,913	\$	2.42	\$ 89,858	\$	28,199
Williamstown	3,225	11.4%	462	\$	24,592	\$	2.32	\$ 78,131	\$	27,005

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 11

Demog	raphic - Eco	onomic C	omparis	on	of Orl	lear	ns Co	un	ty Tow	ns	
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pr Ta	ective operty x Rate 001 3/	Re	verage esidential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA		NA	\$	26,993
Orleans County	26,277	100.0%	9,418	\$	23,177		NA		NA		NA
Albany Barton Brownington Charleston Coventry Craftsbury Derby Glover	840 2,780 885 895 1,014 1,136 4,604 966	3.2% 10.6% 3.4% 3.9% 4.3% 17.5% 3.7%	64 1,628 D 91 136 255 1,713	\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$	24,025 21,616 D 15,862 23,137 17,095 25,018 16,005	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2.55 1.91 2.09 1.73 0.98 2.50 1.78 2.05	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	56,105 71,661 59,280 62,239 59,893 88,503 86,856 60,410	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	23,595 20,511 19,488 18,429 22,618 23,659 23,002 25,187
Greensboro Holland Irasburg Jay Lowell Morgan Newport City	770 588 1,077 426 738 669 5,005	2.9% 2.2% 4.1% 1.6% 2.8% 2.5% 19.0%	250 D 182 242 56 61 3,919	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	18,404 D 21,600 17,230 11,194 15,587 25,465	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2.23 1.58 1.78 1.70 1.65 1.48 2.45	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	87,064 55,869 61,086 78,579 59,577 91,270 69,884	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,038 21,182 23,799 26,620 17,116 24,248 18,804
Newport City Newport Town Troy Westfield Westmore	1,511 1,564 503 306	5.8% 6.0% 1.9% 1.2%	311 229 64 34	9 \$ \$ \$ \$	19,562 22,252 18,006 15,115	\$ \$ \$ \$	2.43 2.04 1.69 1.77 1.46	\$ \$ \$	84,660 64,837 71,062 106,076	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	23,725 19,812 23,726 25,551

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

3/ Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Demogra	phic - Eco	onomic C	omparis	on	of Ru	tla	nd Co	unty Tow	ns	
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	P Ta	fective roperty ax Rate 001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A I	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993
Rutland County	64,400	100.0%	28,942	\$	26,570		NA	NA		NA
Benson	1,039	1.6%	101	\$	20,872	\$	1.94	\$ 73,179	\$	22,958
Brandon	3,917	6.1%	1,612	\$	23,729	\$	2.41	\$ 89,343	\$	23,145
Castleton	4,367	6.8%	1,142	\$	22,678	\$	1.81	\$ 90,799	\$	25,772
Chittenden	1,182	1.8%	153	\$	15,724	\$	2.02	\$ 112,953	\$	29,615
Clarenden	2,811	4.4%	1,012	\$	26,982	\$	2.28	\$ 99,705	\$	25,935
Danby	1,292	2.0%	235	\$	26,580	\$	1.82	\$ 81,519	\$	23,596
Fair Haven	2,928	4.5%	983	\$	21,134	\$	2.59	\$ 80,517	\$	22,833
Hubbardton	752	1.2%	21	\$	14,759	\$	2.19	\$ 113,418	\$	24,761
Ira	455	0.7%	12	\$	18,665	\$	2.00	\$ 89,187	\$	34,170
Killington	1,095	1.7%	2,163	\$	20,004	\$	1.31	\$ 156,953	\$	23,781
Mendon	1,028	1.6%	381	\$	20,985	\$	2.15	\$ 147,949	\$	34,740
Middletown Springs	823	1.3%	56	\$	24,312	\$	2.27	\$ 90,468	\$	25,688
Mount Holly	1,241	1.9%	184	\$	23,804	\$	1.97	\$ 92,871	\$	26,677
Mount Tabor	203	0.3%	D	\$	D	\$	2.06	\$ 74,076	\$	20,037
Pawlet	1,394	2.2%	337		22,568	\$	1.86	\$ 79,340	\$	23,426
Pittsfield	427	0.7%	142	\$	24,753	\$	1.39	\$ 128,334	\$	29,169
Pittsford	3,140	4.9%	955	\$	29,246	\$	2.22	\$ 105,143	\$	26,049
Poultney	3,633	5.6%	1,055	\$	24,000	\$	2.18	\$ 89,763	\$	23,942
Proctor Rutland City	1,877 17,292	2.9% 26.9%	333 12,924	\$ \$	35,985 28,420	\$ \$	3.08 2.53	\$ 84,364 \$ 82,888	\$ \$	23,942 27,617 21,652
Rutland Town	4,038	6.3%	3,704	\$	30,917	\$	2.10	\$ 136,617	\$	32,039
Shrewsbury	1,108	1.7%	182	\$	21,395	\$	2.09	\$ 111,964	\$	31,855
Sudbury Tinmouth Wallingford	583 567 2,274	0.9% 0.9% 3.5%	42 D 430	\$ \$	24,357 D 21,831	\$ \$ \$	1.97 1.88 2.18	\$ 101,184 \$ 88,938 \$ 99,058	\$ \$ \$	30,791 24,792 27,270
Wells West Haven	1,121 278	1.7% 0.4%	112 D	\$	18,825 D	\$ \$	2.16 2.03 2.25	\$ 99,056 \$ 99,165 \$ 79,940	\$ \$	24,498 29,782
West Rutland	2,535	3.9%	624	\$	21,202	\$	2.60	\$ 83,682	\$	23,230

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

^{3/} Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

^{4/} Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 13

Demograpi	nic - Econ	omic Co	mparisor	1 0	f Wash	ning	ton (County To	W	ns
	Population	% Share	Annual . Employmt		rage Wage	Pro	ective operty k Rate	Average Residential Value	Α	Median djusted ncome
	2000 1/	County	2000 2/		2000 2/		01 3/	2001 3/		2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993
Washington County	58,039	100.0%	32,060	\$	28,306		NA	NA		NA
Barre City	9,291	16.0%	4,915	\$	25,391	\$	2.93	\$ 78,647	\$	23,161
Barre Town	7,602	13.1%	2,687	\$	31,317	\$	2.32	\$ 88,784	\$	31,006
Berlin	2,864	4.9%	4,768	\$	25,999	\$	2.10	\$ 99,281	\$	28,203
Cabot	1,213	2.1%	456	\$	28,043	\$	2.65	\$ 78,259	\$	22,130
Calais	1,529	2.6%	108	\$	24,039	\$	2.43	\$ 104,678	\$	30,504
Duxbury	1,289	2.2%	156	\$	31,227	\$	2.19	\$ 104,894	\$	33,961
East Montpelier	2,578	4.4%	598	\$	26,686	\$	2.52	\$ 112,474	\$	31,602
Fayston	1,141	2.0%	148	\$	20,135	\$	1.72	\$ 123,321	\$	34,722
Marshfield	1,496	2.6%	85	\$	14,412	\$	2.19	\$ 72,599	\$	26,401
Middlesex	1,729	3.0%	237	\$	28,932	\$	2.23	\$ 89,932	\$	37,382
Montpelier City	8,035	13.8%	9,139	\$	31,208	\$	3.02	\$ 106,029	\$	27,135
Moretown	1,653	2.8%	294	\$	29,366	\$	2.03	\$ 107,088	\$	30,425
Northfield	5,791	10.0%	1,765	\$	24,015	\$	2.49	\$ 78,921	\$	27,533
Plainfield	1,286	2.2%	517	\$	24,730	\$	2.34	\$ 83,677	\$	23,657
Roxbury	576	1.0%	77	\$	17,048	\$	2.01	\$ 66,216	\$	24,294
Waitsfield	1,659	2.9%	1,268	\$	26,030	\$	2.04	\$ 133,437	\$	29,213
Warren	1,681	2.9%	823	\$	18,294	\$	1.89	\$ 140,682	\$	28,676
Waterbury	4,915	8.5%	3,875	\$	32,339	\$	1.82	\$ 146,332	\$	30,666
Woodbury	809	1.4%	68	\$	20,616	\$	1.87	\$ 80,067	\$	27,865
Worcester	902	1.6%	76	\$	28,881	\$	2.56	\$ 70,123	\$	28,234

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

4/ Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

^{3/} Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

Table 14

Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual . Employmt		rogo	Effectiv		Average	N	/ledian
608 827		2000 2/		Wage 2000 2/	Proper Tax Ra 2001 3	te	Residential Value 2001 3/	li	djusted ncome 2000 4/
000,027	NA	296,349	\$	28,920	1	NA	NA	\$	26,993
44,216	100.0%	24,018	\$	27,888	1	NA	NA		NA
340 12,005 467 1,410 1,915 649 2,046 782 946 1,709 978	0.8% 27.2% 1.1% 3.2% 4.3% 1.5% 4.6% 1.8% 2.1% 3.9% 2.2%	D 12,312 59 1,250 259 205 200 60 127 591 365	***	D 30,598 12,971 18,450 27,844 25,895 22,462 15,910 20,505 22,443 18,605	\$ 2. \$ 2. \$ 1. \$ 2. \$ 1. \$ 2. \$ 2. \$ 2. \$ 2.	96 05 41 52 70 11 96 00 07	\$ 68,482 \$ 107,639 \$ 94,644 \$ 136,924 \$ 115,434 \$ 110,586 \$ 83,291 \$ 74,887 \$ 102,781 \$ 121,262 \$ 113,377	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	24,460 23,724 31,367 25,125 32,423 26,595 28,652 25,087 23,684 23,600 30,082
1,680 2,634 5,309 5 136 1,149 2,141 854 3,210 1,298 2,225	3.8% 6.0% 12.0% 0.0% 0.3% 2.6% 4.8% 1.9% 7.3% 2.9% 5.0%	315 1,231 2,470 0 905 559 941 126 779 233 999	\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$	20,588 24,138 25,536 0 20,585 27,837 50,148 18,177 25,832 22,668 19,700	\$ 2. \$ 2. \$ 1. \$ 2. \$ 2. \$ 2. \$ 3. \$ 2.	38 75 02 07 31 53 18 43 02	\$ 87,792 \$ 95,028 \$ 87,522 \$ - \$ 168,081 \$ 107,397 \$ 123,879 \$ 62,315 \$ 83,270 \$ 81,122 \$ 112,620	\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$	27,983 26,075 23,528 D 22,923 24,310 30,348 22,619 26,298 28,646 22,177 28,089
	340 12,005 467 1,410 1,915 649 2,046 782 946 1,709 978 1,680 2,634 5,309 5 136 1,149 2,141 854 3,210 1,298	44,216 100.0% 340 0.8% 12,005 27.2% 467 1.1% 1,410 3.2% 1,915 4.3% 649 1.5% 2,046 4.6% 782 1.8% 946 2.1% 1,709 3.9% 978 2.2% 1,680 3.8% 2,634 6.0% 5,309 12.0% 5 0.0% 136 0.3% 1,149 2.6% 2,141 4.8% 854 1.9% 3,210 7.3% 1,298 2.9% 2,225 5.0%	44,216 100.0% 24,018 340 0.8% D 12,005 27.2% 12,312 467 1.1% 59 1,410 3.2% 1,250 1,915 4.3% 259 649 1.5% 205 2,046 4.6% 200 782 1.8% 60 946 2.1% 127 1,709 3.9% 591 978 2.2% 365 1,680 3.8% 315 2,634 6.0% 1,231 5,309 12.0% 2,470 5 0.0% 0 136 0.3% 905 1,149 2.6% 559 2,141 4.8% 941 854 1.9% 126 3,210 7.3% 779 1,298 2.9% 233 2,225 5.0% 999	44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 340 0.8% D 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 467 1.1% 59 \$ 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 649 1.5% 205 \$ 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 782 1.8% 60 \$ 946 2.1% 127 \$ 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 978 2.2% 365 \$ 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 5 0.0% 0 0 136 0.3% 905 \$ 1,149 2.6% 559 \$ 2,141 4.8% 941 \$ 854 1.9% 126 \$ 3,210 7.3% 779 \$ 1,298 2.9	44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 340 0.8% D D 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 25,536 5 0.0% 0 0 136 0.3% 905 \$ 20,585 1,149 2.6% 559 \$ 27,837 2,141 4.8% 941 \$ 50,148 854 1.9% 126 \$ 18,177 </td <td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 N 340 0.8% D D \$ 2. 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2. 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2. 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1. 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2. 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1. 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2. 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1. 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2. 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2. 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2. 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2. 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2. 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 25,536 \$ 2. 5 0.0% 0 0 \$ 2. 136 0.3% 905 \$ 20,585<!--</td--><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.38 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 25,536 \$ 2.75 5 0.0% 0 0 \$ 2.02 136 0.3% <t< td=""><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3</td><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 \$ 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 \$ 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 \$ 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 \$ 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 \$ 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 \$ 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 \$ 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 \$ 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 \$ 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 \$ 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 \$ 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3</td></t<></td></td>	44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 N 340 0.8% D D \$ 2. 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2. 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2. 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1. 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2. 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1. 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2. 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1. 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2. 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2. 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2. 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2. 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2. 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 25,536 \$ 2. 5 0.0% 0 0 \$ 2. 136 0.3% 905 \$ 20,585 </td <td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.38 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 25,536 \$ 2.75 5 0.0% 0 0 \$ 2.02 136 0.3% <t< td=""><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3</td><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 \$ 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 \$ 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 \$ 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 \$ 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 \$ 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 \$ 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 \$ 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 \$ 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 \$ 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 \$ 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 \$ 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3</td></t<></td>	44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.38 5,309 12.0% 2,470 \$ 25,536 \$ 2.75 5 0.0% 0 0 \$ 2.02 136 0.3% <t< td=""><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3</td><td>44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 \$ 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 \$ 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 \$ 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 \$ 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 \$ 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 \$ 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 \$ 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 \$ 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 \$ 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 \$ 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 \$ 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3</td></t<>	44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3	44,216 100.0% 24,018 \$ 27,888 NA NA 340 0.8% D D \$ 2.18 \$ 68,482 \$ 12,005 27.2% 12,312 \$ 30,598 \$ 2.96 \$ 107,639 \$ 467 1.1% 59 \$ 12,971 \$ 2.05 \$ 94,644 \$ 1,410 3.2% 1,250 \$ 18,450 \$ 1.41 \$ 136,924 \$ 1,915 4.3% 259 \$ 27,844 \$ 2.52 \$ 115,434 \$ 649 1.5% 205 \$ 25,895 \$ 1.70 \$ 110,586 \$ 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 2,046 4.6% 200 \$ 22,462 \$ 2.11 \$ 83,291 \$ 8 782 1.8% 60 \$ 15,910 \$ 1.96 \$ 74,887 \$ 946 2.1% 127 \$ 20,505 \$ 2.00 \$ 102,781 \$ 1,709 3.9% 591 \$ 22,443 \$ 2.07 \$ 121,262 \$ 978 2.2% 365 \$ 18,605 \$ 2.05 \$ 113,377 \$ 1,680 3.8% 315 \$ 20,588 \$ 2.31 \$ 87,792 \$ 2,634 6.0% 1,231 \$ 24,138 \$ 2.3

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

^{3/} Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

^{4/} Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 15

Demogr	aphic - Ecc	nomic C	omparis	on	of Wir	nds	or Co	unty Tow	'ns	•
	Population 2000 1/	% Share County	Annual Employmt 2000 2/		rage Wage 2000 2/	Pro Tax	ective operty x Rate 001 3/	Average Residential Value 2001 3/	A Ii	Median djusted ncome 2000 4/
Vermont	608,827	NA	296,349	\$	28,920		NA	NA	\$	26,993
Windsor County	57,418	100.0%	23,474	\$	27,421		NA	NA		NA
Andover	496	0.9%	36	\$	31,414	\$	1.73	\$ 111,828	\$	24,902
Baltimore Barnard	250 958	0.4% 1.7%	D 163	¢	D 25,728	\$ \$	2.34 1.31	\$ 80,439 \$ 120,525	\$ \$	25,794 28,925
Bethel	1,968	3.4%	981	\$ \$	30,275	Ф \$	2.41	\$ 120,525	Ф \$	26,129
Bridgewater	980	1.7%	343	\$	25,711	\$	2.00	\$ 93,930	\$	22,964
Cavendish	1,470	2.6%	528	\$	23,651	\$	2.22	\$ 82,575	\$	24,892
Chester	3,044	5.3%	1,062	\$	23,866	\$	2.38	\$ 100,180	\$	24,772
Hartford	10,367	18.1%	5,771	\$	30,628	\$	2.22	\$ 114,532	\$	28,934
Hartland	3,223	5.6%	390	\$	28,368	\$	2.21	\$ 111,651	\$	30,983
Ludlow	2,449	4.3%	1,802	\$	21,766	\$	1.50	\$ 121,277	\$	22,270
Norwich	3,544	6.2%	814	\$	26,596	\$	2.35	\$ 184,089	\$	45,453
Plymouth	555	1.0%	177	\$	26,808	\$	1.20	\$ 118,793	\$	26,257
Pomfret	997	1.7%	160	\$	24,480	\$	2.25	\$ 136,958	\$	34,716
Reading	707	1.2%	87	\$	16,100	\$	2.25	\$ 106,259	\$	25,614
Rochester	1,171	2.0%	360	\$	26,099	\$	2.40	\$ 81,713	\$	23,913
Royalton	2,603	4.5%	833	\$	31,330	\$	1.84	\$ 95,009	\$	23,605
Sharon	1,411	2.5%	212	\$	23,114	\$	2.00	\$ 89,391	\$	27,733
Springfield	9,078	15.8%	4,904	\$	29,477	\$	2.96	\$ 72,054	\$	24,340
Stockbridge	674	1.2%	128	\$	31,216	\$	2.07	\$ 86,650	\$	25,033
Weathersfield	2,788	4.9%	385	\$	23,745	\$	2.36	\$ 90,938	\$	28,666
Weston	630	1.1%	221	\$	18,269	\$	1.35	\$ 124,566	\$	29,008
West Windsor	1,067	1.9%	313	\$	22,559	\$	2.01	\$ 140,043	\$	32,075
Windsor	3,756	6.5%	1,537	\$	24,919	\$	2.84	\$ 76,687	\$	24,161
Woodstock	3,232	5.6%	2,266	\$	24,604	\$	1.54	\$ 204,986	\$	31,932

2/ Vermont Department of Employment & Training; UI Covered Employment (Inc. Gov.)

Residental 1 value is for single family residence on less than six acres. Rate per \$100 County rates calculated based on total taxes assessed and total equalized value

^{3/} Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

^{4/} Vermont Department of Taxes, 2000 Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

* See Glosary for further details

Table 16

		Popu	lation C	hanges	s 19	90 - 200	0		
				Change	Change	% Change	% Change	Age Dis	tribution
Age:	1990	1999 1/	2000	90-99	99-00	90-99	99-00	1990	2000
Addison Count	.,								
Addison Count 0-4 Years	y 2,495	1,911	2,057	-584	146	-23.4%	7.6%	7.6%	5.7%
5-14 Years	4,731	4,895	5,186	164	291	3.5%	7.0 % 5.9%	14.4%	14.4%
15-14 Tears	2,964	2,949	3,381	-15	432	-0.5%	14.6%	9.0%	9.4%
20-34 Years	8,237	7,213	6,808	-1,024	-405	-12.4%	-5.6%	25.0%	18.9%
35-54 Years	8,705	11,564	11,304	2,859	-405	32.8%	-3.6%	26.4%	31.4%
			,	423	342	17.6%	-2.2% 12.1%	7.3%	
55-64 Years	2,408	2,831	3,173						8.8%
65+ Years	3,413	3,740	4,065	327	325	9.6%	8.7%	10.4%	11.3%
Total	32,953	35,103	35,974	2,150	871	6.5%	2.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Bennington Co	untv								
0-4 Years	2,676	1,911	1,922	-765	11	-28.6%	0.6%	7.5%	5.2%
5-14 Years	4,977	4,934	5,191	-43	257	-0.9%	5.2%	13.9%	14.0%
15-19 Years	2,449	2,592	2,598	143	6	5.8%	0.2%	6.8%	7.0%
20-34 Years	7,953	•	2,396 5,797						
		6,305	,	-1,648	-508	-20.7% 21.6%	-8.1%	22.2%	15.7%
35-54 Years	9,157	11,139	11,413	1,982	274		2.5%	25.5%	30.9%
55-64 Years	3,320	3,500	3,906	180	406	5.4%	11.6%	9.3%	10.6%
65+ Years	5,313	5,518	6,167	205	649	3.9%	11.8%	14.8%	16.7%
Total	35,845	35,899	36,994	54	1,095	0.2%	3.1%	100.0%	100.0%
Caledonia Cou	untv								
0-4 Years	2,106	1,574	1,628	-532	54	-25.3%	3.4%	7.6%	5.4%
						-25.5%	5.7%	15.5%	
5-14 Years	4,330	4,184	4,422	-146	238				14.4%
15-19 Years	2,187	2,268	2,386	81	118	3.7%	5.2%	7.9%	7.8%
20-34 Years	5,988	5,085	4,947	-903	-138	-15.1%	-2.7%	21.5%	17.5%
35-54 Years	7,208	9,293	9,303	2,085	10	28.9%	0.1%	25.9%	31.9%
55-64 Years	2,397	2,708	2,744	311	36	13.0%	1.3%	8.6%	9.3%
65+ Years	3,630	4,022	4,272	392	250	10.8%	6.2%	13.0%	13.8%
Total	27,846	29,134	29,702	1,288	568	4.6%	1.9%	100.0%	100.0%
Chittenden Co	untv								
0-4 Years	9,740	7,878	8,487	-1,862	609	-19.1%	7.7%	7.4%	5.5%
5-14 Years	16,550	17,898	20,205	1,348	2,307	8.1%	12.9%	12.6%	12.4%
15-19 Years	11,602	11,562	11,861	-40	299	-0.3%	2.6%	8.8%	8.0%
20-34 Years	40,223	36,095	34,396	-4,128	-1,699	-10.3%	-4.7%	30.5%	25.1%
35-54 Years	34,201	46,691	46,499	12,490	-1,099	36.5%	-4.7 %	26.0%	32.4%
55-64 Years	8,836	10,760	11,343	1,924	583	21.8%	-0.4% 5.4%	6.7%	7.5%
65+ Years	10,609	13,117	13,780	2,508	663	23.6%	5.4%	8.1%	9.1%
	•	·							
Total	131,761	144,001	146,571	12,240	2,570	9.3%	1.8%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 17

		Popu	lation C	hanges	s 19	90 - 200	0		
				Change	Change	% Change	% Change	Age Dis	tribution
Age:	1990	1999 1/	2000	90-99	99-00	90-99	99-00	1990	2000
F									
Essex County	440	220	240	440	40	OF 20/	2.00/	7.00/	E 00/
0-4 Years	449	336	349	-113	13	-25.2%	3.9%	7.0%	5.2%
5-14 Years	980	965	1,000	-15	35	-1.5%	3.6%	15.3%	14.9%
15-19 Years	436	444	455	8	11	1.8%	2.5%	6.8%	6.9%
20-34 Years	1,252	970	997	-282	27	-22.5%	2.8%	19.5%	15.0%
35-54 Years	1,688	2,010	1,967	322	-43	19.1%	-2.1%	26.4%	31.1%
55-64 Years	690	720	710	30	-10	4.3%	-1.4%	10.8%	11.2%
65+ Years	910	1,012	981	102	-31	11.2%	-3.1%	14.2%	15.7%
Total	6,405	6,457	6,459	52	2	0.8%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Franklin County									
0-4 Years	y 3,411	2,812	3,215	-599	403	-17.6%	14.3%	8.5%	6.3%
5-14 Years	6,574	7,125	7,375	-599 551	250	8.4%	3.5%	16.4%	16.0%
	-								
15-19 Years	2,878	3,261	3,222	383	-39	13.3%	-1.2%	7.2%	7.3%
20-34 Years	9,661	8,906	8,328	-755	-578	-7.8%	-6.5%	24.2%	20.0%
35-54 Years	10,103	14,081	14,442	3,978	361	39.4%	2.6%	25.3%	31.7%
55-64 Years	3,048	3,749	3,831	701	82	23.0%	2.2%	7.6%	8.4%
65+ Years	4,305	4,505	5,004	200	499	4.6%	11.1%	10.8%	10.1%
Total	39,980	44,439	45,417	4,459	978	11.2%	2.2%	100.0%	100.0%
Grand Isle Cou	ntv								
0-4 Years	425	334	383	-91	49	-21.4%	14.7%	8.0%	5.4%
5-14 Years	799	920	1,012	121	92	15.1%	10.0%	15.0%	14.8%
15-14 Years	313	385	440	72	55	23.0%	14.3%	5.9%	6.2%
20-34 Years				-102	-82				
	1,198	1,096	1,014			-8.5%	-7.5%	22.5%	17.6%
35-54 Years	1,501	2,070	2,408	569	338	37.9%	16.3%	28.2%	33.2%
55-64 Years	498	605	794	107	189	21.5%	31.2%	9.4%	9.7%
65+ Years	584	820	850	236	30	40.4%	3.7%	11.0%	13.2%
Total	5,318	6,230	6,901	912	671	17.1%	10.8%	100.0%	100.0%
Lamoille Count	v								
0-4 Years	y 1,504	1,188	1,284	-316	96	-21.0%	8.1%	7.6%	5.4%
5-14 Years	2,847	3,144	3,371	297	227	10.4%	7.2%	14.4%	14.3%
15-14 Years	2,64 <i>1</i> 1,584	3,144 1,726	1,696	142	-30	9.0%	-1.7%	8.0%	7.9%
									7.9% 20.7%
20-34 Years	5,027	4,548	4,676	-479 1 044	128	-9.5%	2.8%	25.5%	
35-54 Years	5,168	7,112	7,372	1,944	260	37.6%	3.7%	26.2%	32.4%
55-64 Years	1,446	1,765	2,196	319	431	22.1%	24.4%	7.3%	8.0%
65+ Years	2,159	2,487	2,638	328	151	15.2%	6.1%	10.9%	11.3%
Total	19,735	21,970	23,233	2,235	1,263	11.3%	5.7%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 18

		Popu	lation C	hanges	s 19	90 - 200	0		
				Change	Change	% Change	% Change	Age Dis	tribution
Age:	1990	1999 1/	2000	90-99	99-00	90-99	99-00	1990	2000
Orange County									
0-4 Years	2,066	1,502	1,588	-564	86	-27.3%	5.7%	7.9%	5.6%
5-14 Years	4,093	3,976	4,244	-117	268	-2.9%	6.7%	15.7%	14.7%
15-19 Years	1,968	2,141	2,215	173	74	8.8%	3.5%	7.5%	7.9%
20-34 Years	5,866	4,905	4,458	-961	-447	-16.4%	-9.1%	22.4%	18.1%
35-54 Years	6,939	8,801	9,358	1,862	557	26.8%	6.3%	26.5%	32.5%
55-64 Years	2,164	2,422	2,751	258	329	11.9%	13.6%	8.3%	9.0%
65+ Years	3,053	3,307	3,612	254	305	8.3%	9.2%	11.7%	12.2%
Total	26,149	27,054	28,226	905	1,172	3.5%	4.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Orleans County									
0-4 Years	1,776	1,414	1,488	-362	74	-20.4%	5.2%	7.4%	5.4%
5-14 Years	3,969	3,470	3,812	-499	342	-12.6%	9.9%	16.5%	13.3%
15-19 Years	1,707	1,811	1,885	104	74	6.1%	4.1%	7.1%	7.0%
20-34 Years	4,894	4,393	4,312	-501	-81	-10.2%	-1.8%	20.3%	16.9%
35-54 Years	6,334	4,393 8,659	8,042	2,325	-617	36.7%	-7.1%	26.3%	33.3%
55-64 Years	2,133	2,554	2,786	421	232	19.7%	9.1%	8.9%	9.8%
65+ Years	3,240	3,721	3,952	481	232	14.8%	6.2%	13.5%	14.3%
05+ Teals	3,240	3,721	3,932	401	231	14.0 /0	0.2 /0	13.576	14.5 /0
Total	24,053	26,022	26,277	1,969	255	8.2%	1.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rutland County									
0-4 Years	4,407	3,130	3,273	-1,277	143	-29.0%	4.6%	7.1%	5.0%
5-14 Years	8,267	8,282	8,711	15	429	0.2%	5.2%	13.3%	13.2%
15-19 Years	4,489	4,369	4,540	-120	171	-2.7%	3.9%	7.2%	7.0%
20-34 Years	14,674	11,794	10,708	-2,880	-1,086	-19.6%	-9.2%	23.6%	18.8%
35-54 Years	16,389	20,083	20,263	3,694	180	22.5%	0.9%	26.4%	32.1%
55-64 Years	5,387	5,795	6,425	408	630	7.6%	10.9%	8.7%	9.3%
65+ Years	8,529	9,138	9,480	609	342	7.1%	3.7%	13.7%	14.6%
Total	62,142	62,591	63,400	449	809	0.7%	1.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Washington Co.	untv								
Washington Cou 0-4 Years	•	2.055	2 121	1 025	166	-25.8%	E 60/	7.2%	5.2%
5-14 Years	3,980 7,865	2,955 7,535	3,121 7,061	-1,025 -330	166 426	-25.6% -4.2%	5.6% 5.7%	14.3%	5.2% 13.4%
15-14 Years	7,865 3,802	7,535 3,975	7,961	-330 173		-4.2% 4.6%	5.7% 6.3%		7.0%
	3,602 12,641	3,975 10,454	4,224	-2,187	249 55	-17.3%	6.3%	6.9%	
20-34 Years 35-54 Years	15,264	10,454	10,509	-2,187 3,906	55 108	-17.3% 25.6%	0.5% 0.6%	23.0% 27.8%	18.5%
55-64 Years	4,521	5,028	19,278 5,483	3,906 507	455	11.2%	9.0%	8.2%	34.0% 8.9%
65+ Years	4,521 6,855	5,028 7,294	5,463 7,463	439	169	6.4%	2.3%	6.2% 12.5%	6.9% 12.9%
Total	54,928	56,411	58,039	1,483	1,628	2.7%	2.9%	100.0%	100.0%

'

Table 19

Population Changes 1990 - 2000									
_	1000	1000.1/	0000	Change	_	% Change	_	-	tribution
Age :	1990	1999 1/	2000	90-99	99-00	90-99	99-00	1990	200
Windham Cou	nty								
0-4 Years	3,098	2,340	2,340	-758	0	-24.5%	0.0%	7.4%	5.4%
5-14 Years	5,993	5,588	6,078	-405	490	-6.8%	8.8%	14.4%	13.09
15-19 Years	2,581	2,855	3,104	274	249	10.6%	8.7%	6.2%	6.6%
20-34 Years	9,548	8,013	7,026	-1,535	-987	-16.1%	-12.3%	23.0%	18.69
35-54 Years	11,565	14,630	14,966	3,065	336	26.5%	2.3%	27.8%	34.09
55-64 Years	3,414	3,822	4,529	408	707	12.0%	18.5%	8.2%	8.9%
65+ Years	5,389	5,748	6,173	359	425	6.7%	7.4%	13.0%	13.49
00 00.0	0,000	0,7 10	0,110	000	.20	011 70	7.170	101070	10117
Total	41,588	42,996	44,216	1,408	1,220	3.4%	2.8%	100.0%	100.0%
Windsor Coun	tv								
0-4 Years	3,846	2,751	2,854	-1,095	103	-28.5%	3.7%	7.1%	5.0%
5-14 Years	7,553	7,849	7,930	296	81	3.9%	1.0%	14.0%	14.29
15-19 Years	3,148	3,476	3,763	328	287	10.4%	8.3%	5.8%	6.39
20-34 Years	11,605	9,293	8,443	-2,312	-850	-19.9%	-9.1%	21.5%	16.89
35-54 Years	15,099	18,358	19,106	3,259	748	21.6%	4.1%	27.9%	33.19
55-64 Years	4,906	5,219	6,249	313	1,030	6.4%	19.7%	9.1%	9.4%
65+ Years	7,898	8,487	9,073	589	586	7.5%	6.9%	14.6%	15.3%
05+ rears	7,090	0,407	9,073	369	300	7.5%	0.976	14.0%	13.37
Total	54,055	55,433	57,418	1,378	1,985	2.5%	3.6%	100.0%	100.0%
Vermont									
0-4 Years	41,979	32,036	33,989	-9,943	1,953	-23.7%	6.1%	7.5%	5.4%
5-14 Years	79,528	80,765	86,498	1,237	5,733	1.6%	7.1%	14.1%	13.6%
15-19 Years	42,108	43,814	45,770	1,706	1,956	4.1%	4.5%	7.5%	7.4%
20-34 Years	138,767	119,070	112,419	-19,697	-6,651	-14.2%	-5.6%	24.7%	20.19
35-54 Years	149,321	193,661	195,721	44,340	2,060	29.7%	1.1%	26.5%	32.6%
55-64 Years	45,168	51,478	56,920	6,310	5,442	14.0%	10.6%	8.0%	8.7%
	65,887	72,916	77,510						
65+ Years	00,007	72,910	77,510	7,029	4,594	10.7%	6.3%	11.7%	12.3%
Total	562,758	593,740	608,827	30,982	15,087	5.5%	2.5%	100.0%	100.0%
				Change	Change	% Change			
Gender:	1990	1999 1/	2000	90-99	99-00	90-99	99-00		
Male	275,494	292,120	298,337	16,626	6,217	6.0%	2.1%		
Female	287,264	301,620	310,490	14,356	8,870	5.0%	2.9%		
Total	562,758	593,740	608,827	30,982	15,087	5.5%	2.5%		

Table 20

Felony and Misdemeanor Convictions for Vermont Counties (FY 2001)									
Addison	70	488	558	28,731	1.9				
Bennington	145	810	955	29,881	3.2				
Caledonia	133	690	823	23,652	3.5				
Chittenden	880	2,839	3,719	117,879	3.2				
Essex	28	103	131	5,110	2.6				
Franklin	238	913	1,151	34,827	3.3				
Grand Isle	18	69	87	5,506	1.6				
Lamoille	102	431	533	18,578	2.9				
Orange	47	270	317	22,394	1.4				
Orleans	106	495	601	20,977	2.9				
Rutland	221	881	1,102	51,416	2.1				
Washington	173	963	1,136	46,957	2.4				
Windham	217	987	1,204	35,798	3.4				
Windsor	187	961	1,148	46,634	2.5				
Total	2,565	10,900	13,465	488,340	2.8				

Note: Based on the number of convictions on a case basis, NOT the number of people convicted

Convictions are reported in the county where prosecuted, not the residency of persons convicted

Sources: 1/ District Court of Vermont - Vermont Court Administrator's Office

2/ U.S. Bureau of the Census




Table 21

	Addison Co	unty:	Employment	by Res	idence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
l		0.007	00.050	0.407		2.004
Labor Force	20,200	6.0%	20,250	6.1%	50	0.2%
Unemployment	650	6.4%	550	5.7%	-100	-15.4%
Rate (%)	3.2%	NA	2.6%	NA	-0.6	NA
Employment	19,550	6.0%	19,700	6.1%	150	0.8%

Note: County estimates before 1990 are not comparable due to a change in methodology.

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Addison County: Employment by Industry									
	Covered	overed Covered		Covered				%	
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	9,665	86.5%	11,289	86.0%	11,748	85.6%	1,624	459	21.6%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		D	543	4.1%	598	4.4%	.,s	55	D
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	45	0.3%	43	0.3%		-2	D
Contract Construction	666	6.0%	632	4.8%	683	5.0%		51	2.6%
Manufacturing	2,659	23.8%	2,252	17.2%	2,269	16.5%	-407	17	-14.7%
Durable Goods	Ď	D	1,433	10.9%	1,399	10.2%	D	-34	D
Nondurable Goods	D	D	819	6.2%	871	6.3%	D	52	D
Transportation & Utilities	363	3.2%	462	3.5%	433	3.2%	100	-29	19.5%
Trade	2,412	21.6%	2,883	22.0%	3,028	22.1%	471	145	25.5%
Wholesale	343	3.1%	320	2.4%	368	2.7%	-22	47	7.3%
Retail	2,070	18.5%	2,563	19.5%	2,661	19.4%	493	98	28.6%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	293	2.6%	373	2.8%	386	2.8%	80	13	31.7%
Services	2,953	26.4%	4,100	31.3%	4,308	31.4%	1,147	207	45.9%
Hotel & Resorts	327	2.9%	363	2.8%	368	2.7%	37	5	12.7%
Health	594	5.3%	889	6.8%	948	6.9%	295	59	59.6%
Other	2,033	18.2%	2,848	21.7%	2,992	21.8%	815	144	47.2%
Government ownership	1,513	13.5%	1,834	14.0%	1,977	14.4%	320	144	30.7%
Total for Addison County	11,178	100.0%	13,123	100.0%	13,726	100.0%	1,945	603	22.8%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

D denotes data which cannot be disclosed.

Table 22

	Bennington C	ounty:	Employme	nt by Re	sidence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	20,400	6.1%	20,000	6.0%	-400	-2.0%
Unemployment	750	7.4%	650	6.7%	-100	-13.3%
Rate (%)	3.7%	NA	3.2%	NA	-0.5	NA
Employment	19,650	6.0%	19,350	6.0%	-300	-1.5%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Benni	ngton	Count	y: Emp	ployme	ent by	Indust	try		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
	44000	00.40/	40.400	00 =0/	40.000	22.22/	0.440	400	00.40/
Private ownership	14,089	89.4%	16,499	88.7%	16,962	88.6%	-	463	20.4%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		D	D	D	D	D	D	D	71.6%
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Contract Construction	788	5.0%	649	3.5%	650	3.4%	-139	1	-17.5%
Manufacturing	3,608	22.9%	3,843	20.7%	3,832	20.0%	235	-11	6.2%
Durable Goods	2,521	16.0%	2,122	11.4%	2,257	11.8%	-399	135	-10.5%
Nondurable Goods	1,087	6.9%	1,720	9.3%	1,575	8.2%	634	-146	44.9%
Transportation & Utilities	331	2.1%	453	2.4%	430	2.2%	122	-24	29.7%
Trade	4,240	26.9%	5,051	27.2%	5,308	27.7%	811	257	25.2%
Wholesale	261	1.7%	537	2.9%	641	3.4%	276	105	146.2%
Retail	3,979	25.2%	4,514	24.3%	4,666	24.4%	535	152	17.3%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	613	3.9%	557	3.0%	547	2.9%	-56	-10	-10.7%
Services	4,334	27.5%	5,671	30.5%	5,900	30.8%	1,337	230	36.2%
Hotel & Resorts	792	5.0%	764	4.1%	739	3.9%	-28	-25	-6.7%
Health	1,410	8.9%	1,968	10.6%	2,014	10.5%	558	46	42.9%
Other	2,132	13.5%	2,939	15.8%	3,147	16.4%	807	209	47.7%
Government ownership	1,675	10.6%	2,099	11.3%	2,192	11.4%	424	93	30.9%
Total for Bennington County	15,764	100.0%	18,598	100.0%	19,154	100.0%	2,834	556	21.5%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 23

	Caledonia Co	ounty:	Employmen	t by Res	sidence	
Resident		%		%	Change	% Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	15,200	4.5%	15,200	4.6%	0	0.0%
Unemployment	600	5.9%	600	6.2%	0	0.0%
Rate (%)	4.1%	NA	4.0%	NA	-0.1	NA
Employment	14,600	4.5%	14,600	4.5%	0	0.0%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Caled	donia (County	y: Emp	loyme	nt by lı	ndusti	ry		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
<u>L.</u>		00.007	0.470	00.00/	0.004	20.40/	4 400	400	10.00/
Private ownership	8,069	83.3%	•	83.0%	9,664		1,109	486	19.8%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		0.6%	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining & Quarrying	10	0.1%	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Contract Construction	652	6.7%	626	5.7%	764	6.6%	-27	139	17.2%
Manufacturing	1,873	19.3%	2,254	20.4%	2,293	19.8%	381	39	22.5%
Durable Goods	1,138	11.8%	1,302	11.8%	1,342	11.6%	165	39	17.9%
Nondurable Goods	735	7.6%	951	8.6%	951	8.2%	217	0	29.5%
Transportation & Utilities	540	5.6%	509	4.6%	528	4.6%	-31	19	-2.2%
Trade	2,309	23.8%	2,533	22.9%	2,605	22.5%	224	71	12.8%
Wholesale	335	3.5%	336	3.0%	385	3.3%	1	50	14.9%
Retail	1,974	20.4%	2,198	19.9%	2,220	19.2%	224	22	12.4%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	472	4.9%	403	3.6%	393	3.4%	-70	-10	-16.7%
Services	2,152	22.2%	2,736	24.7%	2,960	25.5%	585	224	37.6%
Hotel & Resorts	156	1.6%	188	1.7%	258	2.2%	31	70	64.8%
Health	861	8.9%	1,106	10.0%	1,187	10.2%	245	81	37.8%
Other	1,134	11.7%	1,442	13.0%	1,515	13.1%	308	72	33.6%
Government ownership	1,618	16.7%	1,881	17.0%	1,925	16.6%	263	43	18.9%
Total for Caledonia County	9,688	100.0%	11,059	100.0%	11,589	100.0%	1,372	530	19.6%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 24

	Chittenden C	ounty:	Employmer	nt by Re	sidence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	90,200	26.9%	89,500	27.0%	-700	-0.8%
Unemployment	1,700	16.7%	1,650	17.1%	-50	-2.9%
Rate (%)	1.9%	NA	1.8%	NA	-0.1	NA
Employment	88,500	27.2%	87,900	27.3%	-600	-0.7%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Chitte	enden (Count	y: Emp	oloyme	nt by I	ndust	ry		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
	00.440	05.00/	70.057	00.70/	00.000	00.40/	40.040	0.070	00.50/
Private ownership	66,410	85.6%	-	86.7%	82,033		-	-	
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		D	674	0.7%	708	0.7%	D	34	D
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	37	0.0%	36	0.0%	D	-1	D
Contract Construction	4,347	5.6%	5,162	5.7%	5,191	5.5%	815	29	19.4%
Manufacturing	15,431	19.9%	16,061	17.6%	16,961	17.8%	630	899	9.9%
Durable Goods	12,747	16.4%	13,100	14.4%	13,908	14.6%	354	808	9.1%
Nondurable Goods	2,685	3.5%	2,961	3.3%	3,053	3.2%	277	91	13.7%
Transportation & Utilities	3,622	4.7%		5.0%	4,535		896	17	25.2%
Trade	18,765	24.2%		22.3%	20,768		1,519		10.7%
Wholesale	3,926	5.1%	•	4.6%	3,963	4.2%	306		1.0%
Retail	14,839	19.1%		17.6%	16,805	17.6%	1,213	752	13.2%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	4,038	5.2%		5.0%	4,370	4.6%	517		8.2%
Services	19,711	25.4%		30.5%	29,465	30.9%	8,055	1,699	49.5%
Hotel & Resorts	1,727	2.2%		1.4%	1,387	1.5%	-444	-	-19.7%
Health	6,978	9.0%	•	10.1%	9,637	10.1%			38.1%
Other	11,006	14.2%	•	18.9%	18,441	19.4%			
Government ownership	11,138	14.4%		13.3%	13,251	13.9%	969	1,143	19.0%
Total for Chittenden County	77,548	100.0%	91,165	100.0%	95,284	100.0%	13,617	4,120	22.9%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 25

	Essex Cou	nty: Em	oloyment b	y Resid	ence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	2,900	0.9%	2,950	0.9%	50	1.7%
Unemployment	150	1.5%	150	1.6%	0	0.0%
Rate (%)	5.9%	NA	5.7%	NA	-0.2	NA
Employment	2,750	0.8%	2,750	0.9%	0	0.0%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Ess	sex Co	unty:	Emplo	yment	by Ind	ustry			
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
L									
Private ownership	1,215	79.8%	1,423	79.8%	1,437	80.0%	208	14	18.3%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		1.4%	16	0.9%	18	1.0%	-6	1	-19.7%
Mining & Quarrying	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Contract Construction	47	3.1%	51	2.9%	51	2.8%	4	0	7.8%
Manufacturing	871	57.2%	1,000	56.1%	1,001	55.8%	129	1	14.9%
Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Nondurable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	-35.9%
Transportation & Utilities	44	2.9%	37	2.1%	42	2.3%	-7	4	-5.5%
Trade	139	9.1%	146	8.2%	149	8.3%	7	3	7.3%
Wholesale	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Retail	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	16	1.1%	14	0.8%	12	0.7%	-3	-2	-29.5%
Services	75	5.0%	158	8.9%	165	9.2%	82	7	118.1%
Hotel & Resorts	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Other	40	2.7%	114	6.4%	112	6.2%	74	-2	177.7%
Government ownership	308	20.2%	361	20.2%	358	20.0%	53	-3	16.2%
Total for Essex County	1,523	100.0%	1,784	100.0%	1,795	100.0%	261	11	17.9%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 26

	Franklin Co	unty:	Employment	by Res	idence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	23,800	7.1%	24,050	7.3%	250	1.1%
Unemployment	750	7.4%	800	8.3%	50	6.7%
Rate (%)	3.0%	NA	3.3%	NA	0.3	NA
Employment	23,100	7.1%	23,250	7.2%	150	0.6%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Fran	klin C	ounty	Empl	oymer	nt by In	dustry	y		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	8,917	79.1%	11,471	80.0%	11,875	80.0%	2,554	404	33.2%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	66	0.6%	207	1.4%	-	1.6%		34	262.8%
Mining & Quarrying	31	0.3%	29	0.2%		0.2%		-1	-9.5%
Contract Construction	387	3.4%	455	3.2%	448	3.0%	68	-7	15.7%
Manufacturing	2,626	23.3%	3,025	21.1%		20.1%		-39	13.8%
Durable Goods	884	7.8%	826	5.8%	-	5.5%	-59	-12	-8.0%
Nondurable Goods	1,741	15.4%	2,200	15.3%	2,172	14.6%	459	-27	24.8%
Transportation & Utilities	403	3.6%	604	4.2%	596	4.0%	201	-8	47.8%
Trade	3,049	27.0%	3,466	24.2%	3,665	24.7%	416	199	20.2%
Wholesale	681	6.0%	720	5.0%	761	5.1%	39	42	11.8%
Retail	2,368	21.0%	2,746	19.1%	2,904	19.6%	378	158	22.6%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	437	3.9%	451	3.2%	397	2.7%	14	-55	-9.3%
Services	1,917	17.0%	3,234	22.5%	3,515	23.7%	1,317	280	83.3%
Hotel & Resorts	86	0.8%	148	1.0%	133	0.9%	62	-15	54.5%
Health	904	8.0%	1,420	9.9%	1,535	10.3%	516	115	69.7%
Other	927	8.2%	1,666	11.6%	1,847	12.4%	739	181	99.3%
Government ownership	2,362	20.9%	2,876	20.1%	2,972	20.0%	514	96	25.8%
Total for Franklin County	11,279	100.0%	14,347	100.0%	14,847	100.0%	3,068	500	31.6%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 27

	Grand Isle Co	ounty:	Employmen	t by Res	idence	
Resident Labor Force	1999	% State	2000	% State	Change 99-00	% Change 99-00
Labor Force	3,500	1.0%	3,500	1.1%	0	0.0%
Unemployment	150	1.5%	150	1.6%	0	0.0%
Rate (%)	4.9%	NA	4.8%	NA	-0.1	NA
Employment	3,300	1.0%	3,350	1.0%	50	1.5%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Gran	d Isle (County	y: Emp	loyme	nt by I	ndust	ry		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	452	67.1%	677	71.6%	710	71.4%	225	33	57.1%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	24	3.6%	26	2.8%	24	2.4%	2	-3	-2.8%
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Contract Construction	75	11.1%	94	10.0%	104	10.4%	20	9	38.6%
Manufacturing	20	2.9%	34	3.6%	38	3.8%	14	4	92.0%
Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	21	2.1%	D	D	D
Nondurable Goods	D	D	D	D	17	1.7%	D	D	D
Transportation & Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Trade	147	21.9%	203	21.5%	189	19.0%	56	-15	27.9%
Wholesale	D	D	11	1.2%	D	D	D	D	D
Retail	D	D	192	20.3%	D	D	D	D	D
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	20	3.0%	17	1.8%	18	1.8%	-3	1	-11.1%
Services	123	18.3%	242	25.6%	265	26.6%	119	23	114.7%
Hotel & Resorts	66	9.8%		11.4%	117	11.8%	42	9	77.2%
Health	D	D	10	1.0%	11	1.1%	D	1	D
Other	D	D	124	13.1%	137	13.8%	D	13	D
Government ownership	222	33.0%	269	28.4%	284	28.6%	47	16	28.0%
Total for Grand Isle County	674	100.0%	945	100.0%	994	100.0%	271	49	47.5%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 28

	Lamoille Co	unty: En	nployment	by Resid	lence	
Resident Labor Force	1999	% State	2000	% State	Change 99-00	% Change 99-00
Labor Force	11,950	3.6%	11,800	3.6%	-150	-1.3%
Unemployment	500	4.9%	500	5.2%	0	0.0%
Rate (%) Employment	4.1%	NA	4.3%	NA	0.2	NA
	11,450	3.5%	11,300	3.5%	-150	-1.3%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Lam	oille C	ounty	: Empl	oymer	nt by In	dustr	у		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	6,920	85.1%	8,835	85.3%	9,075	85.7%	1,915	240	31.1%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		03.1 % D	0,033 D	03.3 % D		03.7 % D	1,913 D	240 D	31.1 <i>7</i> 0
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	D	D	_	D	D	D	D
Contract Construction	655	8.1%		6.5%		6.5%	23	14	5.6%
Manufacturing	594	7.3%		7.9%		7.5%	226	-31	32.9%
Durable Goods	355	4.4%		4.8%		4.8%		11	43.6%
Nondurable Goods	239	2.9%		3.1%		2.6%			17.1%
Transportation & Utilities	207	2.5%		2.3%		2.5%		23	27.1%
Trade	1,932	23.8%	2,600	25.1%	2,636	24.9%	668	36	36.4%
Wholesale	169	2.1%	156	1.5%	157	1.5%	-13	1	-7.4%
Retail	1,763	21.7%	2,444	23.6%	2,479	23.4%	681	35	40.6%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	289	3.6%	285	2.8%	280	2.7%	-4	-5	-3.1%
Services	3,132	38.5%	4,073	39.3%	4,261	40.2%	941	188	36.1%
Hotel & Resorts	1,776	21.8%	1,613	15.6%	1,669	15.8%	-163	57	-6.0%
Health	582	7.2%	913	8.8%	983	9.3%	331	70	69.0%
Other	774	9.5%	1,548	14.9%	1,609	15.2%	773	61	107.8%
Government ownership	1,211	14.9%	1,523	14.7%	1,518	14.3%	312	-6	25.3%
Total for Lamoille County	8,131	100.0%	10,358	100.0%	10,593	100.0%	2,227	235	30.3%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 29

	Orange Cou	ınty: Er	nployment	by Resid	lence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	15,850	4.7%	15,650	4.7%	-200	-1.3%
Unemployment	400	3.9%	350	3.6%	0	-12.5%
Rate (%)	2.4%	NA	2.3%	NA	-0.1	NA
Employment	15,500	4.8%	15,300	4.8%	-200	-1.3%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Ora	nge Co	ounty:	Emplo	oymen [.]	t by Ind	dustry			
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	5,403	78.8%	•	76.5%	5,926	75.6%	350	173	9.7%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Contract Construction	501	7.3%	473	6.3%	472	6.0%	-28	-1	-5.8%
Manufacturing	1,276	18.6%	1,105	14.7%	1,122	14.3%	-171	17	-12.1%
Durable Goods	889	13.0%	869	11.6%	887	11.3%	-20	18	-0.3%
Nondurable Goods	387	5.6%	236	3.1%	235	3.0%	-150	-1	-39.2%
Transportation & Utilities	219	3.2%	204	2.7%	185	2.4%	-15	-19	-15.8%
Trade	1,522	22.2%	1,602	21.3%	1,699	21.7%	79	98	11.6%
Wholesale	267	3.9%	360	4.8%	371	4.7%	93	11	39.0%
Retail	1,255	18.3%	1,242	16.5%	1,328	16.9%	-13	86	5.8%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est		3.8%	218	2.9%	251	3.2%	-41	33	-3.2%
Services	1,553	22.6%	1,974	26.3%	2,024	25.8%	421	50	30.3%
Hotel & Resorts	245	3.6%	260	3.5%	260	3.3%	14	0	5.9%
Health	506	7.4%	667	8.9%	628	8.0%	161	-39	24.1%
Other	802	11.7%	1,047	13.9%	1,136	14.5%	246	89	41.7%
Government ownership	1,457	21.2%	1,766	23.5%	1,915	24.4%	309	149	31.5%
Total for Orange County	6,860	100.0%	7,519	100.0%	7,841	100.0%	659	322	14.3%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 30

	Orleans Co	unty:	Employment	by Res	idence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	12,500	3.7%	12,700	3.8%	200	1.6%
Unemployment	750	7.4%	750	7.8%	0	0.0%
Rate (%)	6.2%	NA	5.9%	NA	-0.3	NA
Employment	11,750	3.6%	11,950	3.7%	200	1.7%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Orle	eans C	ounty:	Empl	oymen	t by In	dustry	/		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	6,692	81.9%	7,111	80.3%	7,587	80.6%	419	476	13.4%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		D	157	1.8%	164	1.7%	D	7	D
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	D	0	D
Contract Construction	452	5.5%	472	5.3%	525	5.6%	21	53	16.3%
Manufacturing	1,980	24.2%	1,804	20.4%	1,940	20.6%	-176	136	-2.0%
Durable Goods	1,327	16.2%	1,453	16.4%	1,599	17.0%	126	146	20.6%
Nondurable Goods	653	8.0%	351	4.0%	341	3.6%	-302	-10	-47.8%
Transportation & Utilities	302	3.7%	373	4.2%	366	3.9%	71	-6	21.5%
Trade	1,737	21.3%	1,862	21.0%	1,941	20.6%	125	79	11.7%
Wholesale	251	3.1%	281	3.2%	306	3.3%	30	25	21.7%
Retail	1,486	18.2%	1,581	17.9%	1,635	17.4%	95	54	10.0%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est		3.0%	241	2.7%	236	2.5%	-3	-5	-3.6%
Services	1,825	22.3%	2,202	24.9%	2,415	25.6%	376	213	32.3%
Hotel & Resorts	341	4.2%	263	3.0%	326	3.5%	-77	63	-4.3%
Health	904	11.1%	1,150	13.0%	1,245	13.2%	246	95	37.7%
Other	581	7.1%	788	8.9%	844	9.0%	208	56	45.3%
Government ownership	1,481	18.1%	1,747	19.7%	1,830		266	84	23.6%
Total for Orleans County	8,173	100.0%	8,858	100.0%	9,418	100.0%	685	560	15.2%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 31

	Rutland Co	unty:	Employment	by Resi	dence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	31,950	9.5%	31,000	9.4%	-950	-3.0%
Unemployment	1,200	11.8%	1,100	11.4%	-100	-8.3%
Rate (%)	3.8%	NA	3.6%	NA	-0.2	NA
Employment	30,750	9.4%	29,850	9.3%	-900	-2.9%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Rutl	and C	ounty:	Empl	oymen	t by In	dustry	/		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	23,314	86.2%	24,561	85.7%	24,732	85.5%	1,247	171	6.1%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	195	0.7%	277	1.0%	283	1.0%	82		45.2%
Mining & Quarrying	181	0.7%	242	0.9%	282	1.0%		40	55.8%
Contract Construction	1,486	5.5%	1,422	5.0%	1,444	5.0%		22	-2.8%
Manufacturing	5,324	19.7%	4,768	16.6%	4,665	16.1%	-557	-103	-12.4%
Durable Goods	4,096	15.1%	4,059	14.2%	4,007	13.8%	-37	-52	-2.2%
Nondurable Goods	1,228	4.5%	709	2.5%	658	2.3%	-519	-51	-46.4%
Transportation & Utilities	1,456	5.4%	1,275	4.5%	1,261	4.4%	-181	-14	-13.4%
Trade	6,265	23.2%	7,257	25.3%	7,250	25.1%	993	-7	15.7%
Wholesale	1,131	4.2%	1,102	3.9%	1,125	3.9%	-29	23	-0.5%
Retail	5,134	19.0%	6,155	21.5%	6,125	21.2%	1,021	-30	19.3%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	1,306	4.8%	889	3.1%	892	3.1%	-417	3	-31.7%
Services	7,102	26.3%	8,432	29.4%	8,654	29.9%	1,330	222	21.9%
Hotel & Resorts	1,753	6.5%	1,890	6.6%	1,796	6.2%	137	-94	2.4%
Health	2,500	9.2%	2,872	10.0%	2,948	10.2%	372	76	17.9%
Other	2,849	10.5%	3,670	12.8%	3,911	13.5%	821	241	37.3%
Government ownership	3,733	13.8%	4,087	14.3%	4,210	14.6%	354	123	12.8%
Total for Rutland County	27,047	100.0%	28,648	100.0%	28,942	100.0%	1,601	294	7.0%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 32

	Washington C	ounty:	Employme	nt by Re	esidence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	31,800	9.5%	31,450	9.5%	-350	-1.1%
Unemployment	1,050	10.3%	1,000	10.4%	-50	-4.8%
Rate (%)	3.4%	NA	3.1%	NA	-0.3	NA
Employment	30,750	9.4%	30,500	9.5%	-250	-0.8%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Washi	ngton	Coun	ty: Em	ployme	ent by	Indus	try		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
Private ownership	20,644	75.9%	23,891	76.6%	24,638	76.9%	3,248	747	19.4%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	100	0.4%	186	0.6%	197	0.6%	-	11	96.7%
Mining & Quarrying	177	0.7%	138	0.4%	144	0.5%		6	-19.0%
Contract Construction	1,282	4.7%	1,158	3.7%	1,191	3.7%		33	-7.1%
Manufacturing	3,279	12.1%		11.9%	4,048	12.6%		343	23.4%
Durable Goods	1,873	6.9%	1,973	6.3%	2,235	7.0%	100	261	19.3%
Nondurable Goods	1,406	5.2%	1,732	5.6%	1,813	5.7%	326	81	29.0%
Transportation & Utilities	707	2.6%	1,024	3.3%	1,039	3.2%	317	15	46.9%
Trade	5,868	21.6%	6,890	22.1%	6,949	21.7%	1,022	59	18.4%
Wholesale	1,071	3.9%	1,249	4.0%	1,308	4.1%	178	59	22.1%
Retail	4,797	17.6%	5,641	18.1%	5,641	17.6%	844	0	17.6%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	2,623	9.6%	2,469	7.9%	2,550	8.0%	-153	81	-2.8%
Services	6,608	24.3%	8,321	26.7%	8,522	26.6%	1,713	201	29.0%
Hotel & Resorts	455	1.7%	730	2.3%	711	2.2%	274	-19	56.1%
Health	2,049	7.5%	2,672	8.6%	2,717	8.5%	623	45	32.6%
Other	4,104	15.1%	4,920	15.8%	5,095	15.9%	816	175	24.2%
Government ownership	6,558	24.1%	7,280	23.4%	7,422	23.2%	722	141	13.2%
Total for Washington County	27,202	100.0%	31,171	100.0%	32,060	100.0%	3,969	889	17.9%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 33

	Windham Co	ounty:	Employment	by Res	sidence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	23,600	7.0%	22,600	6.8%	-1000	-4.2%
Unemployment	650	6.4%	700	7.3%	50	7.7%
Rate (%)	2.8%	NA	3.0%	NA	0.2	NA
Employment	22,900	7.0%	21,900	6.8%	-1000	-4.4%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Wind	lham C	ounty	: Emp	loymer	nt by Ir	dustr	у		
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00
	40 -0-	00 =0/	04.00=	00 =0/	04.000	00.40/			9 - 0/
Private ownership	19,537	89.7%	21,635	89.5%	21,398	89.1%	-	-236	9.5%
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining & Quarrying	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Contract Construction	1,262	5.8%	914	3.8%	971	4.0%	-349	57	-23.1%
Manufacturing	3,471	15.9%	3,557	14.7%	3,402	14.2%	86	-154	-2.0%
Durable Goods	1,821	8.4%	1,933	8.0%	1,874	7.8%	112	-60	2.9%
Nondurable Goods	1,650	7.6%	1,623	6.7%	1,529	6.4%	-26	-95	-7.3%
Transportation & Utilities	1,345	6.2%	1,445	6.0%	1,494	6.2%	100	49	11.1%
Trade	5,473	25.1%	6,239	25.8%	6,118	25.5%	766	-121	11.8%
Wholesale	1,603	7.4%	1,936	8.0%	1,984	8.3%	333	48	23.7%
Retail	3,870	17.8%	4,303	17.8%	4,134	17.2%	433	-168	6.8%
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	•	4.9%	1,099	4.5%	902	3.8%		-197	-15.6%
Services	6,479	29.7%	7,876	32.6%	7,990	33.3%		115	23.3%
Hotel & Resorts	1,721	7.9%	1,806	7.5%	1,984	8.3%	-	178	15.3%
Health	1,977	9.1%	2,027	8.4%	2,084	8.7%		57	5.4%
Other	2,781	12.8%	4,043	16.7%	3,922	16.3%		-120	41.1%
Government ownership	2,244	10.3%	2,544	10.5%	2,620	10.9%	300	75	16.7%
Total for Windham County	21,781	100.0%	24,179	100.0%	24,018	100.0%	2,398	-161	10.3%

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 34

	Windsor Co	unty:	Employment	by Res	idence	
						%
Resident		%		%	Change	Change
Labor Force	1999	State	2000	State	99-00	99-00
Labor Force	31,850	9.5%	31,000	9.4%	-850	-2.7%
Unemployment	800	7.8%	700	7.3%	-100	-12.5%
Rate (%)	2.5%	NA	2.3%	NA	-0.2	NA
Employment	31,050	9.5%	30,250	9.4%	-800	-2.6%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

Wine	Windsor County: Employment by Industry									
	Covered		Covered		Covered				%	
	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Emp.	%	Change	Change	Change	
Industry	1990	Total	1999	Total	2000	Total	90-99	99-00	90-00	
Private ownership	16,625	79.9%	18,775	80.0%	18,740	79.8%	2,150	-35	12.7%	
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		0.9%	341	1.5%	345	1.5%	164	4	95.0%	
Mining & Quarrying	25	0.1%	102	0.4%	97	0.4%	77	-5	287.8%	
Contract Construction	1,432	6.9%	1,312	5.6%	1,346	5.7%		34	-6.0%	
Manufacturing	3,473	16.7%	3,605	15.4%	3,426	14.6%	132	-179	-1.4%	
Durable Goods	2,295	11.0%	2,167	9.2%	2,038	8.7%	-128	-129	-11.2%	
Nondurable Goods	1,179	5.7%	1,438	6.1%	1,388	5.9%	259	-50	17.7%	
Transportation & Utilities	731	3.5%	816	3.5%	853	3.6%	84	37	16.6%	
Trade	4,699	22.6%	4,937	21.1%	5,078	21.6%	238	141	8.1%	
Wholesale	711	3.4%	711	3.0%	784	3.3%	0	74	10.3%	
Retail	3,989	19.2%	4,227	18.0%	4,294	18.3%	238	67	7.7%	
Finance, Insurance & Real Est	753	3.6%	682	2.9%	683	2.9%	-71	1	-9.4%	
Services	5,334	25.6%	6,980	29.8%	6,913	29.5%	1,646	-68	29.6%	
Hotel & Resorts	1,405	6.8%	1,644	7.0%	1,605	6.8%	239	-39	14.3%	
Health	1,436	6.9%	1,916	8.2%	1,958	8.3%	480	42	36.4%	
Other	2,493	12.0%	3,420	14.6%	3,349	14.3%	926	-71	34.3%	
Government ownership	4,187	20.1%	4,682	20.0%	4,734	20.2%	495	52	13.1%	
Total for Windsor County	20,812	100.0%	23,457	100.0%	23,474	100.0%	2,645	17	12.8%	

Source: Vermont Department of Employment & Training

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Applicable

Table 35

	Agricu	Itural C	omparis	son of	Ve	rmont	Counti	es	s (1997	7)	
County	Farm Acreage		No. Hired Workers 1/			ŭ	% Share Vermont		Dairy od. Sold (000's)	% Share Vermont	,
Vermont	1,262,155	100.0%	8,912	100.0%	\$	476,343	100.0%	\$	352,556	100.0%	74.0%
Addison	204,985	16.2%	1,623	18.2%	\$	112,718	23.7%	\$	82,367	23.4%	73.1%
Bennington	32,374	2.6%	303	3.4%	\$	8,084	1.7%	\$	4,753	1.3%	58.8%
Caledonia	94,255	7.5%	620	7.0%	\$	29,357	6.2%	\$	23,407	6.6%	79.7%
Chittenden	83,355	6.6%	717	8.0%	\$	25,544	5.4%	\$	15,598	4.4%	61.1%
Essex	25,497	2.0%	112	1.3%	\$	6,769	1.4%	\$	5,183	1.5%	76.6%
Franklin	190,215	15.1%	1,428	16.0%	\$	99,610	20.9%	\$	84,676	24.0%	85.0%
Grand Isle	21,036	1.7%	186	2.1%	\$	9,605	2.0%	\$	7,284	2.1%	75.8%
Lamoille	48,967	3.9%	272	3.1%	\$	15,470	3.2%	\$	11,581	3.3%	74.9%
Orange	98,352	7.8%	626	7.0%	\$	26,079	5.5%	\$	19,064	5.4%	73.1%
Orleans	144,154	11.4%	821	9.2%	\$	59,522	12.5%	\$	50,768	14.4%	85.3%
Rutland	125,770	10.0%	503	5.6%	\$	28,357	6.0%	\$	20,946	5.9%	73.9%
Washington	56,290	4.5%	409	4.6%	\$	15,041	3.2%	\$	10,388	2.9%	69.1%
Windham	46,818	3.7%	724	8.1%	\$	20,366	4.3%	\$	9,438	2.7%	46.3%
Windsor	90,087	7.1%	568	6.4%	\$	19,820	4.2%	\$	7,102	2.0%	35.8%

Sources: 1997 Census of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/

1/ Data is based on a sample of farms.


Table 36


	Forest Industry Comparison of Vermont Counties										
		1999 F	Harvest			2000 F	larvest				
County	Hardwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	Softwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	Hardwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	Softwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	2000 No. of Sawmills 2/		
Vermont	112,832	100.0%	137,066	100.0%	118,153	100.0%	128,666	100.0%	169		
Addison	7,893	7.0%	3,247	2.4%	8,284	7.0%	3,218	2.5%	12		
Bennington	6,663	5.9%	2,116	1.5%	12,453	10.5%	2,314	1.8%	7		
Caledonia	4,027	3.6%	20,365	14.9%	5,783	4.9%	14,753	11.5%	19		
Chittenden	2,449	2.2%	1,860	1.4%	1,612	1.4%	3,996	3.1%	9		
Essex	5,321	4.7%	11,258	8.2%	2,841	2.4%	24,364	18.9%			
Franklin/G I	7,103	6.3%	7,942	5.8%	7,231	6.1%	7,063	5.5%	4		
Lamoille	14,139	12.5%	6,494	4.7%	14,198	12.0%	6,733	5.2%	12		
Orange	9,198	8.2%	16,636	12.1%	6,035	5.1%	9,917	7.7%	10		
Orleans	5,516	4.9%	23,425	17.1%	8,581	7.3%	19,822	15.4%	18		
Rutland	13,014	11.5%	8,996	6.6%	19,815	16.8%	6,343	4.9%	16		
Washington	8,136	7.2%	9,919	7.2%	5,587	4.7%	6,869	5.3%	12		
Windham	16,775	14.9%	13,214	9.6%	12,725	10.8%	11,909	9.3%	23		
Windsor	12,598	11.2%	11,594	8.5%	13,008	11.0%	·	8.8%	22		

^{1/} Harvest volumes do not include firewood volumes.

Franklin and Grand isle Counties are combined in harvest reporting

Source: Vermont Department of Forest, Parks & Recreation





^{2/} Includes both domant and active.

Table 37

		Ī	Tax Re	: V(enues for	Verm	or	ıt Counti	es			
		Personal			Sales &			Rooms &			Property	
		Income	% of		Use	% of		Meals	% of		Transfer	% of
County	Т	Tax Amount	State	7	Tax Amount	State	Т	ax Amount	State	Т	ax Amount	State
		CY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2001			CY 2001	
Vermont	\$:	393,780,021	100.0%	\$	209,977,214	100.0%	\$	95,675,561	100.0%	\$	22,745,881	100.0%
Addison	\$	20,299,714	5.2%	\$	6,099,240	2.9%	\$	3,361,825	3.5%	\$	890,977	3.9%
Bennington	\$	22,481,502	5.7%	\$	16,318,826	7.8%	\$	8,309,203	8.7%	\$	1,733,216	7.6%
Caledonia	\$	11,309,448	2.9%	\$	6,155,064	2.9%	\$	2,517,209	2.6%	\$	518,873	2.3%
Chittenden	\$	132,667,900	33.7%	\$	65,360,815	31.1%	\$	23,664,097	24.7%	\$	6,462,529	28.4%
Essex	\$	1,525,967	0.4%	\$	340,964	0.2%	\$	279,271	0.3%	\$	149,218	0.7%
Franklin	\$	19,945,500	5.1%	\$	8,218,961	3.9%	\$	2,999,182	3.1%	\$	967,286	4.3%
Grand Isle	\$	4,463,404	1.1%	\$	512,723	0.2%	\$	464,836	0.5%	\$	419,961	1.8%
Lamoille	\$	13,778,390	3.5%	\$	7,462,093	3.6%	\$	8,470,734	8.9%	\$	1,293,991	5.7%
Orange	\$	12,230,523	3.1%	\$	3,348,692	1.6%	\$	1,608,908	1.7%	\$	485,952	2.1%
Orleans	\$	7,964,938	2.0%	\$	5,611,136	2.7%	\$	2,196,134	2.3%	\$	680,821	3.0%
Rutland	\$	31,179,753	7.9%	\$	23,290,306	11.1%	\$	12,159,763	12.7%	\$	1,637,752	7.2%
Washington	\$	34,587,287	8.8%	\$	14,980,956	7.1%	\$	7,621,964	8.0%	\$	1,727,655	7.6%
Windham	\$	23,341,809	5.9%	\$	10,468,229	5.0%	\$	9,380,930	9.8%	\$	2,624,361	11.5%
Windsor	\$	38,247,244	9.7%	\$	11,747,790	5.6%	\$	10,137,705	10.6%	\$	3,153,289	13.9%
Other	\$	19,756,642	5.0%	\$	30,061,417	14.3%	\$	2,503,801	2.6%			0.0%

Source: Vermont Department of Taxes

CY = Calendar Year FY = Fiscal Year




Table 38

	Housing	g Units c	of Vermo	nt Count	ties		
	Total	Housing Un	its	Occupie	ed Housing	Units	2000 1/
		C	% Change			Owner	Housing
	1990	2000	90-00	Owner	Renter	Percent	Density
Vermont	270,978	294,382	8.6%	169,784	70,850	70.6%	31.8
Addison County	13,869	15,312	10.4%	9,782	3,286	74.9%	19.9
Bennington County	18,431	19,403	5.3%	10,599	4,247	71.4%	28.7
Caledonia County	13,718	14,504	5.7%	8,499	3,164	72.9%	22.3
Chittenden County	52,086	58,864	13.0%	37,292	19,160	66.1%	109.2
Essex County	4,059	4,762	17.3%	2,069	533	79.5%	7.2
Franklin County	17,201	19,191	11.6%	12,582	4,183	75.0%	30.1
Grand Isle County	4,175	4,663	11.7%	2,247	514	81.4%	56.4
Lamoille County	10,114	11,009	8.8%	6,534	2,687	70.9%	23.9
Orange County	12,296	13,386	8.9%	8,559	2,377	78.3%	19.4
Orleans County	12,551	14,673	16.9%	7,738	2,708	74.1%	21.0
Rutland County	30,886	32,311	4.6%	17,901	7,777	69.7%	34.6
Washington County	25,659	27,644	7.7%	16,218	7,441	68.5%	40.1
Windham County	25,844	27,039	4.6%	12,479	5,896	67.9%	34.3
Windsor County	30,089	31,621	5.1%	17,285	6,877	71.5%	32.6

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1.

1/ Density per square mile of land area.




Table 39

Educat	ion Compa	rison: A	ddison	County Tow	ns & Scho	ols
Fiscal Year 2002	•	Daily Membe	rship 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above		Voter Approved
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084
Addison County	3,152	2,807	5,959	\$8,442	\$912	\$9,354
Addison	141	118	259	\$8,494	\$903	\$9,397
Bridport	102	78	180	\$7,970	\$818	\$8,788
Bristol	406	356	762	\$7,830	\$620	\$8,450
Cornwall	87	82	169	\$9,101	\$1,102	\$10,203
Ferrisburgh	225	180	405	\$8,353	\$1,145	\$9,498
Goshen	18	20	38	\$6,121	\$1,469	\$7,590
Granville	40	30	70	\$8,268	\$1,534	\$9,802
Hancock	34	21	55	\$5,577	\$3,194	\$8,770
Leicester	111	77	188	\$7,110	\$1,678	\$8,788
Lincoln	123	105	228	\$7,910	\$559	\$8,469
Middlebury ID	498	495	994	\$9,535	\$586	\$10,121
Monkton	173	159	332	\$8,847	\$573	\$9,420
New Haven	157	119	275	\$8,655	\$676	\$9,331
Orwell	135	107	241	\$6,411	\$1,266	\$7,677
Panton	59	53	112	\$8,206	\$1,333	\$9,539
Ripton	62	46	107	\$10,035	\$1,540	\$11,575
Salisbury	87	118	205	\$9,984	\$1,254	\$11,238
Shoreham	120	116	237	\$9,922	\$787	\$10,710
Starksboro	205	151	356	\$7,506	\$762	
Vergennes ID	219	212	430	\$7,789	\$1,034	· ·
Waltham	43	59	102	\$7,629	\$1,199	· ·
Weybridge	83	70	154	\$10,463	\$1,104	
Whiting	25	34	59	\$6,849	\$1,814	

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Addison County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
HighSchools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Middlebury	754	3.7%	13.4	528	540	74%
Mt. Abraham	581	4.3%	11.4	550	518	58%
Vergennes	411	1.8%	12.8	508	485	65%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 40

Educatio	n Compar	ison: Be	nningto	n County To	wns & Sch	ools
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Member	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084
Bennington County	2,923	3,053	5,976	\$6,756	\$2,178	\$8,933
Arlington	186	185	371	\$8,070	\$2,884	\$10,955
Bennington ID	1,103	1,142	2,244	\$6,926	\$1,508	\$8,434
Dorset	154	180	333	\$5,442	\$4,667	\$10,109
Glastenbury	2	0	2	\$12,819	\$0	\$12,819
Landgrove	17	13	30	\$5,448	\$2,864	\$8,312
Manchester	362	400	762	\$5,441	\$4,271	\$9,713
North Bennington ID	158	173	331	\$8,245	\$1,074	\$9,319
Peru	30	30	61	\$5,448	\$5,068	\$10,516
Pownal	302	287	589	\$6,863	\$1,152	\$8,015
Readsboro	70	77	147	\$5,864	\$1,779	\$7,643
Rupert	49	52	101	\$6,300	\$2,591	\$8,890
Sandgate	21	30	50	\$8,381	\$2,488	\$10,868
Searsburg	5	6	11	\$7,880	\$4,573	\$12,453
Shaftsbury	235	257	492	\$7,157	\$951	\$8,108
Stamford	63	68	131	\$6,511	\$2,147	\$8,658
Sunderland	79	68	147	\$8,177	\$2,646	\$10,823
Winhall	59	66	125	\$5,439	\$3,546	\$8,985
Woodford	28	20	48	\$3,605	\$3,775	\$7,380

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Bennington County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Ave)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Arlington	183	1.7%	9.4	499	501	74%
Burr & Burton	0	#DIV/0!	10.2	517	508	75%
Mt. Anthony	1,297	4.1%	16.3	472	466	68%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 41

Education	on Compa	rison: Ca	aledonia	County Tov	vns & Scho	ools
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Membe	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084
Caledonia County	2,561	2,678	5,239	\$7,184	\$1,369	\$8,553
Barnet	131	178	309	\$8,407	\$1,699	\$10,107
Burke	124	129	253	\$6,732	\$997	\$7,729
Danville	222	187	409	\$7,650	\$2,422	\$10,071
Groton	90	86	176	\$7,849	\$1,395	\$9,243
Hardwick	337	299	635	\$7,260	\$1,090	\$8,351
Kirby	49	32	81	\$7,725	\$437	\$8,162
Lyndon	446	484	931	\$6,895	\$1,371	\$8,266
Newark	43	42	85	\$6,301	\$1,812	\$8,113
Peacham	63	76	139	\$7,449	\$1,582	\$9,030
Ryegate	96	110	206	\$7,188	\$1,633	\$8,821
St. Johnsbury	513	614	1,127	\$7,119	\$1,016	\$8,135
Sheffield	76	62	137	\$7,135	\$1,337	\$8,472
Stannard	16	17	33	\$3,666	\$1,348	\$5,014
Sutton	91	101	192	\$7,044	\$1,158	\$8,203
Walden	99	72	171	\$6,683	\$1,866	
Waterford	108	120	228	\$7,486	\$1,904	\$9,390
Wheelock	59	68	126	\$7,142	\$1,330	\$8,472

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Caledonia County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Danville School	173	1.3%	11.6	493	473	72%
Hazen	268	3.4%	10.8	471	477	59%
Lyndon Institute	642	3.8%	10.6	513	509	65%
St. Johnsbury Academy	946	0.5%	9.5	497	514	82%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 42

Educatio	Education Comparison: Chittenden County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average D	aily Membe	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$			
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary S	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved			
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP			
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084			
Chittenden County	12,358	10,632	22,990	\$7,490	\$1,409	\$8,900			
Bolton	122	83	205	\$7,763	\$1,763	\$9,526			
Buels Gore	1	2	3	\$5,692	\$0	\$5,692			
Burlington	2,013	1,614	3,627	\$6,326	\$1,157	\$7,483			
Charlotte	398	314	712	\$8,577	\$1,260	\$9,837			
Colchester	1,350	1,134	2,484	\$6,987	\$1,204	\$8,191			
Essex Junction ID	787	819	1,606	\$9,065	\$1,463	\$10,528			
Essex Town	1,109	1,032	2,141	\$8,820	\$1,158	\$9,978			
Hinesburg	447	412	859	\$8,439	\$1,516	\$9,955			
Huntington	194	167	361	\$7,026	\$1,370	\$8,396			
Jericho	392	410	802	\$7,407	\$1,233	\$8,640			
Milton ID	1,049	809	1,859	\$6,639	\$1,599	\$8,238			
Richmond	454	398	853	\$7,122	\$1,252	\$8,374			
St. George	83	59	142	\$7,966	\$2,223	\$10,189			
Shelburne	658	530	1,188	\$7,311	\$1,781	\$9,092			
South Burlington	1,302	1,216	2,518	\$8,377	\$648	\$9,025			
Underhill ID	199	179	378	\$7,503	\$1,467	\$8,970			
Underhill Town	227	296	523	\$7,496	\$963	\$8,459			
Westford	228	195	423	\$7,467	\$1,495	\$8,961			
Williston	845	614	1,459	\$7,576	\$3,233	\$10,809			
Winooski ID	499	349	847	\$5,991	\$2,411	\$8,402			

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Chittenden County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Burlington	992	6.5%	14.1	499	507	65%
Champlain Valley	1,191	1.6%	12.0	535	529	73%
Colchester	747	1.1%	14.2	495	499	74%
Essex	1,470	1.3%	13.3	536	539	72%
Milton	548	4.9%	12.7	487	498	49%
Mt. Mansfield	989	1.8%	17.4	545	545	70%
South Burlington	906	2.7%	14.1	534	520	69%
Winooski	251	5.3%	12.6	487	471	70%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 43

Educa	tion Com	parison:	Essex C	County Town	s & Schoo	ls
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Memb	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised '	Voter Approved
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084
Essex County	615	581	1,196	\$6,875	\$1,705	\$8,580
Bloomfield	28	31	59	\$8,549	-\$277	\$8,272
Brighton	127	108	236	\$5,918	\$1,226	\$7,144
Brunswick	11	13	24	\$5,383	\$1,803	\$7,186
Canaan	112	106	218	\$6,952	\$3,378	\$10,330
Concord	113	89	202	\$8,464	\$2,246	\$10,711
East Haven	42	27	69	\$6,276	\$2,133	\$8,409
Granby	8	6	14	\$5,845	\$311	\$6,156
Guildhall	14	30	44	\$5,314	\$1,150	\$6,464
Lemington	9	8	17	\$7,923	\$919	\$8,842
Lunenburg	119	132	251	\$6,955	\$792	\$7,747
Maidstone	13	16	29	\$7,069	\$733	\$7,802
Norton	10	14	24	\$6,228	\$2,937	\$9,165
Victory	8	1	9	\$6,669	\$906	\$7,575

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Essex County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Canaan	115	2.7%	12.5	482	477	74%
Concord	87	7.9%	11.4	469	477	62%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

Table 44

Educat	ion Comp	arison: F	ranklin	County Tow	ns & Scho	ols
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Member	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084
Franklin County	5,095	4,126	9,221	\$6,948	\$1,673	\$8,621
Bakersfield	149	112	261	\$6,657	\$1,942	\$8,599
Berkshire	162	137	299	\$6,262	\$1,939	\$8,202
Enosburg Falls ID	299	248	547	\$6,690	\$4,664	\$11,353
Fairfax	433	351	784	\$7,792	\$2,246	\$10,038
Fairfield	222	187	409	\$6,784	\$1,423	\$8,207
Fletcher	135	98	233	\$7,990	\$1,221	\$9,211
Franklin	139	121	260	\$6,831	\$1,098	\$7,929
Georgia	530	416	946	\$6,954	\$1,855	\$8,809
Highgate	419	331	749	\$6,564	\$834	\$7,398
Montgomery	91	97	188	\$6,870	\$1,913	\$8,783
Richford	276	187	463	\$7,024	\$2,521	\$9,546
St. Albans City	708	557	1,265	\$7,100	\$1,558	\$8,658
St. Albans Town	597	480	1,077	\$7,108	\$1,075	\$8,183
Sheldon	227	252	478	\$6,889	\$1,281	\$8,169
Swanton	708	553	1,261	\$6,595	\$856	\$7,450

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Franklin County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Bellows Free (Fairfax)	346	3.0%	12.9	528	494	58%
Bellows Free Academy	1,042	5.5%	11.9	476	477	70%
Enosburg	332	5.6%	11.3	455	451	45%
Mississquoi Valley	733	2.3%	12.5	481	466	58%
Richford	163	6.4%	9.0	496	504	42%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 45

Education Comparison: Grand Isle County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Membe	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$		
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved		
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP		
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$0.094		
vermont	52,549	40,943	101,492	Φ7,393	φ1,009	\$9,084		
Grand Isle County	607	591	1,198	\$7,719	\$1,176	\$8,895		
Alburg	195	161	357	\$7,273	\$914	\$8,187		
Grand Isle	157	160	317	\$8,752	\$687	\$9,439		
Isle La Motte	37	49	86	\$7,420	\$1,350	\$8,770		
North Hero	57	65	122	\$5,366	\$4,580	\$9,946		
South Hero	161	157	317	\$8,070	\$576	\$8,646		

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

^{*} Grand Isle County contains no high schools.

Table 46

Education Comparison: Lamoille County Towns & Schools									
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Memb	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$			
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary	-	Block & Above	Raised \	oter Approved			
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP			
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084			
Lamoille County	2,088	1,770	3,858	\$7,046	\$2,483	\$9,528			
Belvidere	34	25	60	\$7,570	\$3,202	\$10,772			
Cambridge	295	242	537	\$7,756	\$1,867	\$9,623			
Eden	147	123	270	\$6,996	\$2,473	\$9,469			
Elmore	84	83	167	\$6,916	\$844	\$7,760			
Hyde Park	210	218	428	\$7,515	\$1,666	\$9,181			
Johnson	256	204	460	\$7,976	\$1,800	\$9,776			
Morristown	451	411	862	\$7,022	\$2,765	\$9,787			
Stowe	387	286	674	\$5,357	\$4,717	\$10,074			
Waterville	83	48	131	\$6,631	\$2,028	\$8,659			
Wolcott	141	129	269	\$7,494	\$1,241	\$8,735			

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Lamoille County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Lamoille	555	4.2%	12.5	491	510	74%
Peoples Academy	378	4.0%	13.6	510	482	68%
Stowe	230	1.1%	10.2	562	562	92%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

Table 47

Educat	Education Comparison: Orange County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Memb	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$			
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved			
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP			
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084			
Orange County	2,435	2,562	4,996	\$7,589	\$1,512	\$9,102			
Bradford ID	240	250	490	\$7,539	\$1,264	\$8,802			
Braintree	114	135	249	\$7,280	\$1,755	\$9,035			
Brookfield	113	94	207	\$7,357	\$1,088	\$8,444			
Chelsea	84	101	185	\$6,780	\$4,773	\$11,553			
Corinth	106	151	258	\$6,835	\$1,135	\$7,970			
Fairlee	87	89	176	\$9,366	\$1,764	\$11,130			
Newbury	164	153	317	\$7,710	\$1,316	\$9,026			
Orange	70	103	173	\$7,008	\$1,200	\$8,208			
Randolph	371	398	769	\$7,475	\$1,348	\$8,823			
Strafford	111	100	211	\$8,080	\$1,667	\$9,746			
Thetford	271	262	533	\$8,858	\$993	\$9,851			
Topsham	116	93	209	\$7,029	\$1,167	\$8,196			
Tunbridge	104	108	212	\$6,603	\$1,805	\$8,409			
Vershire	56	45	101	\$7,101	\$1,780	\$8,882			
Washington	68	105	173	\$6,961	\$1,916	\$8,877			
Wells River	36	34	70	\$6,526	\$1,160	\$7,685			
West Fairlee	64	50	114	\$9,158	\$2,161	\$11,319			
Williamstown	260	290	550	\$7,758	\$1,249	\$9,007			

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Orange County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Blue Mountain	187	3.9%	11.2	438	464	64%
Chelsea	150	2.8%	10.3	533	522	69%
Oxbow	438	3.5%	13.4	508	469	57%
Randolph	456	4.0%	14.3	534	495	76%
Thetford Academy	270	1.1%	11.1	551	520	69%
Williamstown	192	3.3%	12.0	494	488	65%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

Table 48

Educat	Education Comparison: Orleans County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Memb	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$			
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised '	Voter Approved			
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP			
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084			
Orleans County	2,310	2,242	4,553	\$6,709	\$1,426	\$8,135			
Albany	88	70	157	\$8,286	\$1,538	\$9,825			
Barton ID	150	165	314	\$6,980	\$957	\$7,938			
Brownington	105	76	181	\$6,160	\$899	\$7,060			
Charleston	103	78	181	\$5,641	\$1,979	\$7,620			
Coventry	89	134	223	\$5,380	\$1,226	\$6,606			
Craftsbury	93	74	167	\$8,947	\$3,722	\$12,669			
Derby	449	416	865	\$6,724	\$1,273	\$7,997			
Glover	68	75	143	\$7,845	\$834	\$8,679			
Greensboro	52	67	120	\$8,366	\$1,295	\$9,661			
Holland	84	58	142	\$5,740	\$1,497	\$7,237			
Irasburg	120	102	222	\$7,157	\$793	\$7,951			
Jay	44	38	82	\$6,803	\$2,101	\$8,904			
Lowell	86	89	175	\$5,462	\$1,548	\$7,010			
Morgan	55	58	113	\$6,413	\$1,605	\$8,017			
Newport City	321	356	678	\$6,591	\$1,374	\$7,965			
Newport Town	120	121	242	\$6,638	\$1,756	\$8,394			
Orleans ID	107	71	178	\$7,324	\$1,553	\$8,878			
Troy	128	139	268	\$6,258	\$1,386	\$7,644			
Westfield	27	39	66	\$6,409	\$1,907	\$8,316			
Westmore	21	16	37	\$5,374	\$1,224	\$6,598			

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Orleans County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Craftsbury School	59	2.8%	8.2	501	449	60%
Lake Region	407	1.4%	11.9	466	481	64%
North Country	1,123	3.5%	13.5	471	489	56%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 49

Education Comparison: Rutland County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Memb	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$		
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised \	oter Approved		
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP		
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084		
Rutland County	5,213	4,946	10,159	\$7,299	\$1,737	\$9,036		
Benson	108	78	186	\$6,862	\$1,620	\$8,482		
Brandon	400	363	764	\$7,686	\$1,867	\$9,553		
Castleton	324	310	635	\$7,038	\$1,144	\$8,182		
Chittenden	113	125	238	\$7,155	\$1,624	\$8,779		
Clarenden	235	202	438	\$8,405	\$834	\$9,239		
Danby	106	106	212	\$6,018	\$2,191	\$8,208		
Fair Haven	276	286	563	\$7,274	\$1,432	\$8,707		
Hubbardton	73	60	132	\$7,412	\$1,649	\$9,061		
Ira	38	38	76	\$7,150	\$587	\$7,737		
Mendon	94	98	192	\$7,884	\$867	\$8,752		
Middletown Springs	60	59	119	\$8,064	\$2,179	\$10,243		
Mount Holly	86	109	194	\$7,847	\$1,995	\$9,842		
Mount Tabor	23	19	42	\$9,679	\$2,352	\$12,031		
Pawlet	144	114	258	\$7,713	\$1,553	\$9,266		
Pittsfield	29	37	66	\$5,383	\$3,580	\$8,963		
Pittsford	285	217	502	\$8,119	\$1,756	\$9,875		
Poultney	273	269	542	\$7,787	\$2,630	\$10,418		
Proctor	181	164	344	\$9,630	\$1,245	\$10,875		
Rutland City	1,322	1,189	2,511	\$6,125	\$2,249	\$8,374		
Rutland Town	298	340	638	\$8,070	\$629	\$8,699		
Sherburne	90	67	157	\$6,240	\$5,160	\$11,400		
Shrewsbury	94	87	181	\$7,951	\$1,490	\$9,441		
Sudbury	40	39	80	\$7,930	\$2,243	\$10,172		
Tinmouth	56	43	99	\$5,812	\$1,911	\$7,722		
Wallingford	163	199	362	\$8,639	\$1,027	\$9,666		
Wells	93	96	189	\$6,695	\$1,654	\$8,349		
West Haven	13	33	46	\$7,139	\$431	\$7,570		
West Rutland	194	201	394	\$7,504	\$1,303	\$8,807		

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Rutland County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Fair Haven	595	1.9%	12.2	485	483	67%
Mill River	508	3.3%	12.4	493	509	74%
Otter Valley	512	3.3%	12.8	526	538	66%
Poultney	244	2.2%	12.0	462	466	54%
Proctor	145	1.8%	8.6	541	506	67%
Rutland	1,184	2.4%	13.7	528	508	79%
West Rutland	143	3.2%	11.2	453	488	64%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil fo the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donation that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 50

Education Comparison: Washington County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average	Daily Memb	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$		
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved		
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP		
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084		
Washington County	5,035	4,614	9,649	\$7,465	\$1,273	\$8,738		
Barre City	732	658	1,390	\$6,512	\$918	\$7,429		
Barre Town	793	703	1,495	\$6,705	\$969	\$7,674		
Berlin	282	226	508	\$7,905	\$1,013	\$8,918		
Cabot	111	127	238	\$9,076	\$2,105	\$11,182		
Calais	121	158	279	\$8,526	\$1,288	\$9,814		
Duxbury	125	92	217	\$7,422	\$979	\$8,401		
East Montpelier	243	203	446	\$8,605	\$902	\$9,507		
Fayston	108	88	196	\$7,316	\$2,480	\$9,796		
Marshfield	154	121	275	\$7,140	\$1,202	\$8,342		
Middlesex	162	141	303	\$8,887	\$1,005	\$9,892		
Montpelier City	520	617	1,136	\$8,197	\$1,863	\$10,060		
Moretown	163	146	309	\$8,948	\$1,380	\$10,328		
Northfield	429	394	824	\$7,231	\$1,626	\$8,857		
Plainfield	106	113	219	\$6,802	\$1,139	\$7,941		
Roxbury	60	48	108	\$6,344	\$1,106	\$7,450		
Waitsfield	154	101	255	\$8,027	\$1,336	\$9,362		
Warren	165	141	306	\$7,533	\$1,807	\$9,340		
Waterbury	473	391	864	\$6,958	\$987	\$7,945		
Woodbury	51	59	110	\$7,100	\$1,470	\$8,570		
Worcester	84	89	173	\$8,528	\$1,410	\$9,938		

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Washington County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Cabot School	82	0.7%	8.8	505	481	76%
Harwood	628	1.4%	13.4	547	535	65%
Montpelier	495	2.6%	11.8	550	538	80%
Northfield	323	4.4%	11.9	448	439	66%
Spaulding	971	1.7%	12.9	486	488	67%
Twinfield	177	1.4%	12.1	498	496	85%
U-32	581	2.8%	11.6	536	517	73%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 51

Education Comparison: Windham County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average D	aily Membe	rship 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$		
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary S	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved		
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP		
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084		
Windham County	3,455	3,390	6,846	\$8,150	\$2,293	\$10,443		
Athens	24	25	49	\$7,618	\$2,419	\$10,037		
Brattleboro	879	823	1,702	\$8,397	\$1,978	\$10,375		
Brookline	47	48	95	\$8,569	\$3,019	\$11,589		
Dover	107	102	209	\$5,833	\$3,860	\$9,693		
Dummerston	135	138	273	\$9,567	\$2,219	\$11,786		
Grafton	44	21	65	\$5,661	\$5,250	\$10,912		
Guilford	164	192	357	\$7,673	\$1,016	\$8,689		
Halifax	41	62	103	\$6,632	\$2,741	\$9,373		
Jamaica	99	66	165	\$7,698	\$1,793	\$9,491		
Londonderry	127	136	263	\$8,675	\$1,989	\$10,664		
Marlboro	65	79	144	\$8,084	\$2,947	\$11,030		
Newfane	116	118	234	\$8,635	\$2,268	\$10,903		
Putney	173	184	358	\$8,803	\$1,972	\$10,775		
Rockingham	482	482	965	\$8,246	\$2,137	\$10,383		
Stratton	12	20	32	\$5,417	\$4,383	\$9,800		
Townshend	85	78	163	\$8,138	\$2,053	\$10,190		
Vernon	210	204	415	\$9,240	\$1,715	\$10,955		
Wardsboro	70	52	122	\$8,045	\$1,661	\$9,706		
Westminster	259	253	511	\$8,050	\$1,159	\$9,209		
Whitingham	108	107	215	\$8,931	\$3,403	\$12,334		
Wilmington	184	184	367	\$5,946	\$5,708	\$11,654		
Windham	24	16	40	\$9,587	\$3,218	\$12,806		

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Windham County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Bellows Falls	572	4.8%	11.4	487	501	62%
Brattleboro	1,095	5.6%	17.3	532	515	66%
Leland & Gray	278	2.6%	9.7	530	531	62%
Whitingham	88	6.0%	10.1	520	458	72%
Wilmington	162	3.1%	9.5	480	501	79%

^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Table 52

Education Comparison: Windsor County Towns & Schools								
Fiscal Year 2002	Average [Daily Membe	ership 1/	General State	Additional \$	Budgeted \$		
(July 1, 2001 to	Elementary	Secondary		Block & Above	Raised	Voter Approved		
June 30, 2002)	Count	Count	Total	Block PEP 2/	PEP 3/	PEP		
Vermont	52,549	48,943	101,492	\$7,395	\$1,689	\$9,084		
Windsor County	4,701	4,951	9,652	\$7,349	\$2,576	\$9,925		
Andover	25	31	56	\$5,950	\$2,621	\$8,571		
Baltimore	30	18	48	\$8,432	\$391	\$8,823		
Barnard	77	82	159	\$5,952	\$4,400	\$10,352		
Bethel	152	189	341	\$7,924	\$2,219	\$10,142		
Bridgewater	77	70	147	\$7,464	\$1,333	\$8,797		
Cavendish	115	103	218	\$7,912	\$2,141	\$10,053		
Chester	267	263	530	\$7,157	\$1,471	\$8,628		
Hartford	931	865	1,796	\$6,764	\$3,852	\$10,616		
Hartland	293	329	622	\$8,336	\$925	\$9,261		
Ludlow	172	172	344	\$6,459	\$4,213	\$10,672		
Norwich	317	429	746	\$9,283	\$780	\$10,063		
Plymouth	27	33	60	\$5,553	\$4,538	\$10,090		
Pomfret	95	94	189	\$8,392	\$2,236	\$10,629		
Reading	67	60	127	\$8,308	\$1,427	\$9,735		
Rochester	123	94	217	\$8,319	\$3,508	\$11,827		
Royalton	227	218	445	\$6,772	\$2,406	\$9,179		
Sharon	129	142	271	\$6,859	\$1,280	\$8,138		
Springfield	667	804	1,470	\$7,006	\$2,483	\$9,490		
Stockbridge	60	47	107	\$7,015	\$2,868	\$9,883		
Weathersfield	235	229	465	\$8,208	\$1,229	\$9,437		
West Windsor	81	73	154	\$7,459	\$1,050	\$8,509		
Weston	40	47	87	\$5,438	\$4,760	\$10,198		
Windsor	298	305	603	\$7,811	\$3,662	\$11,473		
Woodstock	196	254	450	\$6,149	\$3,925	\$10,074		

	Enrollment	Drop	Student	SAT	SAT	College
Windsor County	Grades	Rate	Teacher	Verbal	Math	Bound
High Schools (FY2001)	9-12 1/	(3Yr Avg)	Ratio	(mean)	(mean)	
National Average	NA	NA	NA	506	514	NA
Vermont	31,676	3.1%	12.1	511	506	70%
Black River	183	5.8%	11.9	480	490	77%
Green Mountain	296	2.2%	10.0	493	508	78%
Hartford	804	3.1%	14.3	512	498	77%
Rochester	84	4.6%	11.2	518	448	64%
South Royalton	182	2.7%	10.6	513	468	83%
Springfield	681	4.5%	15.5	474	471	67%
Whitcomb	168	1.6%	11.6	472	451	57%
Windsor	370	3.0%	14.1	481	464	73%
Woodstock	483	1.6%	11.0	542	547	69%


^{1/} Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death


^{2/} PEP = Per Equalized Pupil. The General State Block is a grant for \$5,448 per equalized pupil fo the 01/02 school year. Above Block dollars are distributed from the Education Fund supported by Local Share Property Taxes.

^{3/} These revenue sources consist of state categorial grant, surpluses, interest income, and donation that some towns make to their schools.

NA Not Available

Education Comparison: Vermont Counties





GLOSSARY

ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME (AGI)

Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) is the value an individual reports on their state income tax. The Vermont Department of Taxes provides the data reported in this publication.

* As noted by the Tax Department: This data is provided for historical continuity with previous tax reports. Median AGI for all tax returns is an inappropriate measure of central tendency because it is so dependent on the mix of single and dual incomes within a town.

Determination of median AGI for all tax returns by town was required by VSA Title 16, Section 3480 in 1981 to assist in computation of aid to education. In 1987 this statute section was amended to specify determination of average AGI per exemption. The entire section was repealed in 1997 as part of Act 60.

AGE COHORT is an age grouping that is an aggregate of sequential years of age. For example, one group might be all persons between the ages 5-14.

ANNUAL AVERAGE WAGE is the average wage paid by all firms covered under Vermont's unemployment compensation law. The average wage is computed by dividing the total wages paid during the year by the average employment.

AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL VALUE is the price for a particular class of real property (a dwelling with less than 6 acres) reported by the Department of Property Valuation and Review (PVR). PVR uses the listed values and property counts provided by municipalities which is not comparable to the equalized values reported in previous publications.

COVERED EMPLOYMENT in this publication includes the employment of both public and private employers covered by the unemployment compensation law. The data is gathered from reports submitted by employers each quarter and is aggregated into industries as defined by the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification Manual (SIC). The major groups of employers excluded from coverage are most agricultural production firms and the self-employed.

EDUCATION

AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP (ADM) of a school district is the average enrollment of pupils who are legal residents of the district attending public schools, or for whom the district must reimburse the Commissioner of Education.

Glossary continued (Education).....

EQUALIZED PUPIL is a calculated measure as defined in state statute based on Average Daily Membership, and state-placed students, as weighted by factors such as secondary students, students in poverty and students for whom English is not the primary language.

FUNDING for education is broken into three parts: 1-spending below the General State Support Grant; 2-the General State Support Grant (\$5,448 per equalized pupil for the 2001-2002 school year); 3-spending above the General State Support Grant, which is also called above block spending. Parts 2 and 3 make up Local Education Spending, which is the spending amount that determines tax rates. The difference between Total Budgeted Education Spending and Local Education Spending is part 1, the spending below the block grant. These revenue sources consist of state categorical grants, surpluses, interest income, and donations that some towns make to their schools.

EMPLOYMENT in this publication is measured by Covered Employment and Labor Force. Covered Employment is based upon where the job is located. Labor Force is based upon where the worker resides. See Covered Employment and Labor Force for further information.

FELONY is generally any offense whose maximum term of imprisonment is more than two years. A judge can choose a maximum sentence less than two years for a statutory felony.

HIGH SCHOOL DROP RATE is the percentage of students who leave high school during the school year and the preceding summer. Enrollment figures used in calculating this rate have been adjusted for transfers and deaths.

LABOR FORCE data measures the labor force status of the area's residents who are 16 years of age or older. The residents may work, look for work, or be unemployed from firms in areas other than where they reside. The estimates include agricultural workers, unpaid family members and the self-employed.

MEDIAN is a statistical term. Data is put in numeral order. The median is the middle term. Fifty percent of the data fall above the median and fifty percent fall below the median.

METROPOLITAN AREA is an urban area that meets specific size criteria - either it has a city of at least 50,000 inhabitants within corporate limits, or it contains an urbanized area of at least 50,000 inhabitants and has a total population of at least 100,000.

Glossary continued.....

MISDEMEANOR is generally any offense whose maximum term of imprisonment is up to two years.

PER CAPITA INCOME data for the state and counties is based upon estimates from the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

SAT or SCHOLASTIC APTITIDE TEST scores summarize information for high school seniors who took the test. The test is used by colleges as an indicator of how well a student will do in college.

TAX RATE is the effective or "equalized" estimate of what the tax rate would be if all property was appraised at 100% of Fair Market Value.